

Kenji Fukumizu The Institute of Statistical Mathematics 計算推論科学概論 II (2010年度,後期)

Introduction and Review

Graphical Model – Rough Sketch

Graphical model

- Graph: G = (V, E) V: the set of nodes, E: the set of edges
- In graphical models,
 - the random variables are represented by the nodes.
 - statistical relationships between the variables are represented by the edges.



Purpose of Using Graphical Models

Intuitive and visual representation

A graph is an intuitive way of representing and visualizing the relationships among variables.

Independence / conditional independence

A graph represents conditional independence relationships among variables.

 \rightarrow Causal relationships, decision making, diagnosis system, etc.

Efficient computation

With graphs, efficient propagation algorithms can be defined.

 \rightarrow Belief-propagation, junction tree algorithm

Which parts of the modeling block efficient computation?

Example: Diagnosis



If Fuel Meter indicates "full" and Plug is checked to be clean, it is more likely that the battery is dead.

Review: Independence

For simplicity, it is assumed that the distribution of a random variable X has the probability density function $p_X(x)$.

Independence

 $\square X \text{ and } Y \text{ are independent} (X \coprod Y)$

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad p_{XY}(x, y) = p_X(x) p_Y(y)$

X IIL Y Dawid's notation

Review: Conditional Probability

• Conditional probability density of *Y* given *X*

$$\underline{\text{Def.}} \qquad p_{Y|X}(y \mid x) = \frac{p_{XY}(x, y)}{p_X(x)}$$

$$=\frac{p_{XY}(x,y)}{\sum_{y} p_{XY}(x,y)}$$

Review: Conditional Independence

- Two characterizations
 - □ X and Y are conditionally independent given Z (X \coprod Y | Z)

$$\Leftrightarrow p_{XY|Z}(x, y \mid z) = p_{X|Z}(x \mid z) p_{Y|Z}(y \mid z) \quad \text{for all } z \text{ with } p_Z(z) > 0.$$

"conditional " independnence

 $\Box \quad X \coprod Y \mid Z$

 $\Leftrightarrow p_{X|YZ}(x \mid y, z) = p_{X|Z}(x \mid z) \quad \text{ for all } (y,z) \text{ with } p_{YZ}(y,z) > 0.$

If we already know *Z*, additional information on *Y* does not increase the knowledge on *X*.

David's notation

Conditional Independence - Examples

- □ Speeding Fine \ Type of Car (perhaps)
- □ Speeding Fine <u>||</u> Type of Car | Speed
- Ability of Team A \coprod Ability of Team B
- □ Ability of Team A ▲ Ability of Team B | Outcome of Team A and B

Conditional Independence

Another characterization of cond. independence

```
Proposition 1

X \coprod Y \mid Z

\iff

there exist functions f(x,z) and g(y,z) such that

p_{XYZ}(x, y, z) = f(x, z)g(y, z)

for all x, y and z with p_Z(z) > 0.
```



Conditional Independence

□ Proof of Prop.1.

 $\langle \square$

 \implies Clear from the definition.

For any x, y, and z with
$$p_Z(z) > 0$$
,
 $p_Z(z) = \sum_{x,y} p_{XYZ}(x, y, z) = \sum_{x,y} f(x, z)g(y, z)$
 $= \left(\sum_x f(x, z)\right) \left(\sum_y g(y, z)\right)$

We have

$$p_{XY|Z}(x, y \mid z) = \frac{p_{XYZ}(x, y, z)}{p_Z(z)} = \frac{f(x, z)g(y, z)}{\sum_{\tilde{x}} f(\tilde{x}, z) \sum_{\tilde{y}} g(\tilde{y}, z)}$$

$$p_{Y|Z}(y \mid z) = \sum_{x} p_{XY|Z}(x, y \mid z) = \frac{\frac{\sum_{x} f(x, z)g(y, z)}{\sum_{\tilde{x}} f(\tilde{x}, z) \sum_{\tilde{y}} g(\tilde{y}, z)}}{\frac{f(x, z) \sum_{\tilde{y}} g(\tilde{y}, z)}{\sum_{\tilde{x}} f(\tilde{x}, z) \sum_{\tilde{y}} g(\tilde{y}, z)}}$$

Thus,

 $p_{XY|Z}(x,y|z) = p_{X|Z}(x|z)p_{Y|Z}(y|z)$

Undirected Graph and Markov Property

Undirected Graph

- Undirected Graph
 - G = (V, E): undirected graph (無向グラフ)
 V: finite set

 $E \subset V \times V$, the order is neglected. (a, b) = (b, a)

Example: $V = \{a, b, c, d\}$ $E = \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, d), (b, d)\}$



Graph terminology

- Complete: A subgraph S of V is complete (完全) if any a and b (a ≠ b) in S are connected by an edge.
- Clique: A clique is a maximal complete subset w.r.t. inclusion.

全) b d (a,b,d): complete, but not a clique

Probability and Undirected Graph

G = (V, E): undirected graph. $V = \{1, ..., n\}$

 $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$: random variables indexed by V.

The probability density function p(X) factorizes with respect to G if there is a non-negative function $\psi_C(X_C)$ for each clique C in Gsuch that

$$p(X) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{C: \text{ clique}} \psi_C(X_C) \qquad (Z: \text{ normalization constant})$$

Notation: for a subset *S* of *V*, $X_S = (X_a)_{a \in S}$

- A.k.a. Markov random field
- An undirected graph does not specify a single probability, but defines a family of probabilities, *i.e.* it defines a set of conditional independence relations.

Probability and Undirected Graph

Example



$$p(X) = \frac{1}{Z} \psi_1(X_a, X_c) \psi_2(X_b, X_c, X_d) \psi_3(X_c, X_d, X_e)$$

Practical Example

Markov random field for image

$$p(X) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{(i,j)\in E} \exp\left(-U_{ij}(X_i, X_j)\right)$$

 X_i in {+1,-1} (binary image)



e.g. $U_{ij}(X_i, X_j) = \beta X_i X_j$ (neighbors tend to take the same value) $p(X) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\beta \sum_{(i,j) \in E} X_i X_j\right)$

c.f. Ising model in statistical physics

Def. Separation.

G = (V, E): undirected graph.

A, B, S: disjoint subsets of V.

S separates A from B if every path

between any *a* in *A* and *b* in *B* intersects with *S*.



Theorem 3

G = (V, E): undirected graph.

X: random vector such that the p.d.f. factorizes w.r.t. *G*.

If S separates A from B, then

$$X_A \coprod X_B \mid X_S$$

(Proof: next lecture.)

• Example

$$p(X) = \frac{1}{Z} \psi_1(X_a, X_c) \psi_2(X_b, X_c, X_d) \psi_3(X_c, X_d, X_e)$$

• {c, d} separates {b} and {e} $\Longrightarrow X_b \coprod X_e \mid X_{\{c,d\}}$ $p(X_b, X_c, X_d, X_e) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{X_a} \psi_1(X_a, X_c) \psi_2(X_b, X_c, X_d) \psi_3(X_c, X_d, X_e)$ $= \frac{1}{Z} \widetilde{\psi}_1(X_c) \psi_2(X_b, X_c, X_d) \psi_3(X_c, X_d, X_e)$ $= \frac{1}{Z} f(X_b, X_c, X_d) g(X_e, X_c, X_d) \quad \text{Use prop.1.}$

$$\{c\} \text{ separates } \{a\} \text{ and } \{b\} \implies X_a \coprod X_b \mid X_c$$

$$p(X_a, X_b, X_c) = \frac{1}{Z} \psi_1(X_a, X_c) \sum_{X_d, X_e} \{\psi_2(X_b, X_c, X_d) \psi_3(X_c, X_d, X_e)\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \psi_1(X_a, X_c) g(X_b, X_c) \qquad \text{Use prop.1.}$$

а

С

b

d

- Global Markov Property G = (V, E): undirected graph
 - X: random vector indexed by V.
 - *X* satisfies global Markov property relative to *G* if $X_A \perp \!\!\!\perp X_B \mid X_S$ holds for any triplet (*A*,*B*,*S*) of disjoint subsets of *V* such that *S* separates *A* from *B*. (Graph tells the conditional independence)

The previous theorem tells if the distribution of *X* factorizes w.r.t. *G*, then *X* satisfies global Markov property relative to *G*.

Hammersley-Clifford theorem (see e.g. Lauritzen. Th.3.9)

Theorem 4

G = (V, E) : undirected graph

X: random vector indexed by *V*.

Assume that the probability density function p(X) of the distribution of *X* is strictly positive.

If *X* satisfies global Markov property w.r.t. *G*, then *X* factorizes w.r.t. *G*, *i.e.* p(X) admits the factorization:

$$p(X) = \prod_{C: \text{ clique}} \psi_C(X_C).$$



Directed Acyclic Graph and Markov Property

Directed Acyclic Graph

Directed Graph

G = (V, E): directed graph (有向グラフ)
 V: finite set -- nodes
 $E \subset V \times V$: set of edges

Example:
$$V = \{a, b, c, d\}$$

 $E = \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, d), (b, d)\}$



Orient the edge (a,b) by $a \rightarrow b$

Directed Acyclic graph (DAG, 非巡回有向グラフ)
 Directed graph with no cycles.

Cycle: directed path starting and ending at the same node.



DAG and **Probability**

- Probability associated with a DAG
 - A DAG defines a family of probability distributions

$$p(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(X_i \mid X_{pa(i)})$$
$$pa(i) = \{j \in V \mid (i, j) \in E\} \text{ : parents of node } i.$$

p factorizes wr.t. DAG G.



Practical Examples

• Finite mixture model

$$Z \bigoplus Z \in \text{Finite set} \qquad p(X,Z) = p(Z)p(X \mid Z)$$
$$p(X) = \sum_{Z} p(Z)p(X \mid Z)$$

Hidden Markov model



Mixture model and HMM are discussed later.

Conditional Independence with DAG

Three basic cases (1) (a) (b) $p(X_a, X_b, X_c) = p(X_a)p(X_c | X_a)p(X_b | X_c)$ $X_a \coprod X_b \mid X_c$ Note $p(X_a)p(X_c | X_a) = p(X_a, X_c) = p(X_c)p(X_a | X_c)$ $\implies p(X_a, X_b, X_c) = p(X_c) p(X_a \mid X_c) p(X_b \mid X_c)$ $p(X_{a}, X_{b} | X_{c}) = p(X_{a} | X_{c}) p(X_{b} | X_{c})$ (2)C $p(X_a, X_b, X_c) = p(X_c)p(X_a | X_c)p(X_b | X_c)$ b а $X_a \coprod X_b \mid X_c$

Note: $p(X_a, X_b, X_c)$ are the same for (1) and (2).

Conditional Independence with DAG



Sex (male/female) does influence both of hair length and stature, but given male (or female), hair length and stature are independent.

Conditional Independence with DAG



Note: $p(X_a, X_b, X_c)$ in (3) are different from (1) and (2).



If you often sneeze, but you do not have cold, then it is more likely you have allergy (hay fever).

D-Separation

Blocked:

An undirected path π is said to be blocked by a subset *S* in *V* if there exists a node *c* on the path such that either

(i) $c \in S$ and c is not head-to-head in π ($\bigcirc \bullet \bigcirc \bullet \bigcirc$ or $\bigcirc \bullet \bigcirc \bullet \bigcirc$),

(ii)
$$\longrightarrow c \leftarrow o$$
 and $(\{c\} \cup de(c)) \cap S = \phi$.
head-to-head

Descendent: $de(i) = \{ j \in V \mid \exists directed path from i to j \}$



D-Separation

d-separate:

A, B, S: disjoint subsets of V.

S d-separates A from B if every undirected path between a in A and b in B is blocked by S.

d-separation and conditional independence

Theorem 5

X: random vector with the distribution associated with DAG G.

A, B, S: disjoint subsets of V.

If *S* d-separates *A* from *B*, then

$$X_A \perp X_B \mid X_S$$

(Proof not shown in this course. See Lauritzen 1996, 3.23&3.25)

D-Separation

- Example
 - □ $X_a \coprod X_b$ $S = \phi$. $a \rightarrow c \leftarrow b$ is blocked (with c). $a \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \leftarrow b$ is blocked (with d) $a \rightarrow c \rightarrow e \leftarrow d \leftarrow b$ is blocked (with e)



□
$$X_a \coprod X_d \mid X_{\{b,c\}}$$

a → c → d is blocked (with c).
a → c ← b ← d is blocked (with b)
a → c → e ← d is blocked (with e or c)



Comparison: UDG and DAG

Limitation of undirected graph

 $p(X_a, X_b, X_c) = p(X_a)p(X_b)p(X_c | X_a, X_b)$





 DAG $X_a \amalg X_b, \quad X_a \not\amalg X_b \mid X_c$

If $X_a \not \amalg X_c$, $X_b \not \amalg X_c$, $X_a \not \amalg X_b | X_c$, any UDG is not able to express $X_a \coprod X_b$.

Comparison: UDG and DAG

Limitation of DAG

Undirected graph $p(X_a, X_b, X_c, X_d)$ $= p(X_a, X_b) p(X_a, X_c) p(X_b, X_d) p(X_c, X_d)$ $X_a \coprod X_d \mid X_{\{b,c\}} \qquad X_b \coprod X_c \mid X_{\{a,d\}}$



No DAG expresses these conditional independence relationships.

[Sketch of the proof.] If every node had the form \rightarrow , the graph would be a cycle. Thus, there must be a v-structure. Conditional independence of the parents of the v-structure given the other two nodes cannot be expressed by a DAG.

Appendix: Terminology on Graphs

- Undirected graph G = (V, E)
 - □ Adjacent(隣接): *a* and *b* in $V(a \neq b)$ are adjacent if $(a,b) \in E$.
 - Neighbor(近傍): $ne(a) = \{b \in V | (a,b) \in E\}.$

DAG G = (V, E)

- Parents: $pa(a) = \{b \in V \mid (b,a) \in E\}.$
- Children: $ch(a) = \{b \in V \mid (a,b) \in E\}.$
- □ Ancestors(先祖): $an(a) = \{b \in V \mid \exists \text{ directed path from } b \text{ to } a\}.$
- □ Descendents(子孫): $de(a) = \{b \in V \mid \exists \text{ directed path from } a \text{ to } b\}.$





More on Markov Property

Markov Properties Revisited

- Markov properties for an undirected graph G = (V, E) : undirected graph. *X*: random vector indexed by *V*. $V \setminus (\{a\} \cup ne(a))$
 - Local Markov X satisfies local Markov property relative to Gif for any node *a*

$$X_a \coprod X_{V \setminus (\{a\} \cup ne(a))} \mid X_{ne(a)}$$

Pairwise Markov

> X satisfies pairwise Markov property relative to G if any non-adjacent pair of nodes (a, b) satisfies

$$X_a \coprod X_b \mid X_{V \setminus \{a,b\}}$$



ne(a)

Markov Properties Revisited

Theorem 7

```
Factorization \Rightarrow global Markov \Rightarrow local Markov
\Rightarrow pairwise Markov
```

| proof) | factorization | \Rightarrow | global Markov : | Theorem 3. |
|--------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | global Markov | \Rightarrow | local Markov : | easy. |
| | local Markov | \Rightarrow | pairwise Markov | : needs some math |
| | | | | (Exercise). |

- Hammersley-Clifford asserts that the pairwise Markov property means factorization w.r.t. the graph under positivity of the density. (Theorem 4 assumes 'global Markov', but the assertion holds under 'pairwise Markov' assumptoin.)
- Similar notions are defined for directed and factor graphs.

Proof for Undirected Case

We show a slight generalization of Theorem 3.

Theorem 8

Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph. If the distribution of X factorizes as $n(X) = \frac{1}{2} \prod w(X)$

$$p(X) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{C: \text{ complete}} \psi_C(X_C),$$

then *X* satisfies global Markov property relative to *G*, *i.e.*, for a triplet (*S*, *A*, *B*) such that *S* separates *A* from *B*, the conditional independence $X_A \coprod X_B | X_S$ holds.





Let

$$\widetilde{A} = \{ d \in V \setminus S \mid \exists a \in A, \exists \pi \text{ path from } a \text{ to } d, \pi \cap S = \phi \}$$
$$\widetilde{B} = V \setminus (\widetilde{A} \cup S).$$

Proof for Undirected Case

Obviously $A \subset \widetilde{A}$, and since *S* separates *A* from *B*,

 $B \subset \widetilde{B}$.



We can show for any complete subgraph C

 $C \subset S \cup \widetilde{A}$ or $C \subset S \cup \widetilde{B}$ holds.

If $C \subset S$, there is nothing to prove.

Assume $C \not\subset S$.

Suppose that the above assertion does not hold, then $C \cap \widetilde{A} \neq \phi$ and $C \cap \widetilde{B} \neq \phi$. Let $a \in \widetilde{A} \cap C$ and $b \in \widetilde{B} \cap C$. Because *a* and *b* are in the complete subgraph *C*, there is an edge *e* connecting *a* and *b*. Since $a \in \widetilde{A}$, there is a path π from *a* to *A* without intersecting *S*. Connecting π and *e* makes a path from *b* to *A* without intersecting *S*, which contradicts with the definition of \widetilde{A} and \widetilde{B} .

Proof for Undirected Case

From this fact,

$$p(X) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{C: \text{ complete}} \psi_C(X_C) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{C: \text{ complete}\\C \subseteq S \cup \widetilde{A}}} \psi_C(X_C) \prod_{\substack{D: \text{ complete}\\D \subseteq S \cup \widetilde{B}}} \psi_D(X_D)$$

 $= f(X_{\tilde{A}}, X_{S})g(X_{\tilde{B}}, X_{S})$

which means

$$X_{\widetilde{A}} \coprod X_{\widetilde{B}} \mid X_S, \qquad (Proposition 1)$$

and thus

$$X_A \perp \!\!\!\perp X_B \mid X_S.$$
 Q.E.D.

Mini Summary

Undirected graph



Probability associated with G,
 (p(X) factorizes w.r.t. G)

$$p(X) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{C: \text{ clique}} \psi_C(X_C)$$

□ p(X) factorizes w.r.t. G⇒ X is global Markov relative to G. (*i.e.* if S separates A from B, then $X_A \coprod X_B \mid X_S$.) Directed acyclic graph (DAG)



• Probability associated with G(p(X) factorizes w.r.t. G)

1

$$p(X_1,...,X_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(X_i | X_{pa(i)})$$

□ p(X) factorizes w.r.t. *G* \implies *X* is d-global Markov relative to *G*. (*i.e.* if *S* d-separates *A* from *B*, then $X_A \coprod X_B | X_S$.) 40