

## Quasi-maximum likelihood estimation and penalized estimation under non-standard conditions

Junichiro Yoshida · Nakahiro Yoshida

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo: 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan.

### Supplementary material

#### 6 Appendix: proof of (22)

Let

$$\mathcal{K}(\lambda, a, b) = \frac{b^\lambda}{2} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( bt + \frac{a}{t} \right) \right] dt$$

Then  $\mathcal{K}(\lambda, \delta^2, \gamma^2) = (\gamma\delta)^\lambda K_\lambda(\gamma\delta)$ .

Simply denoted by  $p(x; \lambda, \delta, \gamma)$ , the density  $p_{\text{GIG}}(x; \lambda, \delta, \gamma)$  is expressed as

$$p(x; \lambda, \delta, \gamma) = \frac{\gamma^{2\lambda}}{2\mathcal{K}(\lambda, \delta^2, \gamma^2)} x^{\lambda-1} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\delta^2}{x} + \gamma^2 x \right) \right] \quad (x > 0)$$

This model is a curved exponential family:

$$p(x; \lambda, \delta, \gamma) = \exp \left[ (\lambda - 1) \log x - \frac{\delta^2}{2x} - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} x - \Psi(\lambda, \delta^2, \gamma^2) \right] \quad (x > 0)$$

with the potential  $\Psi(\lambda, a, b) = -\log \frac{b^\lambda}{2\mathcal{K}(\lambda, a, b)}$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(\lambda, \delta^2, \gamma^2) - \Psi(\lambda^*, 0, (\gamma^*)^2) \\ &= \log \int_0^\infty \exp \left[ (\lambda - 1) \log x - \frac{\delta^2}{2x} - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} x \right] dx \\ & \quad - \log \int_0^\infty \exp \left[ (\lambda^* - 1) \log x - \frac{0}{2x} - \frac{(\gamma^*)^2}{2} x \right] dx \\ &= D[\Delta(\lambda, \delta^2, \gamma^2)] + \int_0^1 (1-s) H(s, \lambda, \delta^2, \gamma^2) [(\Delta(\lambda, \delta^2, \gamma^2))^{\otimes 2}] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\lambda, \delta^2, \gamma^2) &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - \lambda^* \\ \delta^2 \\ \gamma^2 - (\gamma^*)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ D &= (\partial_{(\lambda, a, b)} \Psi)(\lambda^*, 0, (\gamma^*)^2) = \begin{pmatrix} E[\log \xi_0] \\ -2^{-1} E[\xi_0^{-1}] \\ -2^{-1} E[\xi_0] \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & H(s, \lambda, \delta^2, \gamma^2) \\ &= (\partial_{(\lambda, a, b)}^2 \Psi)(s\lambda + (1-s)\lambda^*, s\delta^2, s\gamma^2 + (1-s)(\gamma^*)^2) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \text{Var}[\log \xi_s] & -2^{-1}\text{Cov}[\log \xi_s, \xi_s^{-1}] & -2^{-1}\text{Cov}[\log \xi_s, \xi_s] \\ -2^{-1}\text{Cov}[\log \xi_s, \xi_s^{-1}] & 4^{-1}\text{Var}[\xi_s^{-1}] & 4^{-1}\text{Cov}[\xi_s^{-1}, \xi_s] \\ -2^{-1}\text{Cov}[\log \xi_s, \xi_s] & 4^{-1}\text{Cov}[\xi_s^{-1}, \xi_s] & 4^{-1}\text{Var}[\xi_s] \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\xi_s = \xi_s(\lambda, \delta, \gamma) = \xi(s\lambda + (1-s)\lambda^*, \sqrt{s}\delta, \sqrt{s\gamma^2 + (1-s)(\gamma^*)^2})$ , where  $\xi(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)$  denotes a random variable such that  $\xi(\lambda, \delta, \gamma) \sim \text{GIG}(\lambda, \delta, \gamma)$ .

Let  $a_n = \text{diag}[n^{-1/2}, n^{-1/4}, n^{-1/2}]$ , and define  $U_n$  and  $U$  as (5) and (7), respectively, for  $\Theta := [\underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}] \times [0, \bar{\delta}] \times [\underline{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}]$  and  $\theta^* := (\lambda^*, 0, \gamma^*)$ . For  $\mathbb{H}_n(\theta) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log p(X_j; \theta)$  ( $\theta = (\lambda, \delta, \gamma) \in \Theta$ ) and  $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in U_n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log Z_n(u) &= \mathbb{H}_n(\theta^* + a_n u) - \mathbb{H}_n(\theta^*) \\ &= u_1 n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\log X_j} - u_2^2 n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{-1} \widetilde{X_j^{-1}} - u_3 \gamma^* n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{X_j} \\ &\quad - n \int_0^1 (1-s) H(s, \lambda^* + n^{-1/2} u_1, n^{-1/2} u_2^2, (\gamma^* + n^{-1/2} u_3)^2) \\ &\quad \times \left[ \left( n^{-1/2} u_1, n^{-1/2} u_2^2, (\gamma^* + n^{-1/2} u_3)^2 - (\gamma^*)^2 \right)^{\otimes 2} \right] ds \\ &\quad - u_3^2 2^{-1} n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{X_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where we are writing  $\widetilde{F(X_j)} = F(X_j) - E[F(X_j)]$  for a function  $F(X_j)$  of a random variable  $X_j$  satisfying  $X_j \sim \text{GIG}(\lambda^*, 0, \gamma^*) = \Gamma(\lambda^*, (\gamma^*)^2/2)$ . Then it is possible to write it as

$$\begin{aligned} \log Z_n(u) &= u_1 n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\log X_j} - u_2^2 n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{-1} \widetilde{X_j^{-1}} - u_3 \gamma^* n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{X_j} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} C[(u_1, u_2^2, u_3)^{\otimes}] + r_n(u) \end{aligned}$$

with the positive-definite covariance matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Var}[\log \xi_0] & -2^{-1}\text{Cov}[\log \xi_0, \xi_0^{-1}] & -\gamma^* \text{Cov}[\log \xi_0, \xi_0] \\ -2^{-1}\text{Cov}[\log \xi_0, \xi_0^{-1}] & 4^{-1}\text{Var}[\xi_0^{-1}] & 2^{-1}\gamma^* \text{Cov}[\xi_0^{-1}, \xi_0] \\ -\gamma^* \text{Cov}[\log \xi_0, \xi_0] & 2^{-1}\gamma^* \text{Cov}[\xi_0^{-1}, \xi_0] & (\gamma^*)^2 \text{Var}[\xi_0] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (85)$$

and the term  $r_n(u)$  satisfying

$$\sup_{u \in U_n} \frac{|r_n(u)|}{1 + |u_1|^2 + |u_2|^4 + |u_3|^2} = O_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

Then, Condition **[A1]** is verified by the estimate

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left[ M_n \geq (2^{-1} \lambda_{\min}[C] + O_p(n^{-1/2}))R \right] = 0$$

for  $M_n = |n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\log X_j}| + |n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{-1} \widetilde{X_j^{-1}}| + |n^{-1/2} \gamma^* \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{X_j}|$ .  
Condition **[A2]** is satisfied with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}_n(u) &= \exp \left( u_1 n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\log X_j} - u_2^2 n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n 2^{-1} \widetilde{X_j^{-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u_3 \gamma^* n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{X_j} - \frac{1}{2} C[(u_1, u_2^2, u_3)^{\otimes 2}] \right), \\ \mathbb{Z}(u) &= \exp \left( \Delta \cdot (u_1, u_2^2, u_3) - \frac{1}{2} C[(u_1, u_2^2, u_3)^{\otimes 2}] \right) \end{aligned}$$

with a three-dimensional random vector  $\Delta \sim N_3(0, C)$ . Now from Example 1, **[A3]** holds, and we obtain  $U = \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ . Condition **[A4]** obviously holds. Therefore, Theorem 1 concludes that the MLE  $(\widehat{\lambda}_n, \widehat{\delta}_n, \widehat{\gamma}_n)$  admits (22).  $\square$