

Supplementary material to "On the universal consistency of an over-parametrized deep neural network estimate learned by gradient descent"

Selina Drews and Michael Kohler

Auxiliary results for the proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 6 *Let $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and differentiable, and assume that its derivative is bounded. Let $\alpha_n \geq 1$, $t_n \geq L_n$, $\gamma_n^* \geq 1$, $B_n \geq 1$, $r \geq 2d$,*

$$|w_{1,1,k}^{(L)}| \leq \gamma_n^* \quad (k = 1, \dots, K_n), \quad (64)$$

$$|w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}| \leq B_n \quad \text{for } l = 1, \dots, L-1 \quad (65)$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v}\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{2t_n}{L_n} \cdot \max\{F_n(\mathbf{v}), 1\}. \quad (66)$$

Then we have

$$\|(\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} F_n)(\mathbf{w})\| \leq c_{44} \cdot K_n^{3/2} \cdot B_n^{2L} \cdot (\gamma_n^*)^2 \cdot \alpha_n^2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{t_n}{L_n} \cdot \max\{F_n(\mathbf{v}), 1\}}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} F_n(\mathbf{w})\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k,i,j,l} \left(\frac{2}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (Y_s - f_{\mathbf{w}}(X_s)) \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \cdot \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}} \left(c_2 \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{K_n} |w_{1,1,r}^{(L)}|^2 \right) \right)^2 \\ &\leq 8 \cdot \sum_{k,i,j,l} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (Y_s - f_{\mathbf{w}}(X_s))^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right)^2 \\ & \quad + 8 \cdot c_2^2 \cdot K_n \cdot (\gamma_n^*)^2 \\ &\leq c_{45} \cdot K_n \cdot L \cdot r^2 \cdot d \cdot \max_{k,i,j,l,s} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right)^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (Y_s - f_{\mathbf{w}}(X_s))^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) + 8 \cdot c_2^2 \cdot K_n \cdot (\gamma_n^*)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The chain rule implies

$$\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(x) = \sum_{s_{l+2}=1}^r \cdots \sum_{s_{L-1}=1}^r f_{k,j}^{(l)}(x) \cdot \sigma' \left(\sum_{t=1}^r w_{k,i,t}^{(l)} \cdot f_{k,t}^{(l)}(x) + w_{k,i,0}^{(l)} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \cdot w_{k,s_{l+2},i}^{(l+1)} \cdot \sigma' \left(\sum_{t=1}^r w_{k,s_{l+2},t}^{(l+1)} \cdot f_{k,t}^{(l+1)}(x) + w_{k,s_{l+2},0}^{(l+1)} \right) \cdot w_{k,s_{l+3},s_{l+2}}^{(l+2)} \\
& \cdot \sigma' \left(\sum_{t=1}^r w_{k,s_{l+3},t}^{(l+2)} \cdot f_{k,t}^{(l+2)}(x) + w_{k,s_{l+3},0}^{(l+2)} \right) \cdots w_{k,s_{L-1},s_{L-2}}^{(L-2)} \\
& \cdot \sigma' \left(\sum_{t=1}^r w_{k,s_{L-1},t}^{(L-2)} \cdot f_{k,t}^{(L-2)}(x) + w_{k,s_{L-1},0}^{(L-2)} \right) \cdot w_{k,1,s_{L-1}}^{(L-1)} \\
& \cdot \sigma' \left(\sum_{t=1}^r w_{k,1,t}^{(L-1)} \cdot f_{k,t}^{(L-1)}(x) + w_{k,1,0}^{(L-1)} \right) \cdot w_{1,1,k}^{(L)}, \tag{67}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the abbreviations

$$f_{k,j}^{(0)}(x) = \begin{cases} x^{(j)} & \text{if } j \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_{k,0}^{(l)}(x) = 1 \quad (l = 1, \dots, L-1).$$

Using the assumptions of Lemma 6 we can conclude

$$\max_{k,i,j,l,s} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right)^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \leq c_{45} \cdot r^{2L} \cdot \max\{\|\sigma'\|_{\infty}^{2L}, 1\} \cdot B_n^{2L} \cdot (\gamma_n^*)^2 \cdot \alpha_n^2.$$

By the Lipschitz continuity of σ together with the assumptions of Lemma 6 we get for any $x \in [-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d$

$$|f_{\mathbf{w}}(x) - f_{\mathbf{v}}(x)| \leq 2 \cdot K_n \cdot \max\{\|\sigma'\|_{\infty}^L, 1\} \cdot \gamma_n^* \cdot (2r+1)^L \cdot B_n^L \cdot \alpha_n \cdot \max\{\|\sigma\|_{\infty}, 1\} \cdot \|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v}\|_{\infty}.$$

(cf., e.g., Lemma 5 in Kohler and Krzyżak (2021) for a related proof). This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (Y_s - f_{\mathbf{w}}(X_s))^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \\
& \leq 2 \cdot F_n(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (f_{\mathbf{v}}(X_s) - f_{\mathbf{w}}(X_s))^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \\
& \leq 2 \cdot F_n(\mathbf{v}) + 8 \cdot \max\{\|\sigma'\|_{\infty}^{2L}, 1\} \cdot K_n^2 \cdot \gamma_n^{*2} \cdot (2r+1)^{2L} \cdot B_n^{2L} \cdot \alpha_n^2 \cdot \max\{\|\sigma\|_{\infty}, 1\}^2 \\
& \quad \cdot \frac{2t_n}{L_n} \cdot \max\{F_n(\mathbf{v}), 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the above results, the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 7 *Let $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and differentiable, and assume that its derivative is Lipschitz continuous and bounded. Let $\alpha_n \geq 1$, $t_n \geq L_n$, $\gamma_n^* \geq 1$, $B_n \geq 1$, $r \geq 2d$ and assume*

$$|\max\{(\mathbf{w}_1)_{1,1,k}^{(L)}, (\mathbf{w}_2)_{1,1,k}^{(L)}\}| \leq \gamma_n^* \quad (k = 1, \dots, K_n), \tag{68}$$

$$|\max\{(\mathbf{w}_1)_{k,i,j}^{(l)}, (\mathbf{w}_2)_{k,i,j}^{(l)}\}| \leq B_n \quad \text{for } l = 1, \dots, L-1 \quad (69)$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{v}\|^2 \leq 8 \cdot \frac{t_n}{L_n} \cdot \max\{F_n(\mathbf{v}), 1\}. \quad (70)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} F_n)(\mathbf{w}_1) - (\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} F_n)(\mathbf{w}_2)\| \\ & \leq c_{46} \cdot \max\{\sqrt{F_n(\mathbf{v})}, 1\} \cdot (\gamma_n^*)^2 \cdot B_n^{3L} \cdot \alpha_n^3 \cdot K_n^{3/2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{t_n}{L_n}} \cdot \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} F_n(\mathbf{w}_1) - \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} F_n(\mathbf{w}_2)\|^2 \\ & = \sum_{k,i,j,l} \left(\frac{2}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (Y_s - f_{\mathbf{w}_1}(X_s)) \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \cdot \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_1}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}} \left(c_2 \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{K_n} |(\mathbf{w}_1)_{1,1,r}^{(L)}|^2 \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{k,i,j,l} \left(\frac{2}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (Y_s - f_{\mathbf{w}_2}(X_s)) \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \cdot \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_2}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}} \left(c_2 \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{K_n} |(\mathbf{w}_2)_{1,1,r}^{(L)}|^2 \right) \right) \right)^2 \\ & \leq 16 \cdot \sum_{k,i,j,l} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (f_{\mathbf{w}_2}(X_s) - f_{\mathbf{w}_1}(X_s)) \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \cdot \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_1}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right)^2 \\ & \quad + 16 \cdot \sum_{k,i,j,l} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (Y_s - f_{\mathbf{w}_2}(X_s)) \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_1}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) - \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_2}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right) \right)^2 \\ & \quad + 8 \cdot c_2^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|^2 \\ & \leq 16 \cdot \sum_{k,i,j,l} \max_{s=1, \dots, n} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_1}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right)^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (f_{\mathbf{w}_2}(X_s) - f_{\mathbf{w}_1}(X_s))^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \\ & \quad + 16 \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (Y_s - f_{\mathbf{w}_2}(X_s))^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \sum_{k,i,j,l} \max_{s=1,\dots,n} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_1}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) - \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_2}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right)^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \\ & + 8 \cdot c_2^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|_\infty^2. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Lemma 6 we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k,i,j,l} \max_{s=1,\dots,n} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_1}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right)^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \\ & \leq c_{47} \cdot K_n \cdot L \cdot r^2 \cdot d \cdot r^{2L} \cdot \max\{\|\sigma'\|_\infty^{2L}, 1\} \cdot B_n^{2L} \cdot (\gamma_n^*)^2 \cdot \alpha_n^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (f_{\mathbf{w}_2}(X_s) - f_{\mathbf{w}_1}(X_s))^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \\ & \leq 4 \cdot \max\{\|\sigma'\|_\infty^{2L}, 1\} \cdot K_n^2 \cdot (2r+1)^{2L} \cdot (\gamma_n^*)^2 \cdot B_n^{2L} \cdot \alpha_n^2 \cdot \max\{\|\sigma\|_\infty, 1\}^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^n (Y_s - f_{\mathbf{w}_2}(X_s))^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s) \\ & \leq 2 \cdot F_n(\mathbf{v}) + 8 \cdot \max\{\|\sigma'\|_\infty^{2L}, 1\} \cdot K_n^2 \cdot (2r+1)^{2L} \cdot (\gamma_n^*)^2 \cdot B_n^{2L} \cdot \alpha_n^2 \cdot \max\{\|\sigma\|_\infty, 1\}^2 \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{8t_n}{L_n} \cdot \max\{F_n(v), 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

So it remains to bound

$$\sum_{k,i,j,l} \max_{s=1,\dots,n} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_1}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) - \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_2}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right)^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s).$$

By (67) we know that

$$\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(x)$$

is for fixed $x \in [-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d$ a sum of products of Lipschitz continuous functions (considered as functions of \mathbf{w}). Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 6 in Kohler and Krzyżak (2021) we can show that we have for any $x \in [-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d$

$$\left| \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_1}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(x) - \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_2}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(x) \right| \leq c_{48} \cdot B_n^{2L} \cdot \gamma_n^* \cdot \alpha_n \cdot \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{k,i,j,l} \max_{s=1,\dots,n} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_1}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) - \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{w}_2}}{\partial w_{k,i,j}^{(l)}}(X_s) \right)^2 \cdot 1_{[-\alpha_n, \alpha_n]^d}(X_s)$$

$$\leq c_{49} \cdot K_n \cdot L \cdot r^2 \cdot d \cdot B_n^{4L} \cdot (\gamma_n^*)^2 \cdot \alpha_n^4 \cdot \|\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2\|^2.$$

Summarizing the above results we get the assertion. \square

In order to be able to formulate our next auxiliary result we need the following notation: Let $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$, let $K \in \mathbb{N}$, let $B_1, \dots, B_K : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $c_2 > 0$. In the next lemma we consider the problem to minimize

$$F(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \cdot B_k(x_i) - y_i \right|^2 + c_2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} a_k^2, \quad (71)$$

where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_K)^T$, by gradient descent. To do this, we choose $\mathbf{a}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^K$ and set

$$\mathbf{a}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{a}^{(t)} - \lambda_n \cdot (\nabla_{\mathbf{a}} F)(\mathbf{a}^{(t)}) \quad (72)$$

for some properly chosen $\lambda_n > 0$.

Lemma 8 *Let F be defined by (71) and choose \mathbf{a}_{opt} such that*

$$F(\mathbf{a}_{opt}) = \min_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^K} F(\mathbf{a}).$$

Then for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^K$ we have

$$\|(\nabla_{\mathbf{a}} F)(\mathbf{a})\|^2 \geq 4 \cdot c_2 \cdot (F(\mathbf{a}) - F(\mathbf{a}_{opt})).$$

Proof. The proof is a modification of the proof of Lemma 3 in Braun, Kohler and Walk (2019).

Set

$$\mathbf{E} = c_2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{B} = (B_j(x_i))_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq K} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}^T \cdot \mathbf{B} + c_2 \cdot \mathbf{E}.$$

Then \mathbf{A} is positive definite and hence regular, from which we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{a}) &= \frac{1}{n} \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{y})^T \cdot (\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{y}) + c_2 \cdot \mathbf{a}^T \cdot \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{a} \\ &= \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{a} - 2\mathbf{y}^T \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y})^T \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}) + F(\mathbf{a}_{opt}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(\mathbf{a}_{opt}) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^T \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}.$$

Using

$$\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{b} \geq c_2 \cdot \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{E} \mathbf{b} = c_2 \cdot \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{b}$$

and $\mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A}$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\mathbf{a}) - F(\mathbf{a}_{opt}) \\ &= ((\mathbf{A}^{1/2})^T (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}))^T \mathbf{A}^{1/2} (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_2} \cdot ((\mathbf{A}^{1/2})^T (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}))^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{1/2} (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \frac{1}{c_2} \cdot ((\mathbf{A})^T (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}))^T \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \frac{1}{c_2} \cdot (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y})^T (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{a} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4 \cdot c_2} \cdot (2\mathbf{A} \mathbf{a} - \frac{2}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y})^T (2\mathbf{A} \mathbf{a} - \frac{2}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4 \cdot c_2} \cdot \|(\nabla_{\mathbf{a}} F)(\mathbf{a})\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{a}} F)(\mathbf{a}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{a}} \left(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{a} - 2\mathbf{y}^T \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{a} + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} \right) = 2\mathbf{A} \mathbf{a} - \frac{2}{n} \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{y}.$$

□

References

- Kohler, M., and Krzyżak, A. (2021). Over-parametrized deep neural networks minimizing the empirical risk do not generalize well. *Bernoulli*, 27, 2564–2597
- Braun, A., Kohler, M., and Walk, H. (2019). On the rate of convergence of a neural network regression estimate learned by gradient descent. *arXiv*: 1912.03921.