

Supplementary Material for “Test for Conditional Quantile Change in General Conditional Heteroscedastic Time Series Models”

Sangyeol Lee¹, Chang Kyeom Kim

Department of Statistics, Seoul National University, Seoul, 08826, Korea

This supplementary file contains detailed results regarding the simulation studies conducted in Section 4. Specifically, Tables S.1 to S.3 describe the quality of the quantile regression estimators of the proposed CUSUM tests of all six cases introduced in Section 4.1, which report the bias, standard deviation (SD), root mean-squared error (RMSE), and the rejection rate of two coverage tests. Moreover, Tables S.4 to S.9 depict empirical sizes and powers of our proposed test statistics for all six cases. Finally, Tables S.10 to S.11 report the mean and the standard deviation (SD) of the location of the detected change point, where the quantile and the location of the change is given as $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$ and $n = 1,000$.

¹Corresponding author: sylee@stats.snu.ac.kr

Table S.1: Quality of the quantile regression estimators for Cases 1-3, where $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$. SD and RMSE respectively are abbreviations for the standard deviation and root mean-squared error.

			$\tau = 0.9$				$\tau = 0.1$			
			α_1	α_2	β	ξ	α_1	α_2	β	ξ
Case 1	$n = 500$	Bias	0.116	0.250	0.043	-0.028	0.203	0.211	0.034	0.024
		SD	1.195	0.729	0.282	0.093	1.166	0.698	0.277	0.091
		RMSE	1.201	0.771	0.285	0.097	1.184	0.729	0.279	0.094
	$n = 1,000$	Bias	0.094	0.135	0.006	-0.011	0.176	0.101	0.016	0.014
		SD	0.871	0.540	0.246	0.078	0.945	0.520	0.242	0.077
		RMSE	0.876	0.556	0.246	0.079	0.961	0.530	0.242	0.079
	$n = 1,500$	Bias	0.122	0.073	-0.005	-0.005	0.149	0.082	-0.003	0.006
		SD	0.763	0.431	0.215	0.068	0.798	0.440	0.218	0.070
		RMSE	0.773	0.438	0.215	0.069	0.811	0.448	0.218	0.070
Case 2	$n = 500$	Bias	0.124	0.154	0.041	-0.017	0.209	-0.017	0.041	0.016
		SD	1.822	2.623	0.294	0.066	1.872	2.575	0.284	0.065
		RMSE	1.826	2.628	0.297	0.068	1.883	2.575	0.287	0.066
	$n = 1,000$	Bias	0.046	0.060	0.022	-0.011	0.087	-0.004	0.024	0.011
		SD	1.437	2.046	0.265	0.069	1.477	2.101	0.258	0.058
		RMSE	1.438	2.047	0.266	0.070	1.480	2.101	0.259	0.059
	$n = 1,500$	Bias	0.014	0.176	-0.017	-0.001	0.101	0.028	-0.009	0.002
		SD	1.241	1.774	0.229	0.050	1.234	1.767	0.228	0.049
		RMSE	1.241	1.783	0.230	0.050	1.238	1.767	0.228	0.049
Case 3	$n = 500$	Bias	-0.032	-0.142	-0.088	-0.242	-0.024	-0.157	-0.088	0.238
		SD	0.100	0.176	0.150	0.186	0.102	0.193	0.152	0.188
		RMSE	0.105	0.226	0.174	0.305	0.105	0.249	0.175	0.303
	$n = 1,000$	Bias	-0.029	-0.108	-0.056	-0.285	-0.022	-0.118	-0.052	0.290
		SD	0.080	0.132	0.097	0.118	0.082	0.137	0.096	0.121
		RMSE	0.085	0.170	0.112	0.308	0.085	0.181	0.109	0.314
	$n = 1,500$	Bias	-0.023	-0.088	-0.040	-0.310	-0.024	-0.098	-0.037	0.310
		SD	0.070	0.105	0.071	0.086	0.068	0.114	0.077	0.095
		RMSE	0.074	0.137	0.082	0.322	0.072	0.150	0.086	0.325

Table S.2: Quality of the quantile regression estimators for Cases 4-6, where $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$.

			$\tau = 0.9$					$\tau = 0.1$				
			ϕ	ψ	α	β	ξ	ϕ	ψ	α	β	ξ
Case 4	$n = 500$	Bias	-0.008	-0.036	0.487	-0.063	0.086	-0.005	-0.042	0.484	-0.067	-0.089
		SD	0.158	0.158	0.733	0.226	0.090	0.160	0.162	0.753	0.217	0.089
		RMSE	0.159	0.162	0.880	0.234	0.125	0.160	0.167	0.895	0.227	0.126
	$n = 1,000$	Bias	-0.005	-0.040	0.435	-0.081	0.097	0.003	-0.050	0.433	-0.095	-0.102
		SD	0.112	0.114	0.568	0.179	0.071	0.115	0.118	0.551	0.174	0.069
		RMSE	0.112	0.121	0.715	0.196	0.120	0.115	0.129	0.701	0.198	0.124
	$n = 1,500$	Bias	0.000	-0.045	0.382	-0.096	0.105	-0.008	-0.039	0.370	-0.089	-0.103
		SD	0.094	0.097	0.456	0.152	0.060	0.094	0.095	0.449	0.161	0.064
		RMSE	0.094	0.107	0.595	0.180	0.121	0.094	0.103	0.582	0.184	0.121
Case 5	$n = 500$	Bias	0.000	0.046	0.177	-0.080	0.160	0.001	0.046	0.161	-0.109	-0.183
		SD	0.080	0.090	0.266	0.204	0.138	0.079	0.094	0.269	0.181	0.129
		RMSE	0.080	0.101	0.320	0.220	0.211	0.079	0.105	0.313	0.211	0.224
	$n = 1,000$	Bias	-0.001	0.049	0.150	-0.095	0.173	-0.005	0.050	0.149	-0.107	-0.181
		SD	0.055	0.065	0.206	0.171	0.113	0.056	0.068	0.201	0.162	0.110
		RMSE	0.055	0.081	0.255	0.195	0.207	0.056	0.084	0.250	0.194	0.212
	$n = 1,500$	Bias	0.001	0.044	0.148	-0.108	0.184	0.002	0.044	0.148	-0.109	-0.184
		SD	0.046	0.053	0.165	0.142	0.095	0.044	0.053	0.167	0.142	0.094
		RMSE	0.046	0.069	0.222	0.179	0.207	0.044	0.069	0.223	0.179	0.207
Case 6	$n = 500$	Bias	0.232	-0.576	-0.205	-0.197	1.232	0.221	-0.570	-0.204	-0.185	-1.203
		SD	0.680	0.675	0.054	0.161	0.497	0.641	0.636	0.054	0.158	0.467
		RMSE	0.719	0.888	0.212	0.255	1.328	0.678	0.855	0.211	0.243	1.291
	$n = 1,000$	Bias	0.188	-0.529	-0.198	-0.157	1.108	0.210	-0.558	-0.199	-0.155	-1.112
		SD	0.669	0.665	0.042	0.107	0.340	0.619	0.614	0.043	0.102	0.327
		RMSE	0.694	0.850	0.202	0.191	1.159	0.654	0.830	0.204	0.186	1.159
	$n = 1,500$	Bias	0.172	-0.515	-0.200	-0.147	1.088	0.178	-0.526	-0.198	-0.146	-1.079
		SD	0.658	0.655	0.035	0.076	0.249	0.590	0.585	0.035	0.075	0.242
		RMSE	0.680	0.833	0.203	0.165	1.116	0.616	0.787	0.201	0.164	1.105

Table S.3: Rejection rate of the Kupiec's and Christoffersen's coverage tests for all six cases, under $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$.

		Kupiec		Christoffersen	
		$\tau = 0.9$	$\tau = 0.1$	$\tau = 0.9$	$\tau = 0.1$
Case 1	$n = 500$	0	0	0.007	0.007
	$n = 1,000$	0	0	0.002	0.009
	$n = 1,500$	0	0	0.004	0.007
Case 2	$n = 500$	0	0	0.012	0.008
	$n = 1,000$	0	0	0.010	0.005
	$n = 1,500$	0	0	0.008	0.006
Case 3	$n = 500$	0	0	0.024	0.006
	$n = 1,000$	0	0	0.025	0.010
	$n = 1,500$	0	0	0.018	0.012
Case 4	$n = 500$	0	0	0.003	0.007
	$n = 1,000$	0	0	0.008	0.012
	$n = 1,500$	0	0	0.011	0.004
Case 5	$n = 500$	0	0	0.008	0.011
	$n = 1,000$	0	0	0.011	0.011
	$n = 1,500$	0	0	0.011	0.011
Case 6	$n = 500$	0	0	0.034	0.014
	$n = 1,000$	0	0	0.037	0.036
	$n = 1,500$	0	0	0.04	0.029

Table S.4: Empirical sizes and powers of the CUSUM test when the underlying model is GJR-GARCH(1,1) with $(\omega, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta) = (0.3, 0.5, 0.1, 0.3)$ (Case 1), under $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$.

n = 500				n = 1,000				n = 1,500			
\hat{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		$\hat{T}_{n,2}$		$\hat{T}_{n,2}$	
$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$
size	0.044	0.041	0.050	0.042	0.044	0.042	0.047	0.047	0.044	0.049	0.053
$\omega \rightarrow 0.5$	0.988	0.965	0.807	0.108	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.989	0.353	1.000	0.050
$\omega \rightarrow 0.7$	0.998	0.984	0.824	0.052	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.996	0.173	1.000	0.058
$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.230	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.548	1.000	0.059
$\omega \rightarrow 0.01$	1.000	1.000	0.901	0.061	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.208	1.000	0.059
$\tau = 0.9$	$\alpha_1 \rightarrow 2.5$	0.324	0.324	0.227	0.046	0.778	0.776	0.608	0.625	0.556	0.095
	$\alpha_2 \rightarrow 2.5$	0.467	0.469	0.352	0.064	0.929	0.931	0.801	0.813	0.735	0.160
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.6$	0.771	0.675	0.435	0.107	1.000	0.999	0.974	0.953	0.792	0.246
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.7$	0.968	0.926	0.688	0.111	0.999	0.999	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
	$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	0.219	0.146	0.045	0.043	0.990	0.986	0.457	0.330	0.071	0.030
	$\eta_t \sim Z_7$	0.301	0.176	0.025	0.052	0.988	0.983	0.573	0.402	0.047	0.041
	size	0.040	0.046	0.046	0.036	0.057	0.053	0.040	0.043	0.047	0.046
$\tau = 0.1$	$\omega \rightarrow 0.5$	0.981	0.958	0.789	0.112	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.982	0.369	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.7$	0.999	0.994	0.833	0.056	0.999	0.999	1.000	0.994	0.159	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.259	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.559	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.01$	1.000	1.000	0.893	0.074	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.208	1.000
	$\alpha_1 \rightarrow 2.5$	0.329	0.330	0.233	0.070	0.772	0.773	0.555	0.585	0.515	0.134
	$\alpha_2 \rightarrow 2.5$	0.496	0.501	0.360	0.041	0.935	0.926	0.817	0.829	0.715	0.115
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.6$	0.756	0.669	0.440	0.105	1.000	1.000	0.976	0.944	0.808	0.267
	$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	0.235	0.165	0.045	0.050	0.989	0.986	0.430	0.335	0.090	0.039
ζ_{τ}	$\eta_t \sim Z_7$	0.319	0.204	0.044	0.043	0.983	0.980	0.544	0.399	0.062	0.036
	size	0.040	0.046	0.046	0.036	0.057	0.053	0.040	0.043	0.047	0.046

Table S.5: Empirical sizes and powers of the CUSUM test when the underlying model is GJR-GARCH(1,1) with $(\omega, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta) = (0.2, 0.3, 0.7, 0.3)$ (Case 2), under $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$.

		n = 500						n = 1,000						n = 1,500								
		\hat{T}_n			$\tilde{T}_{n,2}$			\hat{T}_n			$\tilde{T}_{n,2}$			\hat{T}_n			$\tilde{T}_{n,2}$					
		$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	
	size	0.049	0.052	0.049	0.041	0.053	0.046	0.052	0.055	0.069	0.062	0.049	0.050	0.049	0.050	0.049	0.050	0.058	0.054			
$\tau = 0.9$	$\omega \rightarrow 0.25$	0.513	0.424	0.272	0.086	0.989	0.987	0.847	0.778	0.594	0.221	1.000	1.000	0.951	0.919	0.770	0.315	1.000	1.000			
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.35$	0.998	0.991	0.911	0.157	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.998	0.490	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.775	1.000	1.000		
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.15$	0.757	0.681	0.472	0.131	1.000	1.000	0.974	0.944	0.830	0.340	1.000	1.000	0.995	0.990	0.933	0.519	1.000	1.000			
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	1.000	0.999	0.991	0.255	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.730	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.960	1.000	1.000		
$\tau = 0.9$	$\alpha_1 \rightarrow 3.5$	0.460	0.472	0.347	0.069	0.925	0.915	0.757	0.780	0.704	0.154	0.998	0.998	0.914	0.931	0.900	0.299	1.000	1.000			
	$\alpha_2 \rightarrow 3.5$	0.295	0.299	0.242	0.063	0.793	0.793	0.591	0.627	0.560	0.182	0.981	0.977	0.778	0.814	0.768	0.296	1.000	1.000			
	$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rightarrow (1.5, 2.5)$	0.506	0.526	0.452	0.143	0.947	0.942	0.816	0.848	0.802	0.368	0.998	0.998	0.929	0.951	0.942	0.585	1.000	1.000			
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.05$	0.393	0.349	0.267	0.116	0.923	0.922	0.707	0.657	0.521	0.246	1.000	1.000	0.866	0.813	0.691	0.375	1.000	1.000			
$\tau = 0.7$	$\beta \rightarrow 0.7$	0.970	0.910	0.689	0.125	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.998	0.958	0.346	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.994	0.573	1.000	1.000		
	$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	0.261	0.179	0.051	0.040	0.993	0.989	0.462	0.377	0.097	0.032	0.999	0.997	0.662	0.531	0.141	0.031	1.000	1.000			
	$\eta_t \sim Z_7$	0.369	0.248	0.044	0.052	0.985	0.980	0.584	0.452	0.086	0.038	0.996	0.994	0.815	0.669	0.113	0.055	0.998	0.998			
	size	0.048	0.049	0.043	0.031	0.068	0.065	0.051	0.053	0.053	0.041	0.066	0.060	0.042	0.046	0.055	0.041	0.048	0.045			
$\tau = 0.1$	$\omega \rightarrow 0.25$	0.479	0.400	0.255	0.079	0.981	0.980	0.845	0.764	0.561	0.210	1.000	0.999	0.951	0.912	0.752	0.351	1.000	1.000			
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.35$	0.999	0.995	0.914	0.155	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.485	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.773	1.000	1.000		
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.15$	0.749	0.666	0.448	0.134	1.000	1.000	0.977	0.951	0.830	0.363	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.988	0.943	0.536	1.000	1.000			
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	1.000	1.000	0.992	0.287	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.758	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.960	1.000	1.000		
$\tau = 0.1$	$\alpha_1 \rightarrow 3.5$	0.447	0.461	0.364	0.089	0.911	0.903	0.781	0.816	0.743	0.232	0.998	0.998	0.920	0.948	0.913	0.373	1.000	1.000			
	$\alpha_2 \rightarrow 3.5$	0.365	0.361	0.287	0.058	0.803	0.800	0.597	0.624	0.574	0.118	0.979	0.981	0.795	0.823	0.776	0.263	0.998	0.998			
	$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rightarrow (1.5, 2.5)$	0.494	0.525	0.456	0.129	0.942	0.935	0.836	0.860	0.825	0.347	0.999	0.999	0.938	0.959	0.950	0.592	1.000	1.000			
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.05$	0.381	0.339	0.257	0.121	0.931	0.935	0.715	0.640	0.487	0.219	0.999	0.999	0.866	0.811	0.698	0.361	1.000	1.000			
$\tau = 0.7$	$\beta \rightarrow 0.7$	0.958	0.908	0.662	0.130	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.998	0.971	0.345	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.995	0.573	1.000	1.000		
	$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	0.273	0.206	0.055	0.037	0.987	0.981	0.471	0.360	0.081	0.026	1.000	0.999	0.646	0.520	0.140	0.021	1.000	1.000			
	$\eta_t \sim Z_7$	0.382	0.276	0.042	0.037	0.974	0.964	0.623	0.472	0.074	0.047	0.998	0.998	0.794	0.646	0.105	0.044	0.998	0.998			

Table S.6: Empirical sizes and powers of the CUSUM test when the underlying model is GJR-GARCH(1,1) with $(\omega, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta) = (0.7, 0.1, 0.5, 0.6)$ (Case 3), under $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$.

		n = 500				n = 1,000				n = 1,500			
		\hat{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		$\hat{T}_{n,2}$		$\hat{T}_{n,2}$		\hat{T}_n	
		$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$
	size	0.050	0.054	0.049	0.033	0.091	0.089	0.058	0.061	0.051	0.044	0.081	0.077
$\tau = 0.9$	$\omega \rightarrow 1$	0.723	0.564	0.229	0.063	1.000	1.000	0.963	0.899	0.532	0.061	1.000	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 1.5$	0.962	0.719	0.117	0.133	0.999	0.999	0.920	0.195	0.131	1.000	1.000	0.997
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.5$	0.760	0.652	0.372	0.068	1.000	1.000	0.967	0.918	0.730	0.136	1.000	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	1.000	1.000	0.379	0.039	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.873	0.041	1.000	1.000	1.000
$\tau = 0.1$	$\alpha_1 \rightarrow 0.6$	0.576	0.443	0.142	0.056	0.976	0.973	0.871	0.807	0.350	0.053	0.999	0.999
	$\alpha_2 \rightarrow 1$	0.432	0.354	0.155	0.048	0.921	0.924	0.695	0.632	0.325	0.077	0.996	0.996
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.1$	0.943	0.850	0.481	0.056	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.994	0.837	0.121	1.000	1.000
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.75$	0.707	0.522	0.196	0.050	1.000	1.000	0.942	0.848	0.452	0.063	1.000	1.000
$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	0.505	0.230	0.059	0.121	0.994	0.984	0.697	0.406	0.087	0.142	0.997	0.997
	$\eta_t \sim Z_7$	0.577	0.191	0.076	0.176	0.971	0.961	0.780	0.269	0.090	0.213	0.988	0.985
	$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	0.063	0.055	0.047	0.020	0.100	0.099	0.049	0.046	0.054	0.031	0.089	0.085
	$\eta_t \sim Z_7$	0.617	0.160	0.100	0.234	0.967	0.962	0.808	0.256	0.109	0.228	0.983	0.983
$\tau = 0.5$	$\alpha_1 \rightarrow 0.6$	0.537	0.418	0.168	0.022	1.000	0.999	0.969	0.897	0.477	0.020	1.000	1.000
	$\alpha_2 \rightarrow 1$	0.723	0.575	0.248	0.189	0.999	0.999	0.946	0.099	0.199	1.000	1.000	0.983
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.5$	0.675	0.372	0.052	1.000	1.000	0.973	0.921	0.725	0.118	1.000	1.000	0.999
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	1.000	0.999	0.370	0.016	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.871	0.025	1.000	1.000	1.000
$\tau = 0.1$	$\alpha_1 \rightarrow 0.6$	0.586	0.444	0.143	0.049	0.984	0.983	0.885	0.793	0.358	0.049	1.000	1.000
	$\alpha_2 \rightarrow 1$	0.453	0.350	0.102	0.044	0.916	0.913	0.731	0.663	0.282	0.036	0.997	0.998
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.1$	0.958	0.876	0.462	0.037	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.991	0.861	0.075	1.000	1.000
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.75$	0.720	0.531	0.136	0.039	1.000	1.000	0.958	0.862	0.366	0.033	1.000	1.000
$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	0.473	0.198	0.049	0.162	0.989	0.986	0.725	0.402	0.050	0.184	1.000	1.000
	$\eta_t \sim Z_7$	0.617	0.160	0.100	0.234	0.967	0.962	0.808	0.256	0.109	0.228	0.983	0.983

Table S.7: Empirical sizes and powers of the CUSUM test when the underlying model is ARMA(1,1)-GARCH(1,1) with $(\phi, \psi, \omega, \alpha, \beta) = (0.2, 0.2, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3)$ (Case 4), under $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$.

		n = 500				n = 1,000				n = 1,500			
		\hat{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\hat{T}_n	
		$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$
$\tau = 0.9$	size	0.040	0.044	0.048	0.033	0.030	0.035	0.045	0.050	0.052	0.033	0.032	0.034
	$\phi \rightarrow 0.8$	0.091	0.138	0.202	0.270	0.398	0.998	0.103	0.177	0.264	0.331	1.000	1.000
	$\phi \rightarrow -0.8$	0.028	0.025	0.020	0.026	0.975	0.975	0.023	0.035	0.036	0.996	0.996	0.052
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.5$	0.965	0.892	0.521	0.043	1.000	1.000	0.997	0.910	0.073	1.000	1.000	0.997
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.7$	0.995	0.966	0.436	0.019	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.915	0.021	1.000	1.000	0.991
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	0.997	0.998	0.978	0.083	1.000	1.000	0.999	1.000	0.195	1.000	1.000	0.997
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.1, 0.8)$	0.854	0.567	0.126	0.040	0.999	0.998	0.984	0.908	0.363	0.048	1.000	0.993
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.3, 0.6)$	0.950	0.838	0.396	0.030	1.000	0.999	0.992	0.836	0.079	1.000	1.000	0.976
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{4,5}$	0.266	0.183	0.032	0.037	0.966	0.943	0.546	0.412	0.066	0.040	0.993	0.992
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{1,3}$	0.544	0.437	0.100	0.012	0.956	0.932	0.891	0.817	0.298	0.013	1.000	0.998
$\tau = 0.1$	size	0.041	0.043	0.034	0.020	0.037	0.041	0.051	0.050	0.050	0.034	0.043	0.048
	$\phi \rightarrow 0.8$	0.089	0.139	0.194	0.248	0.990	0.990	0.112	0.183	0.270	0.322	1.000	1.000
	$\phi \rightarrow -0.8$	0.029	0.023	0.015	0.035	0.962	0.962	0.023	0.031	0.025	0.037	0.995	0.995
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.5$	0.951	0.881	0.529	0.035	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.998	0.912	0.081	1.000	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.7$	0.996	0.967	0.456	0.018	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.882	0.021	1.000	1.000	0.993
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	0.994	0.988	0.973	0.093	1.000	1.000	0.996	0.998	0.217	1.000	1.000	0.998
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.1, 0.8)$	0.864	0.585	0.153	0.055	0.999	0.998	0.976	0.905	0.409	0.049	1.000	0.995
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.3, 0.6)$	0.953	0.840	0.420	0.036	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.996	0.864	0.078	1.000	1.000
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{4,5}$	0.273	0.181	0.041	0.081	0.962	0.928	0.521	0.375	0.053	0.105	0.988	0.984
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{-1,3}$	0.572	0.456	0.103	0.009	0.966	0.940	0.882	0.806	0.343	0.009	0.999	0.997

Table S.8: Empirical sizes and powers of the CUSUM test when the underlying model is ARMA(1,1)-GARCH(1,1) with $(\phi, \psi, \omega, \alpha, \beta) = (-0.5, -0.2, 0.5, 0.2, 0.3)$ (Case 5), under $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$.

		n = 500				n = 1,000				n = 1,500			
		\hat{T}_n		\tilde{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\tilde{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\tilde{T}_n	
		$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$
	size	0.042	0.038	0.033	0.028	0.042	0.042	0.056	0.052	0.043	0.036	0.054	0.051
$\tau = 0.9$	$\phi \rightarrow 0.9$	0.173	0.266	0.347	0.366	0.983	0.985	0.361	0.441	0.495	0.478	1.000	1.000
	$\phi \rightarrow -0.95$	0.082	0.108	0.134	0.134	0.992	0.992	0.106	0.167	0.190	0.151	1.000	1.000
	$\psi \rightarrow -0.9$	0.040	0.041	0.047	0.076	0.987	0.986	0.047	0.043	0.066	0.119	1.000	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.7$	0.755	0.640	0.358	0.048	1.000	1.000	0.976	0.949	0.753	0.143	1.000	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 1$	0.991	0.947	0.512	0.037	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.903	0.048	1.000	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.3$	0.976	0.943	0.704	0.090	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.960	0.242	1.000	1.000
	$\alpha \rightarrow 0.65$	0.514	0.484	0.254	0.047	0.919	0.899	0.847	0.841	0.620	0.054	1.000	1.000
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.7$	0.964	0.854	0.354	0.032	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.985	0.765	0.060	1.000	1.000
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{4,5}$	0.296	0.192	0.050	0.070	0.942	0.907	0.565	0.444	0.085	0.083	0.993	0.990
$\tau = 0.1$	$\eta_t \sim Z_{1,3}$	0.468	0.356	0.123	0.052	0.927	0.893	0.800	0.723	0.312	0.036	0.99	0.998
	size	0.046	0.048	0.048	0.038	0.049	0.046	0.058	0.054	0.052	0.042	0.058	0.054
	$\phi \rightarrow 0.9$	0.183	0.248	0.336	0.358	0.986	0.987	0.388	0.467	0.517	0.482	0.999	0.999
	$\phi \rightarrow -0.95$	0.077	0.125	0.159	0.170	0.991	0.989	0.122	0.170	0.201	0.175	1.000	1.000
	$\psi \rightarrow -0.9$	0.053	0.045	0.048	0.071	0.971	0.971	0.046	0.047	0.077	0.111	1.000	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.7$	0.776	0.659	0.379	0.059	1.000	1.000	0.979	0.941	0.735	0.118	1.000	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 1$	0.991	0.950	0.519	0.036	0.999	0.999	1.000	1.000	0.906	0.053	1.000	1.000
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.3$	0.973	0.912	0.665	0.111	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.950	0.245	1.000	1.000
	$\alpha \rightarrow 0.65$	0.532	0.505	0.273	0.045	0.934	0.913	0.869	0.863	0.633	0.059	0.996	0.997
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.7$	0.969	0.881	0.374	0.030	1.000	1.000	0.998	0.987	0.772	0.060	1.000	1.000
$\tau = 0.0$	$\eta_t \sim Z_{4,5}$	0.291	0.209	0.056	0.087	0.955	0.932	0.553	0.438	0.094	0.089	0.992	0.988
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{-1,3}$	0.503	0.373	0.109	0.035	0.949	0.921	0.803	0.715	0.312	0.043	1.000	0.996

Table S.9: Empirical sizes and powers of the CUSUM test when the underlying model is ARMA(1,1)-GARCH(1,1) with $(\phi, \psi, \omega, \alpha, \beta) = (-0.3, 0.6, 0.6, 0.2, 0.7)$ (Case 6), under $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$.

		n = 500				n = 1,000				n = 1,500			
		\hat{T}_n		\tilde{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\tilde{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\tilde{T}_n	
		$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 0.2$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$
$\tau = 0.9$	size	0.057	0.048	0.043	0.025	0.106	0.106	0.050	0.055	0.041	0.022	0.072	0.076
	$\phi \rightarrow 0.9$	0.134	0.220	0.317	0.324	0.989	0.989	0.165	0.282	0.367	0.359	1.000	0.196
	$\psi \rightarrow -0.95$	0.055	0.046	0.047	0.057	0.997	0.997	0.050	0.044	0.059	0.068	1.000	0.069
	$\omega \rightarrow 1$	0.645	0.340	0.052	0.091	0.998	0.998	0.922	0.721	0.085	0.071	1.000	0.981
	$\omega \rightarrow 1.5$	0.789	0.145	0.074	0.287	0.998	0.998	0.951	0.325	0.079	0.321	0.998	0.998
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	0.981	0.927	0.187	0.067	1.000	1.000	0.995	0.976	0.382	0.073	1.000	0.998
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.1$	0.910	0.766	0.196	0.043	1.000	1.000	0.961	0.914	0.402	0.051	1.000	0.989
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.5, 0.4)$	0.546	0.229	0.054	0.039	0.991	0.990	0.830	0.387	0.057	0.038	1.000	0.902
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.7, 0.2)$	0.719	0.291	0.058	0.037	0.997	0.997	0.926	0.493	0.059	0.046	0.998	0.997
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{4,5}$	0.515	0.133	0.101	0.230	0.929	0.904	0.732	0.254	0.084	0.253	0.977	0.980
$\tau = 0.1$	$\eta_t \sim Z_{1,3}$	0.503	0.234	0.037	0.162	0.946	0.913	0.791	0.512	0.033	0.161	0.998	0.997
	size	0.056	0.051	0.040	0.016	0.092	0.094	0.066	0.056	0.045	0.024	0.076	0.075
	$\phi \rightarrow 0.9$	0.109	0.195	0.285	0.301	0.991	0.991	0.135	0.271	0.403	0.389	1.000	0.195
	$\psi \rightarrow -0.95$	0.049	0.047	0.048	0.045	0.998	0.998	0.050	0.045	0.049	0.071	1.000	0.044
	$\omega \rightarrow 1$	0.645	0.350	0.052	0.071	1.000	1.000	0.925	0.725	0.098	0.092	1.000	0.976
	$\omega \rightarrow 1.5$	0.740	0.129	0.076	0.312	1.000	1.000	0.962	0.300	0.084	0.325	1.000	0.994
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	0.987	0.947	0.192	0.055	0.999	1.000	0.994	0.984	0.363	0.066	0.999	0.997
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.1$	0.912	0.764	0.209	0.048	0.999	1.000	0.971	0.921	0.387	0.057	1.000	0.986
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.5, 0.4)$	0.544	0.232	0.050	0.021	0.992	0.989	0.834	0.401	0.057	0.030	0.999	0.949
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.7, 0.2)$	0.743	0.316	0.045	0.028	0.991	0.991	0.929	0.499	0.052	0.039	0.999	0.974
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{4,5}$	0.502	0.129	0.086	0.242	0.956	0.926	0.758	0.255	0.096	0.255	0.991	0.986
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{-1,3}$	0.485	0.218	0.040	0.151	0.943	0.918	0.794	0.489	0.055	0.167	0.997	0.928

Table S.10: Mean and standard deviation (SD) of the location of the detected change point when the underlying model is GJR-GARCH(1,1) (Cases 1-3) with $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$ and $n = 1,000$. Notice that the true location of the change point is at $t = 1,001$.

		$\tau = 0.9$						$\tau = 0.1$					
		\hat{T}_n			\tilde{T}_n			\hat{T}_n			\tilde{T}_n		
		mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD
Case 1	$\omega \rightarrow 0.5$	1021.1	54.7	1005.3	12.7	1005.3	12.7	1019.1	51.4	1005.3	12.7	1005.3	12.7
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.7$	1015.9	40.5	1002.5	5.7	1002.5	5.7	1017.3	37.7	1002.4	6.0	1002.4	6.0
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	989.0	16.8	996.2	5.1	996.2	5.1	988.1	18.0	996.5	4.9	996.5	4.9
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.01$	984.2	24.9	997.5	3.7	997.5	3.7	984.7	22.5	997.6	3.2	997.6	3.2
	$\alpha_1 \rightarrow 2.5$	1080.3	186.2	1041.0	108.1	1040.9	108.5	1040.0	169.6	1049.3	121.5	1049.0	121.6
	$\alpha_2 \rightarrow 2.5$	1041.4	149.8	1038.2	84.5	1038.2	84.5	1058.2	145.1	1034.7	84.1	1034.7	84.1
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.6$	1029.4	107.5	1015.2	34.4	1015.2	34.4	1030.1	99.9	1014.1	31.5	1014.1	31.5
Case 2	$\beta \rightarrow 0.7$	1022.1	57.2	1009.9	16.5	1009.9	16.5	1022.0	58.4	1010.5	17.9	1010.5	17.9
	$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	1045.0	182.5	1050.9	63.6	1050.9	63.6	1045.5	167.8	1052.3	67.0	1052.3	67.0
	$\eta_t \sim Z_7$	1045.7	165.3	1051.1	62.5	1051.1	62.5	1045.4	168.3	1052.2	67.3	1051.8	66.4
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.25$	1008.2	345.8	972.2	337.5	982.3	331.8	1022.6	316.1	972.9	341.7	956.9	346.6
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.35$	1035.4	147.3	1014.7	57.3	1014.7	57.3	1031.6	148.9	1013.5	64.0	1013.5	64.0
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.15$	1019.7	43.8	1006.2	12.2	1006.2	12.2	1017.3	43.1	1006.1	11.8	1006.1	11.8
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	971.6	112.2	988.7	34.3	988.7	34.3	964.3	102.0	988.1	33.5	988.1	33.5
Case 3	$\alpha_1 \rightarrow 3.5$	982.9	30.4	994.6	9.2	994.6	9.2	982.8	32.4	994.6	8.2	994.6	8.2
	$\alpha_2 \rightarrow 3.5$	1077.6	160.8	1038.5	88.9	1038.5	88.9	1031.2	157.0	1039.0	97.8	1039.0	97.8
	$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rightarrow (1.5, 2.5)$	1040.5	169.6	1052.1	119.1	1052.4	119.0	1071.6	170.1	1042.8	106.7	1042.7	106.6
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.05$	1053.2	148.7	1041.0	85.9	1041.0	85.9	1057.6	152.4	1040.6	84.8	1040.6	84.8
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.7$	969.0	156.0	983.2	74.3	983.2	74.3	961.0	160.0	975.1	77.1	975.1	77.1
	$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	1023.9	63.7	1010.2	20.5	1010.2	20.5	1024.5	67.1	1010.2	20.1	1010.2	20.1
	$\eta_t \sim Z_7$	1055.2	154.7	1054.1	73.1	1053.3	70.5	1052.5	157.5	1055.6	73.0	1055.6	73.0
Case 3	$\omega \rightarrow 1$	1032.5	113.0	1010.0	34.3	1010.0	34.3	1028.8	113.3	1009.2	32.4	1009.2	32.4
	$\omega \rightarrow 1.5$	1033.9	72.2	1003.3	10.1	1003.3	10.1	1026.6	60.3	1003.4	11.7	1003.4	11.7
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.5$	970.1	109.0	989.5	32.8	989.5	32.8	968.2	103.4	989.2	32.5	989.2	32.5
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	984.1	23.8	997.4	3.3	997.4	3.3	985.0	21.3	997.3	3.3	997.3	3.3
	$\alpha_1 \rightarrow 0.6$	1077.1	146.3	1041.1	81.2	1041.1	81.2	1052.8	149.2	1040.0	81.3	1040.0	81.3
	$\alpha_2 \rightarrow 1$	1055.8	156.9	1050.0	105.1	1050.0	105.1	1088.0	179.5	1051.9	107.4	1051.9	107.4
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.1$	970.0	78.3	991.3	16.5	991.3	16.5	967.1	74.3	991.3	16.3	991.3	16.3
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.75$	1038.3	120.2	1017.9	40.0	1017.9	40.0	1046.3	119.6	1018.9	40.2	1018.9	40.2
	$\eta_t \sim Z_5$	1071.1	150.3	1061.9	81.6	1061.4	81.3	1082.9	167.4	1059.6	74.7	1059.3	72.7
	$\eta_t \sim Z_7$	1102.6	160.9	1073.3	104.0	1087.4	127.0	1103.2	166.3	1074.2	103.1	1083.0	116.9

Table S.11: Mean and standard deviation (SD) of the location of the detected change point when the underlying model is ARMA(1,1)-GARCH(1,1) (Cases 4-6) with $\tau \in \{0.9, 0.1\}$ and $n = 1,000$.

		$\tau = 0.9$				$\tau = 0.1$			
		\hat{T}_n		\tilde{T}_n		\hat{T}_n		\tilde{T}_n	
		mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD	mean	SD
Case 4	$\phi \rightarrow 0.8$	1257.4	239.4	1016.1	25.4	1016.2	25.3	1257.2	244.6
	$\phi \rightarrow -0.8$	1101.9	267.1	1016.7	26.2	1016.8	26.3	1072.1	226.1
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.5$	805.0	236.3	993.3	62.8	993.3	62.7	793.9	268.7
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.7$	1060.5	312.7	1002.0	31.3	1002.0	31.4	1137.5	323.8
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	1025.2	71.1	1005.4	15.5	1005.4	15.5	1019.1	67.5
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.1, 0.8)$	1021.6	46.6	1002.0	5.7	1002.0	5.7	1018.3	43.4
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.3, 0.6)$	985.8	22.6	996.7	4.8	996.7	4.8	987.7	21.0
Case 5	$\eta_t \sim Z_{4,5}$	1034.5	175.1	1062.9	82.5	1063.5	83.0	1048.3	177.6
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{\pm 1,3}$	1028.5	131.1	1052.8	76.0	1052.7	75.3	1033.9	138.4
	$\phi \rightarrow 0.9$	1191.5	228.3	1012.5	32.8	1012.8	32.4	1182.7	220.4
	$\phi \rightarrow -0.7$	1244.5	221.5	1046.3	71.4	1046.3	71.3	1243.3	201.0
	$\psi \rightarrow 0.8$	1025.5	215.1	1011.3	55.3	1011.4	55.4	997.8	251.8
	$\omega \rightarrow 1$	1031.1	110.4	1008.7	29.6	1008.7	29.7	1024.0	100.3
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	1020.9	55.5	1002.7	9.5	1002.8	9.6	1019.4	54.5
Case 6	$\omega \rightarrow 0.05$	976.0	58.2	992.5	16.1	992.6	15.8	974.7	65.3
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.1, 0.8)$	1048.8	146.7	1025.0	66.3	1025.3	66.5	1043.7	147.3
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.3, 0.6)$	1021.1	59.7	1002.1	9.5	1002.0	9.5	1021.6	59.1
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{4,5}$	1053.2	168.8	1062.5	85.3	1062.7	86.3	1053.5	169.8
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{\pm 1,3}$	1036.2	136.1	1056.3	78.5	1057.1	79.2	1031.3	139.4
	$\phi \rightarrow 0.9$	1260.1	229.8	1022.1	40.8	1022.2	40.8	1259.5	226.9
	$\psi \rightarrow -0.95$	964.0	296.3	1004.2	30.8	1004.2	30.8	859.3	302.5
Case 6	$\omega \rightarrow 1$	1026.3	114.9	1000.8	26.7	1000.9	27.4	1034.5	107.4
	$\omega \rightarrow 1.5$	1045.0	102.3	1000.6	29.7	1000.5	30.0	1056.7	115.9
	$\omega \rightarrow 0.1$	975.3	48.4	994.3	22.2	994.6	18.0	978.6	42.5
	$\beta \rightarrow 0.1$	963.0	82.7	989.5	28.3	989.3	28.6	962.5	81.4
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.5, 0.4)$	982.0	135.7	1001.6	62.4	1001.8	61.1	979.7	137.3
	$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0.7, 0.2)$	975.0	117.4	1001.6	47.3	1001.8	43.9	979.5	111.7
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{4,5}$	1074.3	149.3	1078.8	120.1	1081.0	124.6	1062.6	147.8
	$\eta_t \sim Z_{\pm 1,3}$	1034.3	124.3	1056.6	96.8	1057.3	97.3	1042.1	142.9