

Supplement to “Directed Hybrid Random Networks Mixing Preferential Attachment with Uniform Attachment Mechanisms”

Tiandong Wang* Panpan Zhang†

January 18, 2022

This supplement provides the omitted technical details to the proofs of Theorem 1 and Proposition 1, in the main manuscript. The setting, notation, equation reference numbers are retained from the main paper.

1 Proof of Theorem 1

Here we only present the details for the in-degree sequence, and those for out-degrees will follow from a similar reasoning. The derivations for out-degree sequence are similar, so omitted.

For $k, \ell \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [M_{k+\ell}^{\text{in}} - M_k^{\text{in}}] &= \sum_{s=k+1}^{k+\ell} \mathbb{E} [M_s^{\text{in}} - M_{s-1}^{\text{in}}] \\ &= \sum_{s=k+1}^{k+\ell} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{(1-p)(\alpha + \beta)/|V_{S_i+s}|}{\prod_{\ell=1}^s \left(1 + \frac{p(\alpha+\beta)}{S_i+\ell+1+\delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+\ell}|} \right)} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{s=k+1}^{k+\ell} \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{\ell=1}^s \left(1 + \frac{p(\alpha + \beta)}{(1 + \delta_{\text{in}})(S_i + \ell + 1)} \right) \frac{(1-p)(\alpha + \beta)}{|V_{S_i+s}|} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{s=k+1}^{k+\ell} \prod_{\ell=1}^s \left(1 + \frac{p(\alpha + \beta)}{(1 + \delta_{\text{in}})(\ell + 1)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{(1-p)(\alpha + \beta)}{|V_s|} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^s \left(1 + \frac{p(\alpha + \beta)}{(1 + \delta_{\text{in}})(\ell + 1)} \right) = \frac{\Gamma \left(s + 2 + \frac{p(\alpha+\beta)}{1+\delta_{\text{in}}} \right)}{\Gamma(s+2)\Gamma \left(2 + \frac{p(\alpha+\beta)}{1+\delta_{\text{in}}} \right)} \sim s^{-(p(\alpha+\beta))/(1+\delta_{\text{in}})},$$

for s large. For the expectation in the summand, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{(1-p)(\alpha + \beta)}{|V(s)|} \right] = (1-p)(\alpha + \beta) \left(\frac{1}{(1-\beta)s} + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{|V(s)|} - \frac{1}{(1-\beta)s} \right] \right).$$

Since for $n \geq 1$, $|V_n| - 1$ is a binomial random variable with success probability $1 - \beta$, then we apply the Chernoff bound to get

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left| |V_n| - (1-\beta)n \right| \geq \sqrt{12(1-\beta)n \log n} \right) \leq \frac{2}{n^4}. \quad (1)$$

*Department of Statistics, Texas A&M University, 3143 TAMU, College Station, TX 77843, USA, twang@stat.tamu.edu

†Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, University of Pennsylvania, 423 Guardian Drive, 501, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

Then by (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \frac{1}{|V(s)|} - \frac{1}{(1-\beta)s} \right| \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{||V(s)| - (1-\beta)s|}{(1-\beta)s|V(s)|} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{12(1-\beta)s \log s}}{(1-\beta)s|(1-\beta)s - \sqrt{12(1-\beta)s \log s}|} \\ &\quad + \frac{(2-\beta)s}{(1-\beta)s\sqrt{12(1-\beta)s \log s}} \times \frac{2}{s^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Putting them together, we conclude that $\mathbb{E} |M_{k+\ell}^{\text{in}} - M_k^{\text{in}}| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, suggesting that $\{\mathbb{E} [M_n^{\text{in}}]\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a *cauchy* sequence in L_1 space. Applying the martingale convergence theorem (Durrett, 2006, Theorem 4.2.11) gives that there exists some finite random variable L_i such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$M_n^{\text{in}} \xrightarrow{a.s.} L_i. \quad (3)$$

Then it remains to show the almost sure convergence of

$$X_n := \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} \right).$$

Consider $\log X_n$, and rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} \log X_n &= \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log \left(1 + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} \right) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{C_1}{S_i + k + 1} \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{C_1}{S_i + k} - C_1 \log n \right] \\ &\quad + C_1 \log n =: P_1(n) + P_2(n) + P_3(n) + C_1 \log n. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For $P_1(n)$, we first note that $\log(1+x) - x \leq 0$ for all $x \geq 0$. Then $P_1(n+1) - P_1(n) \leq 0$, i.e. $P_1(n)$ is decreasing in n , and it suffices to show

$$P_1(\infty) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\log \left(1 + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} \right) - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} \right)$$

is finite almost surely. Note also that $|\log(1+x) - x| \leq x^2/2$, for all $x \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\log \left(1 + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} \right) - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left| \log \left(1 + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} \right) - \frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 p^2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{1}{S_i + k + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+k}|} \right)^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $P_1(n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} P_1(\infty)$.

For $P_2(n)$, we apply (Athreya and Ney, 2004, Theorem 3.9.4) to conclude that there exists a finite r.v. Z such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{k + \delta_{\text{in}}|V(k-1)|} - \frac{C_1}{k} \right) \xrightarrow{a.s.} Z,$$

then

$$P_2(n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} Z - \sum_{k=1}^{S_i} \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{k + \delta_{\text{in}}|V(k-1)|} - \frac{C_1}{k} \right) =: P_2(\infty).$$

Meanwhile, since $\sum_{k=1}^n 1/k - \log n \rightarrow \tilde{c}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where \tilde{c} is Euler's constant, then for $i \geq 1$,

$$P_3(n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} C_1 \left(\tilde{c} + \log(S_i + 1) - \sum_{k=1}^{S_i} \frac{1}{k} \right) =: P_3(\infty).$$

Then we conclude from (4) that

$$\frac{X_n}{nC_1} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \exp(P_1(\infty) + P_2(\infty) + P_3(\infty)) < \infty. \quad (5)$$

The results in the theorem follow by combining (5) with (3), where we set

$$\zeta_i := L_i \exp(-(P_1(\infty) + P_2(\infty) + P_3(\infty))).$$

□

2 Proof of Proposition 1

We explicitly demonstrate the derivations of Proposition 1 for the in-degree distribution, and the methodology is also applicable to out-degrees. Let \mathcal{F}_n denote the σ -field generated by the network evolution up to n steps. Set τ to be an $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ -stopping time, then

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{F : F \cap \{\tau = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n\}.$$

For $i \geq 1$, let S_i be the time when node i is created, i.e.,

$$S_i = \inf\{n \geq 0 : |V_n| = i\}.$$

Then S_i is an $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ -stopping time. Also, for $n \geq k \geq 0$, we have

$$\{S_i + k = n\} = \{S_i = n - k\} \in \mathcal{F}_{n-k} \subset \mathcal{F}_n,$$

so $S_i + k$, $k \geq 0$, is a stopping time with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Since the in-degree of i is increased at most by 1 at each evolutionary step, then under $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{F}_{S_i+n}}(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_{S_i+n})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{S_i+n}} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n + 1) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \right] \\ &= (D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 + (\alpha + \beta) \left[\frac{p(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})}{\sum_{k \in V_{S_i+n}} (D_k^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})} + \frac{(1-p)}{|V_{S_i+n}|} \right] \\ & \quad + 2(\alpha + \beta)(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}}) \left[\frac{p(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})}{\sum_{k \in V_{S_i+n}} (D_k^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})} + \frac{(1-p)}{|V_{S_i+n}|} \right] \\ &= (D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \left[1 + \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+n}|} \right] \\ & \quad + (D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}}) \left[\frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+n}|} + \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)(1-p)}{|V_{S_i+n}|} \right] \\ & \quad + (\alpha + \beta) \frac{1-p}{|V_{S_i+n}|}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

With $C_1 = p(\alpha + \beta)/(1 + \delta_{\text{in}}(1 - \beta))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n + 1) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \left(1 + \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+n}|} \right) \right] \\
&+ \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}}) \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+n}|} + \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)(1 - p)}{|V_{S_i+n}|} \right) \right] \\
&+ (\alpha + \beta) \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{1 - p}{|V_{S_i+n}|} \right) \\
&=: R_i^{(1)}(n) + R_i^{(2)}(n) + R_i^{(3)}(n).
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

First, we rewrite $R_i^{(1)}(n)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
R_i^{(1)}(n) &= \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \right] \left(1 + \frac{2C_1}{n} \right) \\
&+ \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \left(\frac{2(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+n}|} - \frac{2C_1}{n} \right) \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \right] \left(1 + \frac{2C_1}{n} \right) \\
&+ \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)p\delta_{\text{in}}|V_n| - (1 - \beta)n}{(n + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_n|)(1 + \delta_{\text{in}}(1 - \beta))n} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \right] \left(1 + \frac{2C_1}{n} \right) \\
&+ \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}|V_n| - (1 - \beta)n}{n^2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Note also that $\|V_n| - (1 - \beta)n| \leq n + 1$, and $(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \leq (n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}})^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}|V_n| - (1 - \beta)n}{n^2} \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \right] \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12n \log n}}{n^2} + \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}(n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}})^2(n + 1)}{n^2} \times \frac{2}{n^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
R_i^{(1)}(n) &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \right] \left(1 + \frac{2C_1}{n} + \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12n \log n}}{n^2} \right) \\
&+ \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}(n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}})^2(n + 1)}{n^2} \times \frac{2}{n^4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

For $R_i^{(3)}(n)$, we apply the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to obtain

$$R_i^{(3)}(n) \leq \frac{1 - p}{n(1 - \beta)} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[((1 - \beta)(S_i + n + 1) - |V_{S_i+n}|)^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E} [|V_n|^{-2}] \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Theorem 3.9.4 in [Athreya and Ney \(2004\)](#), we have as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$n^2 \mathbb{E} [|V_n|^{-2}] \rightarrow (1 - \beta)^{-2}.$$

Meanwhile,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[((1 - \beta)(S_i + n + 1) - |V_{S_i+n}|)^2 \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \text{Var}(|V_{S_i+n}|) + \text{Var}((1-\beta)(S_i+n+1)) \\
&= \beta(1-\beta)(\mathbb{E}(S_i)+n) + 2(1-\beta)^2\text{Var}(S_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists some constant $A_i > 0$ such that

$$R_i^{(3)}(n) \leq A_i n^{-3/2}. \quad (9)$$

For $R_i^{(2)}(n)$, we now claim that

$$\sup_{i \geq 1} \frac{\mathbb{E}(D_i^{\text{in}}(n) + \delta_{\text{in}})}{n^{C_1}} < \infty, \quad (10)$$

then for some constant $K > 0$, $R_i^{(2)}(n)$ is bounded by

$$Kn^{C_1} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)p}{S_i + n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+n}|} + \frac{2(\alpha + \beta)(1-p)}{|V_{S_i+n}|} \right),$$

and by (1) and (9) we see that

$$\leq Kn^{C_1} \left(\frac{C_1}{n} + \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12n \log n}}{n^2} + \frac{4\delta_{\text{in}}(n+1+\delta_{\text{in}})^2(n+1)}{n^6} + 2A_i n^{-3/2} \right). \quad (11)$$

Then combining (8), (9) and (11) gives that there exists some constant $A_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n + 1) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}})^2 \right] \left(1 + \frac{2C_1}{n} + \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12n \log n}}{n^2} \right) + A_0 n^{C_1-1} \\
&\leq \dots \leq (\alpha\delta_{\text{in}}^2 + \gamma(1+\delta_{\text{in}})^2) \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{2C_1}{k} + \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12k \log k}}{k^2} \right) \\
&\quad + A_0 \sum_{k=1}^n k^{C_1-1} \prod_{l=k+1}^n \left(1 + \frac{2C_1}{l} + \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12l \log l}}{l^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{l=k+1}^n \left(1 + \frac{2C_1}{l} + \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12l \log l}}{l^2} \right) &\leq \exp \left\{ \sum_{l=k+1}^n \left(\frac{2C_1}{l} + \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12l \log l}}{l^2} \right) \right\} \\
&\leq (n/k)^{2C_1} \exp \left\{ \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12l \log l}}{l^2} \right\}. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12l \log l}}{l^2} < \infty$, then we conclude that

$$\sup_{i \geq 1} \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(n))^2 \right]}{n^{2C_1}} < \infty.$$

Hence, we are left with verifying (10). Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} (D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n + 1) + \delta_{\text{in}}) \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}}) \left(1 + \frac{p(\alpha + \beta)}{S_i + n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_{S_i+n}|} \right) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1-p}{|V_{S_i+n}|} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}}) \left(1 + \frac{C_1}{n} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[(D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}}) \left| \frac{p(\alpha + \beta)}{n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}}|V_n|} - \frac{C_1}{n} \right| \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1 - p}{|V_{S_i+n}|} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and applying (1) and (9) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \mathbb{E} (D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n) + \delta_{\text{in}}) \left(1 + \frac{C_1}{n} + \frac{\delta_{\text{in}}\sqrt{12n \log n}}{n^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}(n + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}})(n + 1)}{n^6} + A_i n^{-3/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Iterating the inequality in (13) backwards for n times gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}[D_i^{\text{in}}(S_i + n + 1) + \delta_{\text{in}}] \\ &\leq (\delta_{\text{in}}\alpha + (1 + \delta_{\text{in}})\gamma) \prod_{r=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{C_1}{r} + \frac{1 + \sqrt{12r \log r}}{r^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{2\delta_{\text{in}}(r + 1 + \delta_{\text{in}})(r + 1)}{r^6} + A_i r^{-3/2} \right) \prod_{s=r+1}^n \left(1 + \frac{C_1}{s} + \frac{1 + \sqrt{12s \log s}}{s^2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By a similar argument as in (12), we see that the bound in (14) implies (10), which completes the proof of Proposition 1. \square

References

- Athreya, K. and P. Ney (2004). *Branching processes. Reprint of the 1972 original.* Springer.
- Durrett, R. T. (2006). *Random Graph Dynamics.* Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.