

## SOME CONSTRUCTIONS OF PBIB DESIGNS

SHAKTI BANERJEE, BHAGWANDAS AND S. KAGEYAMA\*

(Received Jan. 5, 1984; revised July 5, 1984)

### Summary

Constructions of three series of regular GD and semi-regular GD designs are given. Furthermore, a series of rectangular PBIB designs is constructed and particular cases of this series which reduce to PBIB designs with two associate classes are also provided.

### 1. Introduction

We consider partially balanced incomplete block (PBIB) designs with two associate classes of GD type (semi-regular, regular),  $L_2$  type and with three associate classes of rectangular type, having parameters  $v, b, r, k, \lambda_i, n_i, p_{ji}^i$ . For complete description of balanced incomplete block (BIB) designs and PBIB designs, along with association schemes mentioned above, we refer to Raghavarao [2].

In this note, we give some constructions of these designs.

### 2. Construction of some GD designs

**THEOREM 2.1.** *For  $n \geq 3$  and  $m \geq 2$ , there exists a semi-regular GD design with parameters*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} v &= mn, & b &= \left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^m, & r &= (n-1) \left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^{m-1}, & k &= 2m, \\ \lambda_1 &= \left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^{m-1}, & \lambda_2 &= (n-1)^2 \left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^{m-2}, & n_1 &= n-1, \\ n_2 &= n(m-1). \end{aligned}$$

*In particular, when groups of this design have three treatments, i.e.,  $n=3$ , the complement of the design with parameter (2.1) is a semi-regular GD design with parameters*

\* Written while visiting Department of Statistics, University of Indore, India, December 1983 through February 1984.

Key words and phrases: PBIB designs, GD design, association scheme, semi-regular, regular,  $L_2$ , rectangular.

$$v' = 3m, \quad b' = 3^m, \quad r' = 3^{m-1}, \quad k' = m, \quad \lambda'_1 = 0, \\ \lambda'_2 = 3^{m-2}, \quad n_1 = 2, \quad n_2 = 3(m-1).$$

PROOF. Let  $m$  groups  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$  have the following arrangement of treatments :

$G_1$	$G_2$	$\dots$	$G_m$
$\theta_{11}$	$\theta_{21}$	$\dots$	$\theta_{m1}$
$\theta_{12}$	$\theta_{22}$	$\dots$	$\theta_{m2}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\theta_{1n}$	$\theta_{2n}$	$\dots$	$\theta_{mn}$

Let  $A_i$  be the set of all possible  $\binom{n}{2}$  distinct pairs of elements of the type  $(\theta_{ir}, \theta_{is})$ ,  $r \neq s$ , from the same group  $G_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ . In this case, any element of the cartesian product  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_m$ , like  $\{\theta_{11}, \theta_{12}, \theta_{21}, \theta_{22}, \dots, \theta_{m1}, \theta_{m2}\}$ , constitutes a block of the required design. Since  $|A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_m| = \left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^m$ , where  $|A|$  denotes the cardinality of the set  $A$ ,  $b = \left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^m$ . Also, this construction yields the block size  $k = 2m$ . As for the replication number,  $r$ , note that, since each treatment of  $G_i$  is in  $(n-1)$  pairs belonging to  $A_i$  and the number of blocks having each such pair is  $|A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_{i-1} \times A_{i+1} \times \dots \times A_m|$ , i.e.,  $\left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^{m-1}$ , any treatment is replicated  $(n-1) \left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^{m-1}$  ( $=r$ ) times. Since any pair of elements of  $G_i$  is precisely an element of  $A_i$  and occurs in  $\left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^{m-1}$  blocks of the design, it gives the value of  $\lambda_1$  as  $\left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^{m-1}$ . Now if we consider a pair of treatments like  $(\theta_{is}, \theta_{jt})$  for  $i \neq j$ , there are  $(n-1)$  pairs in  $A_i$  containing  $\theta_{is}$  and  $(n-1)$  pairs in  $A_j$  containing  $\theta_{jt}$ . Thus,  $(n-1)^2$  elements in  $A_i \times A_j$  contain pairs of this type and each pair occurs in  $\left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^{m-2}$  blocks, accounting for the value of  $\lambda_2$  as  $(n-1)^2 \cdot \left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^{m-2}$ . Since  $r - \lambda_1 = (n-2) \left\{ \binom{n}{2} \right\}^{m-1} > 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ , and  $rk - v\lambda_2 = 0$ , the design with parameter (2.1) is a GD design of semi-regular type.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *A regular GD design with parameters*

$$(2.2) \quad v = mn, \quad b = mn(m-1) \binom{n}{t-1}, \quad r = t(m-1) \binom{n}{t-1}, \\ k = t, \quad \lambda_1 = n(m-1) \binom{n-2}{t-3}, \quad \lambda_2 = 2 \binom{n-1}{t-2}, \\ n_1 = n-1, \quad n_2 = n(m-1),$$

for positive integers  $t, n, m \geq 2$  can be constructed, unless  $t=m=2$ .

PROOF. Let  $G_i, i=1, 2, \dots, m$ , be  $m$  groups each of size  $n$ . For  $t-1 \leq n$ , take all possible  $(t-1)$ -tuples from a fixed group,  $G_i$ , say. The number of such  $(t-1)$ -tuples is  $\binom{n}{t-1}$ . Adjoin each of the  $(m-1)n$  treatments from groups other than  $G_i$  to these  $(t-1)$ -tuples. Then we get  $n(m-1)\binom{n}{t-1}$   $t$ -tuples forming blocks of size  $k=t$ , generated by the fixed group  $G_i$ . Repeating this process with other groups, we can obtain  $b=mn(m-1)\binom{n}{t-1}$  blocks in total. The number of blocks containing a particular treatment  $\theta$ , i.e.,  $r$ , is

$$\binom{n-1}{t-2}n(m-1) + \binom{n}{t-1}(m-1) = t(m-1)\binom{n}{t-1}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\lambda_1 = n(m-1)\binom{n-2}{t-3}$  and  $\lambda_2 = 2\binom{n-1}{t-2}$ . Finally, since  $n \geq t-1$ ,  $r - \lambda_1$  is always positive, and  $rk - v\lambda_2 = \binom{n}{t-1}[(m-1)t^2 - 2m(t-1)]$ , which is always positive unless  $t=m=2$ . Hence, the design with parameter (2.2) is a GD design of regular type, unless  $t=m=2$ .

Remark 2.1. If  $t=m=2$  in Theorem 2.2, then, since  $rk - v\lambda_2 = 0$  in this case, we have a semi-regular GD design with parameters  $v=2n, b=2n^2, r=2n, k=2, \lambda_1=0, \lambda_2=2, n_1=n-1, n_2=n$ , which is well known.

THEOREM 2.3. For  $n \geq 3$ , we can always construct a regular GD design with parameters

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} v &= n^2, & b &= n^2(n^2 - 3n + 4)/2, & r &= (2n-1)(n^2 - 3n + 4)/2, \\ k &= 2n-1, & \lambda_1 &= n^3 - 5n^2 + 9n - 4, & \lambda_2 &= n^2 - 2n + 2, \\ n_1 &= n-1, & n_2 &= n(n-1). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let  $n$  groups  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$  be as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} G_1 & \theta_{11}, & \theta_{12}, & \dots, \theta_{1n}, \\ G_2 & \theta_{21}, & \theta_{22}, & \dots, \theta_{2n}, \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ G_n & \theta_{n1}, & \theta_{n2}, & \dots, \theta_{nn}. \end{array}$$

Take any element  $\theta_{ij}$  and write all the elements in the  $i$ th row and the  $j$ th column, together with  $\theta_{ij}$ , in one block, making a block of size  $k=2n-1$ , called a block of type 1. It is illustrated for  $\theta_{11}$  as follows:

$$\{\theta_{11}, \theta_{12}, \dots, \theta_{1n}, \theta_{21}, \dots, \theta_{n1}\} .$$

Excluding the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column corresponding to  $\theta_{ij}$  choose any two rows out of the remaining  $(n-1)$  rows and write the elements of these two rows, together with  $\theta_{ij}$ , in a single block forming a block of type 2. Naturally, the number of blocks of type 2 is  $\binom{n-1}{2}$  for fixed  $\theta_{ij}$ . We form blocks of types 1 and 2 according to this procedure for every  $\theta_{ij}$ . Thus, the resulting design has  $n^2 \left[ 1 + \binom{n-1}{2} \right] = n^2(n^2 - 3n + 4)/2$  blocks, each of size  $k=2n-1$ .

Let us fix any treatment,  $\theta_{11}$ , say. Now, this treatment occurs in  $(n-1) + (n-1) + 1$ , i.e.,  $(2n-1)$  blocks of type 1 are generated by elements in the first row and first column, and  $\binom{n-1}{2}$  blocks of type 2 already have the element  $\theta_{11}$ . Further blocks of type 1 corresponding to  $(n-1)^2$  elements in rows other than the first and columns other than the first do not contain  $\theta_{11}$ . On the other hand, the number of blocks of type 2 containing  $\theta_{11}$  is  $(n-2)(n-1)^2$ . Thus the replication number,  $r$ , is given by

$$r = (2n-1) + \binom{n-1}{2} + (n-2)(n-1)^2 = (2n-1)(n^2 - 3n + 4)/2 .$$

For getting  $\lambda_1$ , note that if we fix two treatments from the same group,  $(\theta_{11}, \theta_{12})$ , say, then this pair occurs in  $n$  blocks of type 1 and  $(n-2)[(n-2)(n-1)]$  blocks of type 2. The value of  $\lambda_1$  is, therefore,  $\lambda_1 = n + (n-2)^2(n-1) = n^3 - 5n^2 + 9n - 4$ .

For  $\lambda_2$ , if we fix any two treatments from two different groups, namely, starting from the pair,  $(\theta_{11}, \theta_{21})$ , say, it follows that this pair occurs in  $n$  blocks of type 1 and  $(n-2)(n-1)$  blocks of type 2. Hence  $\lambda_2 = n + (n-2)(n-1) = n^2 - 2n + 2$ . Thus, the proof of the theorem is completed.

*Remark 2.2.* When  $n=3$ , a design defined by parameter (2.3) is a BIB design with parameters  $v=9$ ,  $b=18$ ,  $r=10$ ,  $k=5$ ,  $\lambda=5$  having its complementary design as  $v'=9$ ,  $b'=18$ ,  $r'=8$ ,  $k'=4$ ,  $\lambda'=3$  which is a known design (cf. Raghavarao [2]). Note that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  in Theorem 2.3 iff  $n=3$ .

### 3. Construction of a series of rectangular PBIB designs

**THEOREM 3.1.** *For  $n-1 \geq t \geq 2$ , there exists a rectangular PBIB design with parameters*

$$\begin{aligned}
 v &= n^2, & b &= n^2 \binom{n-1}{t}, & r &= \binom{n-1}{t} (nt-t+1), \\
 k &= nt-t+1, & \lambda_1 &= (n-1)(n-2) \binom{n-2}{t-1}, \\
 \lambda_2 &= (n-1)(n-2) \binom{n-3}{t-2}, & \lambda_3 &= (n-2)^2 \binom{n-3}{t-2} + 2 \binom{n-2}{t-1}, \\
 n_1 &= n_2 = n-1, & n_3 &= (n-1)^2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

PROOF. Let a rectangular association scheme for  $m=n$  be as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 \theta_{11} & \theta_{21} & \cdots & \theta_{n1} \\
 \theta_{12} & \theta_{22} & \cdots & \theta_{n2} \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \theta_{1n} & \theta_{2n} & \cdots & \theta_{nn}
 \end{array}$$

where two elements in the same row are first associates, two elements in the same columns are second associates and two elements from different rows and different columns are third associates. Take any element  $\theta_{ij}$  in the above scheme. Omit the row and the column in which this particular element appears. Choose  $t$  rows out of the  $n-1$  remaining rows. Clearly we can get  $\binom{n-1}{t}$  sets of such  $t$  rows forming, after adjoining  $\theta_{ij}$ , blocks in the required design. We form blocks in this way for every  $\theta_{ij}$  of the scheme, giving  $b=n^2 \binom{n-1}{t}$  blocks, each of size  $t(n-1)+1$ , i.e.,  $k=nt-t+1$ . It is obvious that the replication number,  $r$ , is

$$r = \binom{n-1}{t} + (n-1)^2 \binom{n-2}{t-1} = (nt-t+1) \binom{n-1}{t}.$$

For calculation of  $\lambda_1$ , let us fix any row,  $j$ , say, and consider a pair  $(\theta_{pj}, \theta_{lj})$ , say, where  $p \neq l$ . This pair occurs in  $\lambda_1 = (n-1)(n-2) \binom{n-2}{t-1}$  blocks.

For  $\lambda_2$ , let us fix two rows, say  $q$  and  $s$ , where  $q \neq s$ , and fix two treatments forming a pair  $(\theta_{iq}, \theta_{is})$  from these rows. This pair occurs in  $(n-1)(n-2) \binom{n-3}{t-2}$  blocks in our design.

For  $\lambda_3$ , take a pair  $(\theta_{ij}, \theta_{lp})$ , where  $i \neq l$  and  $j \neq p$ . If we fix  $\theta_{ij}$ , then the pair  $(\theta_{ij}, \theta_{lp})$  occurs in  $\binom{n-2}{t-1}$  blocks of the design, and if we fix  $\theta_{lp}$ , then the pair  $(\theta_{ij}, \theta_{lp})$  occurs in  $\binom{n-2}{t-1}$  blocks of the design.

Now fixing the two rows, one containing  $\theta_{ij}$  and the other  $\theta_{ip}$ , there are  $(n-2)^2 \binom{n-3}{t-2}$  blocks containing the pair  $(\theta_{ij}, \theta_{ip})$ . Therefore  $\lambda_3 = (n-2)^2 \binom{n-3}{t-2} + 2 \binom{n-2}{t-1}$ . Thus, the proof of this theorem is completed.

Now we investigate cases when a PBIB design defined by (3.1) reduces to a PBIB design with two associate classes.

*Case (1):*  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ , i.e.,  $n = t + 1$ . In this case, we get an  $L_2$  PBIB design with parameters  $v^* = (t+1)^2 = b^*$ ,  $r^* = k^* = t^2 + 1$ ,  $\lambda_1^* = \lambda_2^* = t(t-1)$ ,  $\lambda_3^* = t^2 - 2t + 3$ , and this family is believed to be new. But for  $t=2$ ,  $v^* = b^* = 9$ ,  $r^* = k^* = 5$ ,  $\lambda_1^* = 2$ ,  $\lambda_2^* = 3$  is listed in Clatworthy [1] as LS49, and for  $t=3$ , we get  $v^* = b^* = 16$ ,  $r^* = k^* = 10$ ,  $\lambda_1^* = \lambda_2^* = \lambda_3^* = 6$  which is a known symmetrical BIB design with  $(v, k, \lambda) = (16, 10, 6)$  (cf. Raghavarao [2]).

*Case (2):*  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ , i.e.,  $t=3$ . In this case, for  $n > 4$ , we get a regular GD design with parameters  $v' = n^2$ ,  $b' = n^2 \binom{n-1}{3}$ ,  $r' = (3n-2) \binom{n-1}{3}$ ,  $k' = 3n-2$ ,  $\lambda'_1 = (n-1)(n-2) \binom{n-2}{2}$ ,  $\lambda'_2 = (n-1)(n-2)(n-3)$  and  $m' = n' = n$ . But, for  $n=4$ , we get a symmetrical BIB design with  $(v, k, \lambda) = (16, 10, 6)$ .

### Acknowledgement

The authors thank a referee for his comments.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF INDORE, INDIA  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY, JAPAN

### REFERENCES

- [1] Clatworthy, W. H. (1973). Tables of Two-Associate-Class Partially Balanced Designs, *Applied Mathematics Series* 63, U.S. National Bur. Standards, Washington.
- [2] Raghavarao, D. (1971). *Constructions and Combinatorial Problems in Design of Experiments*, John Wiley, New York.