

THE POWER OF THE LIKELIHOOD RATIO TEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN A MULTIVARIATE LINEAR MODEL

YASUNORI FUJIKOSHI

(Received Dec. 15, 1980; revised Mar. 20, 1981)

Summary

This paper deals with the likelihood ratio test for additional information in a multivariate linear model. It is shown that the power of the likelihood ratio test procedure has a monotonicity property. Asymptotic approximations for the power are also obtained.

1. Introduction

Let Y be an observed $N \times p$ matrix of p variables y_1, \dots, y_p on each of N individuals. We assume that the N rows of Y are independently distributed according to p variate normal distributions with the common covariance matrix Σ and expectations given by

$$(1.1) \quad E(Y) = A\mathcal{E}$$

where A is a known $N \times k$ matrix of rank k and \mathcal{E} is a $k \times p$ matrix of unknown parameters. We partition \mathcal{E} and Σ as

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_1 & \mathcal{E}_2 \\ p_1 & p_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{matrix}$$

respectively. Consider the problem of testing the hypothesis (Rao [9], [10])

$$(1.3) \quad H_0: C\Gamma = 0 \quad \text{against} \quad H_1: C\Gamma \neq 0$$

where C is a known $q \times k$ matrix of rank q , $\Gamma = \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_1\beta$ and $\beta = \Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}$. From McKay [7] the hypothesis H_0 can be interpreted as the hypothesis that $y'_2 = (y_{p_1+1}, \dots, y_p)$ supplies no additional information about departures from nullity of the hypothesis $\tilde{H}_0: C\mathcal{E} = 0$, independently of

AMS 1970 subject classifications: Primary 62H15; Secondary 62H10.

Key words and phrases: Power of likelihood ratio test, additional information, asymptotic expansions, nonnull distribution, multivariate linear model.

$$y'_i = (y_1, \dots, y_{p_i}).$$

Let W and B be the matrices of sums and products due to error and departure from the hypothesis in the problem of testing $\tilde{H}_0: C\Xi = 0$ against $\tilde{H}_1: C\Xi \neq 0$, i.e., $W = Y'(I - A(A'A)^{-1}A')Y$ and $B = Y'A(A'A)^{-1} \cdot C'\{C(A'A)^{-1}C'\}^{-1}C(A'A)^{-1}A'Y$. Then the likelihood ratio criterion for testing H_0 against H_1 is an increasing function of

$$(1.4) \quad A = |S_e|/|S_e + S_h|$$

where $S_e = W_{22.1} = W_{22} - W_{21}W_{11}^{-1}W_{12}$, $S_h = W_{22} + B_{22} - (W_{21} + B_{21})(W_{11} + B_{11})^{-1} \cdot (W_{12} + B_{12}) - W_{22.1}$ and W_{ij} and B_{ij} are submatrices of W and B , respectively, partitioned in the manner of Σ . In this paper we shall discuss about the distributions of S_e and S_h . Using the distributional results we shall show that the power of the likelihood ratio test procedure has a monotonicity property. Further asymptotic nonnull distributions of A are obtained.

2. The distributions of S_e and S_h

Consider the partitioning of Y into the sub-observation matrices of the first p_1 variables and the last p_2 variables as (Y_1, Y_2) . Given Y_1 , the N rows of Y_2 are independently distributed according to p_2 variate normal distributions with the common covariance matrix $\Sigma_{22.1} = \Sigma_{22} - \Sigma_{21} \cdot \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12}$ and expectations given by

$$(2.1) \quad E(Y_2|Y_1) = A\Gamma + Y_1\beta.$$

Under the conditional setup the testing problem (1.3) can be regarded as one of a linear hypothesis in a multivariate linear model. Further, since A is also the likelihood ratio statistic under the conditional model, it is implicitly known that the conditional distribution of A given Y_1 is a Wilks' lambda distribution. Here we shall give a direct proof of the result and further distributional results on S_h . Since W and B are independently distributed as a central Wishart distribution $W_p(N-k, \Sigma)$ and a noncentral Wishart distribution $W_p(q, \Sigma; E'C'\{C(A'A)^{-1} \cdot C'\}^{-1}C\Xi)$, respectively, we may write W and B as $U'U$ and $X'X$, respectively, where the rows of $U: (N-k) \times p$ and $X: q \times p$ are independently distributed according to $N_p(\cdot, \Sigma)$, $E(U) = 0$ and $E(X) = \{C(A'A)^{-1}C'\}^{-1/2} \cdot C\Xi = \eta$. Let U , X and η decompose as $U = (U_1, U_2)$, $X = (X_1, X_2)$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$, respectively, where $U_1: (N-k) \times p_1$, $X_1: q \times p_1$ and $\eta_1: q \times p_1$. Noting that $(I + X_1W_{11}^{-1}X_1')^{-1} = I - X_1(X_1'X_1 + W_{11})^{-1}X_1'$,

$$(2.2) \quad S_h = (X_2 - X_1W_{11}^{-1}W_{12})'(I + X_1W_{11}^{-1}X_1')^{-1}(X_2 - X_1W_{11}^{-1}W_{12}).$$

Hence we can express S_e and S_h as $Z_2'FZ_2$ and $Z_2'GZ_2$, respectively, with

$$Z'_2 = (X'_2, U'_2),$$

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I - U_1(U'_1 U_1)^{-1} U'_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$G = (I, -X_1 W_{11}^{-1} U'_1)' (I + X_1 W_{11}^{-1} X'_1)^{-1} (I, -X_1 W_{11}^{-1} U'_1).$$

Given $Z'_1 = (X'_1, U'_1)$ the rows of Z_2 are independently distributed according to p_2 variate normal distributions with the common covariance matrix $\Sigma_{22.1}$ and expectations given by

$$E(Z_2 | Z_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\eta}_2 + X_1 \beta \\ U_1 \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\eta}_2 = \{C(A'A)^{-1}C'\}^{-1/2} C'F.$$

Noting, that $F^2 = F$, $G^2 = G$, $FG = 0$ and $E(Z_2 | Z_1)G E(Z'_2 | Z_1) = \tilde{\eta}'_2(I + X_1 \cdot W_{11}^{-1} X'_1)^{-1} \tilde{\eta}_2$, we have

THEOREM 1.

- (1) S_e has a central Wishart distribution $W_{p_2}(N-k-p_1, \Sigma_{22.1})$. Given $R = (I + X_1 W_{11}^{-1} X'_1)^{-1}$,
- (2) S_h has a noncentral Wishart distribution $W_{p_2}(q, \Sigma_{22.1}; \tilde{\eta}'_2 R \tilde{\eta}_2)$,
- (3) S_e and S_h are independent.

Further, the rows of X_1 are independently distributed according to p_1 variate normal distributions with the common covariance matrix Σ_{11} and expectations given by $E(X_1) = \eta_1$, W_{11} has a central Wishart distribution $W_{p_1}(N-k, \Sigma_{11})$ and X_1 and W_{11} are independent.

Remark 1. Under the assumption of $\eta_1 = 0$, i.e., $C\Xi_1 = 0$, the distributions of S_e and S_h are essentially the same as ones of the matrices due to error and departure from hypothesis in a general MANOVA model (cf. Fujikoshi [5]).

3. Monotonicity of the power of Δ

When we consider the distribution of Δ , we may assume without loss of generality that

$$(3.1) \quad S_e \sim W_{p_2}(N-k-p_1, I), \quad S_h \sim W_{p_2}(q, I; \Delta) \text{ given } R, \\ \text{where } \Delta = \zeta'_2 R \zeta_2 \text{ and } \zeta_2 = \tilde{\eta}_2 \Sigma_{22.1}^{-1/2}, \\ W_{11} \sim W_{p_1}(N-k, I) \text{ and } E(X_1) = \zeta_1 = \eta_1 \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}.$$

Since the conditional power of the likelihood ratio test procedure de-

depends only on the characteristic roots $\delta_1 \geq \dots \geq \delta_{p_2}$ of A , the unconditional power depends on both $\theta = \zeta_2 \zeta_2'$ and ζ_1 . We can write the power and the conditional power of the likelihood ratio test procedure as $\beta_A(\theta, \zeta_1)$ and $\beta_A(D_\delta | R)$, respectively, where $D_\delta = \text{diag}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{p_2})$. Then

$$(3.2) \quad \beta_A(\theta, \zeta_1) = E(\beta_A(D_\delta | R)).$$

Using the result due to Das Gupta, Anderson and Mudholkar [4] that $\beta_A(D | R)$ increases monotonically in each δ_i , we have

THEOREM 2. If $\theta^* = \zeta_2^* \zeta_2^{*'} \geq \theta = \zeta_2 \zeta_2'$, then

$$(3.3) \quad \beta_A(\theta^*, \zeta_1) \geq \beta_A(\theta, \zeta_1).$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that $\delta_i^* \geq \delta_i$, where $\delta_1^* \geq \dots \geq \delta_{p_2}^*$ are the characteristic roots of $A^* = \zeta_2^{*'} R \zeta_2^*$. Then the inequality can be proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i^* &= \text{ch}_i(R^{1/2} \theta^* R^{1/2}) \\ &= \text{ch}_i\{R^{1/2} \theta R^{1/2} + R^{1/2}(\theta^* - \theta)R^{1/2}\} \\ &\geq \text{ch}_i(R^{1/2} \theta R^{1/2}) = \delta_i \quad (\text{ch. [3], pp. 33-34}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{ch}_i(\cdot)$ means the i th largest characteristic root of a matrix.

Remark 2. Let ϕ be any test on the characteristic roots of $S_h S_e^{-1}$. Then we can write the corresponding power and the conditional power as $\beta_\phi(\theta, \zeta_1)$ and $\beta_\phi(D_\delta | R)$, respectively. Then from the proof of Theorem 2 it follows that if $\beta_\phi(D_\delta | R)$ increases monotonically in each δ_i , $\beta_\phi(\theta, \zeta_1)$ has the same monotonicity property as in Theorem 2. For the monotonicity results for $\beta_\phi(D_\delta | R)$, see Das Gupta, Anderson and Mudholkar [4] and Perlman and Olkin [8].

Remark 3. Under the assumption of $C E_1 = 0$ it follows from Remark 1 and Fujikoshi [5] that the power of the likelihood ratio test procedure depends only on the characteristic roots $\omega_1 \geq \dots \geq \omega_{p_2}$ of $\Omega = \zeta_2' \zeta_2 = \Sigma_{22.1}^{-1/2} E_2' C' \{C(A'A)^{-1} C'\}^{-1} C E_2 \Sigma_{22.1}^{-1/2}$ and increases monotonically in each ω_i .

4. Asymptotic nonnull distribution of A

In this section we consider asymptotic approximations for the power of the likelihood ratio test procedure when p and q are fixed and the sample size N is large. We may write the power with a level of significance α as

$$(4.1) \quad \beta_A(\theta, \zeta_1; \alpha) = P(-m \log A \geq u)$$

where $m = N - k - p_1 + (q - p_2 - 1)/2$ and the u is determined such that $P(-m \log \Lambda \geq u | H_0) = \alpha$. Since under H_0 Λ has a Wilks' lambda distribution $\Lambda(p, N - k - p_1, q)$, the u can be approximated by the formula (cf. Anderson [1], p. 208)

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} P(-m \log \Lambda \leq x | H_0) \\ = P(\chi_f^2 \leq x) + \frac{p_2 q}{48 m^2} (p_2^2 + q^2 - 5) \{P(\chi_{f+4}^2 \leq x) \\ - P(\chi_f^2 \leq x)\} + O(m^{-4}) \end{aligned}$$

where $f = p_2 q$. In the following we consider asymptotic nonnull distributions of $-m \log \Lambda$.

First we assume that $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = O(1)$. Then we have $\Delta = O_p(1)$. From Sugiura and Fujikoshi [11] we can write the conditional characteristic function of $-m \log \Lambda$ as

$$(4.3) \quad \phi(t | X_1, W_{11}) = \phi(\Delta) + O_p(m^{-2})$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(\Delta) = (1 - 2it)^{-f/2} \exp \left[\frac{it}{1 - 2it} \text{tr } \Delta \right] \\ \cdot \times \left[1 + \frac{1}{4m} \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j(\Delta) (1 - 2it)^{-j} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1(\Delta) &= (p_2 + q + 1) \text{tr } \Delta, \\ a_2(\Delta) &= -(p_2 + q + 1) \text{tr } \Delta + \text{tr } \Delta^2, \quad a_3(\Delta) = -\text{tr } \Delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\Delta = \Omega - m^{-1} \zeta_2' X_1 (m^{-1} W_{11})^{-1} X_1' \zeta_2 + m^{-2} \zeta_2' \{X_1 (m^{-1} W_{11})^{-1} X_1'\}^2 R \zeta_2$, it can be shown that

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} E(\phi(\Delta)) &= \phi(\Omega) + \frac{1}{2m} (1 - 2it)^{-f/2} \exp \left[\frac{it}{1 - 2it} \text{tr } \Omega \right] \\ &\times [1 - (1 - 2it)^{-1}] (p_1 \text{tr } \Omega + \text{tr } \zeta_1' \Theta \zeta_1) + O(m^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega = \zeta_2' \zeta_2$ and $\Theta = \zeta_2 \zeta_2'$. By formally inverting (4.6) we obtain an asymptotic expansion given by Theorem 3. The derivation of the asymptotic expansion will be justified by proving $E(O_p(m^{-2})) = O(m^{-2})$ and the existence of a valid asymptotic expansion for $P(-m \log \Lambda \leq x)$.

THEOREM 3. *Under $\zeta = O(1)$, the following asymptotic formula for the nonnull distribution of $-m \log \Lambda$ holds for large N .*

$$(4.7) \quad P(-m \log \Lambda \leq x)$$

$$= G_f(x: \omega^2) + \frac{1}{4m} \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j(\Omega) G_{f+2j}(x: \omega^2) \\ + \frac{1}{2m} (p_1 \operatorname{tr} \Omega + \operatorname{tr} \zeta'_1 \Theta \zeta_1) [G_f(x: \omega^2) - G_{f+2}(x: \omega^2)] + O(m^{-2})$$

where $m = N - k - p_1 + (q - p_2 - 1)/2$, $f = p_2 q$, $\omega^2 = (\operatorname{tr} \Omega)/2$, $\Omega = \zeta'_2 \zeta_2$, $\Theta = \zeta'_2 \zeta'_1$, the coefficients $a_j(\cdot)$ are given by (4.5) and $G_f(x: \omega^2)$ denotes the distribution function of a noncentral chi-square variate with f degrees of freedom and noncentrality parameter ω^2 .

Next we assume that $\zeta = \sqrt{m} L$, where L is a fixed matrix. Consider the asymptotic distribution of

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{A} = \sqrt{m} \{-\log A - \log |I + Q|\}$$

where $Q = L'_2(I + L_1 L'_1)^{-1} L_2$, $L = (L_1, L_2)$ and $L_1: q \times p_1$. Under $\zeta = \sqrt{m} L$ we have

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{1}{m} A = Q + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} M'(L_1 V L'_1 - Z L'_1 - L_1 Z') M + O_p(m^{-1})$$

where $M = (I + L_1 L'_1)^{-1} L_2$, $Z = X_1 - \zeta_1$ and $V = \sqrt{m}(m^{-1} W_{11} - I)$. Let $T_e = \sqrt{m}((1/m)S_e - I)$ and $T_h = \sqrt{m}((1/m)S_h - Q)$. Then it is seen (cf. Sugiyura [12]) from (4.9) that

$$(4.10) \quad E[\exp\{it(\operatorname{tr} A T_e + \operatorname{tr} B T_h)\}] \\ = \exp[-t^2\{\operatorname{tr} A^2 + 2 \operatorname{tr} Q B^2 + \operatorname{tr}(L'_1 M B M' L_1)^2 \\ + 2 \operatorname{tr} L'_1 M B M' M B M' L_1\}](1 + O(m^{-1/2}))$$

where A and B are any symmetric matrices. This shows that T_e and T_h converge in law to $p(p+1)/2$ variate normal distributions as m tends to infinity. We can express \tilde{A} as

$$(4.11) \quad \tilde{A} = \operatorname{tr}\{(I + Q)^{-1} - I\} T_e + \operatorname{tr}(I + Q)^{-1} T_h + O_p(m^{-1/2}).$$

Applying a theorem on limiting distributions (cf. Anderson [2]), we have

THEOREM 4. Under $\zeta = \sqrt{m} L$ the limiting distribution of \tilde{A} is $N(0, \sigma^2)$, where $\sigma^2 = 2\{p_2 - \operatorname{tr}(I + Q)^{-2}\} + 2 \operatorname{tr}\{L'_1 M(I + Q)^{-1} M' L_1\}^2 + 4 \operatorname{tr} L'_1 M(I + Q)^{-1} \cdot M' M(I + Q)^{-1} M' L_1$, where $Q = L'_2(I + L_1 L'_1)^{-1} L_2$ and $M = (I + L_1 L'_1)^{-1} L_2$.

The statistic A defined by (1.4) is also the likelihood ratio test statistic for testing $H_0: C\Gamma = 0$ given $C\Xi_1 = 0$. The approximations for the nonnull distribution of A given $C\Xi_1 = 0$ are given by (4.7) and Theorem 4 with $\zeta_1 = 0$ and $\zeta_2 = \{C(A'A)^{-1}C'\}^{-1/2} C\Xi_2 \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2}$. Further asymptotic expansions in this case have been obtained by Fujikoshi [6].

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referee for his helpful comments.

HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, T. W. (1958). *Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis*, Wiley, New York.
- [2] Anderson, T. W. (1963). Asymptotic theory for principal component analysis, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **34**, 122-148.
- [3] Courant, R. and Hilbert, D. (1953). *Methods of Mathematical Physics, 1*, Interscience, New York.
- [4] Das Gupta, S., Anderson, T. W. and Mudholkar, G. (1964). Monotonicity of the power functions of some tests of the multivariate linear hypothesis, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **35**, 200-205.
- [5] Fujikoshi, Y. (1973). Monotonicity of the power functions of some tests in general MANOVA models, *Ann. Statist.*, **1**, 388-391.
- [6] Fujikoshi, Y. (1974). Asymptotic expansions of the non-null distributions of three statistics in GMANOVA, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **26**, 289-297.
- [7] McKay, R. J. (1977). Simultaneous procedures for variable selection in multiple discriminant analysis, *Biometrika*, **64**, 283-290.
- [8] Perlman, M. D. and Olkin, I. (1980). Unbiasedness of invariant tests for MANOVA and other multivariate problems, *Ann. Statist.*, **8**, 1326-1341.
- [9] Rao, C. R. (1965). *Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications*, Wiley, New York.
- [10] Rao, C. R. (1966). Covariance adjustment and related problems in multivariate analysis, *Multivariate Analysis—I* (ed. P. R. Krishnaiah), Academic Press, New York, 87-103.
- [11] Sugiura, N. and Fujikoshi, Y. (1969). Asymptotic expansions of the non-null distributions of the likelihood ratio criteria for multivariate linear hypothesis and independence, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **40**, 942-952.
- [12] Sugiura, N. (1973). Further asymptotic formulas for the non-null distributions of three statistics for multivariate linear hypothesis, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **25**, 153-163.