

## DOUBLE STAGE ESTIMATION OF POPULATION VARIANCE

B. N. PANDEY

(Received July 20, 1972; revised Mar. 14, 1975)

### Summary

Consider a normal population with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . We are interested in the estimation of population variance with the help of guess value  $\sigma_0^2$  and a sample of observations. In this paper, a double stage shrinkage estimator  $\hat{\sigma}_k^2$  based on the shrinkage estimator  $ks_1^2 + (1-k)\sigma_0^2$  if  $s_1^2 \in R$  and the usual estimator  $s^2 = \frac{(n_1-1)s_1^2 + (n_2-1)s_2^2}{n_1+n_2-2}$  if  $s_1^2 \notin R$ , where  $R$  is some specified region, have been proposed. The expressions for bias and mean squared error have been obtained. Comparison with the usual estimator  $s^2$  have been made. It was found that though the largest gain is obtained for  $k=0$ , we can use  $\hat{\sigma}_k^2$  with  $0 \leq k \leq 1/2$  even when  $\sigma^2$  is very close to  $\sigma_0^2$ .

### 1. Introduction

Consider a normal population with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Suppose that our a priori knowledge about the population variance  $\sigma^2$  is in the form of an initial estimate  $\sigma_0^2$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from a normal population with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . The minimum variance unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$  is  $s^2$ . We are interested in estimating  $\sigma^2$  with the help of  $\sigma_0^2$  and a random sample of observations. Thompson [5] considered a method of shrinking the minimum variance unbiased estimators towards the natural origin by multiplying it by a shrinkage factor  $c$ . He considered the estimation of population mean  $\mu$  and propose the estimator

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu_0)^3}{(\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2 + s^2/n} + \mu_0.$$

The above estimator have higher efficiency when  $\mu$  is very close to  $\mu_0$ . Similarly the shrinkage estimator for population variance will be

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{(s^2 - \sigma_0^2)^3}{(s^2 - \sigma_0^2)^2 + 2s^4/n + 1} + \sigma_0^2$$

which have higher efficiency when  $\sigma^2$  is very close to  $\sigma_0^2$ . This suggest that we have to use  $ks^2+(1-k)\sigma_0^2$  instead of  $s^2$  when  $\sigma^2$  is very close to  $\sigma_0^2$ . Therefore we can propose a preliminary test estimator

$$\hat{\sigma}_p^2 = \begin{cases} ks^2+(1-k)\sigma_0^2 & \text{if } \sigma^2 \text{ is close to } \sigma_0^2 \\ s^2 & \text{if } \sigma^2 \text{ is not close to } \sigma_0^2. \end{cases}$$

The above estimator have been discussed in [4]. Here to test whether  $\sigma_0^2$  is close to  $\sigma^2$  or not, we apply the test statistic  $(n-1)s^2/\sigma_0^2$ , which follow the chi-square distribution with  $(n-1)$  degrees of freedom. Let  $r_1$ , and  $r_2$  be such that

$$P [r_1 \leq (n-1)s^2/\sigma_0^2 \leq r_2] \geq 1-\alpha.$$

Therefore we can say that  $\sigma_0^2$  is close to  $\sigma^2$  if  $s^2 \in R$ . Hence the preliminary test estimator is

$$\hat{\sigma}_p^2 = \begin{cases} ks^2+(1-k)\sigma_0^2 & \text{if } s^2 \in R \\ s^2 & \text{if } s^2 \notin R. \end{cases}$$

Katti [2] have considered a double stage scheme for estimating the mean  $\mu$  when variance  $\sigma^2$  is known and when an a priori estimate is given as  $\mu_0$ . The estimator considered by Katti [2] is

$$\hat{\mu} = \begin{cases} \bar{x}_1 & \text{if } \bar{x}_1 \in R_0 \\ \frac{n_1\bar{x}_1+n_2\bar{x}_2}{n_1+n_2} & \text{if } \bar{x}_1 \notin R_0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$R_0 = \left[ \mu_0 - \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2n_1+n_2}}, \mu_0 + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2n_1+n_2}} \right] = [c_1, c_2]$$

and is obtained by minimizing MSE  $(\hat{\mu}/\mu_0)$ . Similarly if we consider a double stage scheme for estimating the population variance  $\sigma^2$ , when an a priori estimate is given as  $\sigma_0^2$ , the estimator will be

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \begin{cases} s_1^2 & \text{if } s_1^2 \in R_0 \\ \frac{(n_1-1)s_1^2+(n_2-1)s_2^2}{n_1+n_2-2} & \text{if } s_1^2 \notin R_0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$R_0 = \left[ \sigma_0^2 \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n_2+2n_1-3}} \right), \sigma_0^2 \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{n_2+2n_1-3}} \right) \right].$$

Arnold, J. C. and Bayyatti, Al. H. A. [1] have considered the estima-

tion of population mean  $\mu$  on double stage. They attempt to weight  $\mu_0$  and  $\bar{x}_1$  by a constant  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq 1$ , such that the estimate is  $k\bar{x}_1 + (1-k)\mu_0$  if  $\bar{x}_1 \in R$ .  $k$  is a constant specified by the experimenter according to his belief in  $\mu_0$ . A value of  $k$  close to zero implies a strong belief that  $\mu_0$  is near the true mean  $\mu$  and a value near one causes the double stage estimator to be based essentially on the sample alone. The double stage estimator proposed by them is

$$\hat{\mu}_k = \begin{cases} k\bar{x}_1 + (1-k)\mu_0 & \text{if } \bar{x}_1 \in R \\ \frac{n_1\bar{x}_1 + n_2\bar{x}_2}{n_1 + n_2} & \text{if } \bar{x}_1 \notin R. \end{cases}$$

Similarly the double stage shrinkage estimator for population variance will be

$$\hat{\sigma}_k^2 = \begin{cases} ks_1^2 + (1-k)\sigma_0^2 & \text{if } s_1^2 \in R \\ \frac{(n_1-1)s_1^2 + (n_2-1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} & \text{if } s_1^2 \notin R \end{cases}$$

where  $R$  can be obtained by minimizing the MSE ( $\hat{\sigma}_k^2/\sigma_0^2$ ).

In this paper we have also proposed a double stage shrinkage estimator  $\hat{\sigma}_k^2$ . We take a sample of size  $n_1$  and compute

$$s_1^2 = \frac{1}{n_1 - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (x_i - \bar{x}_1)^2, \quad \bar{x}_1 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i.$$

If  $s_1^2$  implies that our a priori estimate was reasonable, we stop sampling and shrink  $s_1^2$  towards  $\sigma_0^2$ . If not so, we take additional sample of size  $n_2$  and compute the pooled sample variance

$$s^2 = \frac{(n_1-1)s_1^2 + (n_2-1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

where

$$s_2^2 = \frac{1}{n_2 - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (x_i - \bar{x}_2)^2, \quad \bar{x}_2 = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} x_i.$$

Therefore the proposed double stage shrunken estimator is

$$\hat{\sigma}_k^2 = \begin{cases} ks_1^2 + (1-k)\sigma_0^2 & \text{if } \frac{r_1\sigma_0^2}{n_1-1} \leq s_1^2 \leq \frac{r_2\sigma_0^2}{n_1-1} \\ \frac{(n_1-1)s_1^2 + (n_2-1)s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} & \text{if } s_1^2 < \frac{r_1\sigma_0^2}{n_1-1} \text{ and } s_1^2 > \frac{r_2\sigma_0^2}{n_1-1}. \end{cases}$$

## 2. Bias and mean squared error

The proposed estimator is

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{\sigma}_k^2 = \begin{cases} ks_1^2 + (1-k)\sigma_0^2 & \text{if } s_1^2 \in R \\ \frac{(n_1-1)s_1^2 + (n_2-1)s_2^2}{n_1+n_2-2} & \text{if } s_1^2 \notin R \end{cases}$$

where

$$R = \left[ \frac{r_1\sigma_0^2}{n_1-1}, \frac{r_2\sigma_0^2}{n_1-1} \right].$$

The expected value of  $\hat{\sigma}_k^2$  can be written as

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} E(\hat{\sigma}_k^2) &= \iint \hat{\sigma}_k^2(s_1^2, s_2^2) p(s_1^2) p(s_2^2) ds_1^2 ds_2^2 \\ &= \int_R \{ks_1^2 + (1-k)\sigma_0^2\} p(s_1^2) ds_1^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \int_{R^c} \frac{m_1 s_1^2 + m_2 s_2^2}{m_1 + m_2} p(s_1^2) p(s_2^2) ds_1^2 ds_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_i = n_i - 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and

$$p(s_i^2) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2\sigma^2}{m_i}\right)^{m_i/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{m_i}{2}\right)} \exp\left(-\frac{m_i s_i^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) (s_i^2)^{m_i/2-1}.$$

Now,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Bias}(\hat{\sigma}_k^2) &= E(\hat{\sigma}_k^2) - \sigma^2 \\ &= \left(k - \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2}\right) \sigma^2 Q' + (1-k)\sigma_0^2 R' - \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} R' \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q' &= I\left(\frac{r_2\sigma_0^2}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{m_1}{2}\right) - I\left(\frac{r_1\sigma_0^2}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{m_1}{2}\right) \\ R' &= I\left(\frac{r_2\sigma_0^2}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{m_1}{2} - 1\right) - I\left(\frac{r_1\sigma_0^2}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{m_1}{2} - 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I(x, p-1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^x e^{-t} t^{p-1} dt \quad (\text{Incomplete Gamma Integral}).$$

If  $k=0$ , the proposed estimator is

$$(2.4) \quad \hat{\sigma}_0^2 = \begin{cases} \sigma_0^2 & \text{if } s_1^2 \in R \\ \frac{m_1 s_1^2 + m_2 s_2^2}{m_1 + m_2} & \text{if } s_1^2 \notin R. \end{cases}$$

Now,

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Bias } (\hat{\sigma}_0^2) = -\frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} \sigma^2 Q' + \sigma_0^2 R' - \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} R'.$$

If  $k=1$ , the proposed estimator is

$$(2.6) \quad \hat{\sigma}_1^2 = \begin{cases} s_1^2 & \text{if } s_1^2 \in R \\ \frac{m_1 s_1^2 + m_2 s_2^2}{m_1 + m_2} & \text{if } s_1^2 \notin R. \end{cases}$$

Now,

$$(2.7) \quad \text{Bias } (\hat{\sigma}_1^2) = \frac{m_2 \sigma^2}{m_1 + m_2} Q' - \frac{m_2 \sigma^2}{m_1 + m_2} R'.$$

Again we have,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{MSE } (\hat{\sigma}_k^2) &= E (\hat{\sigma}_k^2 - \sigma^2)^2 \\ &= \iint (\hat{\sigma}_k^2 - \sigma^2)^2 (s_1^2, s_2^2) p(s_1^2) p(s_2^2) ds_1^2 ds_2^2 \\ &= \int_R \{k s_1^2 + (1-k) \sigma_0^2 - \sigma^2\}^2 p(s_1^2) ds_1^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \int_{R^c} \left\{ \frac{m_1 s_1^2 + m_2 s_2^2}{m_1 + m_2} - \sigma^2 \right\}^2 p(s_1^2) p(s_2^2) ds_1^2 ds_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

After simplification we get

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{MSE } (\hat{\sigma}_k^2) &= \frac{2\sigma^4}{m_1 + m_2} + \left\{ k^2 - \frac{m_1^2}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} \right\} \frac{m_1 + 2}{m_1} \sigma^4 P' \\ &\quad + \left\{ 2k\sigma^2(\sigma_0^2 - \sigma^2) - 2k\sigma_0^2\sigma^2 + \frac{2m_1^2\sigma^4}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} \right\} Q' \\ &\quad + \left\{ (\sigma_0^2 - \sigma^2)^2 + k^2\sigma_0^4 - 2k\sigma_0^2(\sigma_0^2 - \sigma^2) - \frac{(m_1^2 + 2m_2)\sigma^4}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} \right\} R' \end{aligned}$$

which will be equal to

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{2\sigma^4}{m_1 + m_2} - \frac{(m_1 + 2)m_1}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} \sigma^4 P' + \frac{2m_1^2\sigma^4 Q'}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} \\ + \left\{ (\sigma_0^2 - \sigma^2)^2 - \frac{(m_1^2 + 2m_2)\sigma^4}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} \right\} R' \quad \text{for } k=0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{2\sigma^4}{m_1+m_2} + \left\{1 - \frac{m_1^2}{(m_1+m_2)^2}\right\} \frac{m_1+2}{m_1} \sigma^4 P' \\ + \left\{ \frac{2m_1^2\sigma^4}{(m_1+m_2)^2} - 2\sigma^4 \right\} Q' + \left\{ \sigma^4 - \frac{m_1^2+2m_2}{(m_1+m_2)^2} \sigma^4 \right\} R' \quad \text{for } k=1$$

respectively. Now differentiating (2.9) with respect to  $k$  and putting the derivative equal to zero, we get

$$(2.12) \quad k \frac{m_1+2}{m_1} \sigma^4 P' - (\sigma_0^4 - k\sigma_0^4 + \sigma^2\sigma_0^2) R' + (\sigma^2\sigma_0^2 - 2k\sigma^2\sigma_0^2 - \sigma^4) Q' = 0$$

which gives

$$(2.13) \quad k = \frac{(\sigma_0^4 - \sigma^2\sigma_0^2) R' + (\sigma^4 - \sigma^2\sigma_0^2) Q'}{\frac{m_1+2}{m_1} \sigma^4 P' + \sigma_0^4 R' - 2\sigma^2\sigma_0^2 Q'}$$

Again differentiating (2.12) with respect to  $k$  we get

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{m_1+2}{m_1} \sigma^4 P'' + \sigma_0^4 R'' - 2\sigma^2\sigma_0^2 Q''$$

which will be always positive. Hence the value of  $k$  obtained in (2.13) will give the minimum mean squared error. The value of  $k$  depends on the unknown values of  $\sigma^2$ , which can be estimated by  $s_1^2$ . Therefore the estimated value of  $k$ , on the basis of first sample will be

$$(2.15) \quad k_0 = \hat{k} = \frac{(m_1+2) \{ \sigma_0^4 - s^2\sigma_0^2 \} R'' + [m_1 s_1^4 - s_1^2(m_1+2)\sigma_0^2] Q''}{(m_1+2) [s_1^4 P'' + \sigma_0^4 R'' - 2s_1^2\sigma_0^2 Q'']}$$

where

$$P'' = I\left(\frac{r_2\sigma_0^2}{2s_1^2}, \frac{m_1+1}{2}\right) - I\left(\frac{r_1\sigma_0^2}{2s_1^2}, \frac{m_1+1}{2}\right)$$

$$Q'' = I\left(\frac{r_2\sigma_0^2}{2s_1^2}, \frac{m_1}{2}\right) - I\left(\frac{r_1\sigma_0^2}{2s_1^2}, \frac{m_1}{2}\right)$$

$$R'' = I\left(\frac{r_2\sigma_0^2}{2s_1^2}, \frac{m_1-1}{2}\right) - I\left(\frac{r_1\sigma_0^2}{2s_1^2}, \frac{m_1-1}{2}\right).$$

Therefore the proposed estimator will be

$$(2.16) \quad \hat{\sigma}_{k_0}^2 = \begin{cases} k_0 s_1^2 + (1-k_0)\sigma_0^2 & \text{if } s_1^2 \in R \\ \frac{m_1 s_1^2 + m_2 s_2^2}{m_1 + m_2} & \text{if } s_1^2 \notin R. \end{cases}$$

The expressions for bias and mean squared error can be derived. It will involve complicated algebra.

3. Comparison

The relative efficiency of  $\hat{\sigma}_k^2$  with respect to  $s^2$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad \text{REF}(\hat{\sigma}_k^2, s^2) &= \frac{\text{MSE}(s^2)}{\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_k^2)} \\
 &= \left[ 1 + \left( k^2 - \frac{m_1^2}{(m_1 + m_2)^2} \right) \frac{(m_1 + m_2)(m_1 + 2)}{2m_1} P' \right. \\
 &\quad + \left. \left\{ \frac{k(\sigma_0^2 - \sigma^2)(m_1 + m_2)}{\sigma^2} - \frac{k(m_1 + m_2)\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{m_1^2}{m_1 + m_2} \right\} Q' \right. \\
 &\quad + \left. \left\{ \frac{(m_1 + m_2)(\sigma_0^2 - \sigma^2)^2}{2\sigma^4} + \frac{(m_1 + m_2)\sigma_0^4}{2\sigma^4} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{k(m_1 + m_2)(\sigma_0^2 - \sigma^2)\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^4} - \frac{m_1^2 + 2m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \right\} R' \right]^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The numerical calculations of the relative efficiency have been shown in the following tables.

Table 3.1  $\alpha = .20, \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2 = 4$

| $n_1$ | $n_2$ | $k$    |        |        |        |        |        |
|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|       |       | .1     | .2     | .3     | .5     | .8     | 1.0    |
| 5     | 10    | 275.09 | 254.57 | 226.45 | 167.28 | 129.85 | 75.19  |
| 8     | 12    | 221.86 | 215.51 | 205.66 | 184.80 | 136.91 | 112.33 |
| 15    | 10    | 230.20 | 219.62 | 203.97 | 170.52 | 109.97 | 84.00  |
| 20    | 15    | 196.09 | 190.73 | 182.51 | 160.31 | 123.61 | 102.05 |
| 31    | 40    | 188.38 | 185.97 | 182.10 | 170.82 | 148.41 | 132.36 |

The above table shows that the relative efficiency is a decreasing function of  $k$ . The proposed estimator is better than the usual estimator  $s^2$  if  $0 \leq k \leq .8$ .

Table 3.2  $\alpha = .05, \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2 = 4$

| $n_1$ | $n_2$ | $k$    |        |        |        |        |       |
|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
|       |       | .1     | .2     | .3     | .5     | .8     | 1.0   |
| 5     | 10    | 687.37 | 472.00 | 310.17 | 147.86 | 64.91  | 36.91 |
| 8     | 12    | 585.59 | 542.18 | 357.56 | 171.09 | 85.64  | 49.67 |
| 15    | 10    | 509.45 | 432.08 | 344.97 | 209.60 | 107.15 | 73.85 |
| 20    | 15    | 924.40 | 404.21 | 325.29 | 200.19 | 103.30 | 71.41 |
| 31    | 40    | 952.36 | 614.30 | 412.63 | 170.07 | 72.84  | 47.68 |

The proposed estimator is better than the usual estimator  $s^2$  if  $0 \leq k \leq .6$ .

Table 3.3  $\alpha=.01, \sigma^2=\sigma_0^2=4$ 

| $n_1$ | $n_2$ | $k$     |         |        |        |       |       |
|-------|-------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
|       |       | .1      | .2      | .3     | .5     | .8    | 1.0   |
| 5     | 10    | 1495.44 | 1341.35 | 319.38 | 123.65 | 49.99 | 31.83 |
| 8     | 12    | 1907.51 | 1109.40 | 453.92 | 152.13 | 58.03 | 36.96 |
| 15    | 10    | 1424.05 | 869.56  | 527.61 | 233.52 | 98.99 | 64.64 |
| 20    | 15    | 1605.46 | 903.73  | 523.03 | 222.76 | 92.74 | 60.34 |
| 31    | 40    | 356.49  | 291.15  | 222.98 | 127.49 | 62.37 | 42.39 |

The proposed estimator is better than the usual estimator  $s^2$  if  $0 \leq k \leq .5$ .

Hence from the above tables we can say that the relative efficiency is a decreasing function of  $\alpha$  and  $k$  and the largest gain is obtained for  $k=0$ .

Table 3.4  $\alpha=.05, \sigma_0^2=4, \sigma^2=5$ 

| $n_1$ | $n_2$ | $k$    |        |        |        |       |       |
|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
|       |       | .1     | .2     | .3     | .5     | .8    | 1.0   |
| 5     | 10    | 231.55 | 188.80 | 167.28 | 133.14 | 87.68 | 80.38 |
| 8     | 12    | 159.38 | 155.12 | 146.96 | 123.92 | 88.19 | 69.29 |
| 15    | 10    | 237.11 | 169.03 | 154.33 | 147.16 | 82.38 | 63.56 |
| 20    | 15    | 104.90 | 105.44 | 106.08 | 103.97 | 95.96 | 87.39 |
| 31    | 40    | 106.78 | 106.56 | 104.45 | 95.99  | 77.98 | 65.82 |

Table 3.5  $\alpha=.05, \sigma_0^2=4, \sigma^2=5$ 

| $n_1$ | $n_2$ | $k$    |        |        |        |       |       |
|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
|       |       | .1     | .2     | .3     | .5     | .8    | 1.0   |
| 5     | 10    | 146.72 | 153.35 | 143.99 | 104.84 | 54.37 | 36.22 |
| 8     | 12    | 110.38 | 121.48 | 125.57 | 109.29 | 66.56 | 45.93 |
| 15    | 10    | 81.01  | 90.31  | 98.60  | 107.59 | 94.65 | 76.28 |
| 20    | 15    | 61.69  | 70.49  | 79.40  | 93.49  | 90.31 | 74.25 |
| 31    | 40    | 34.64  | 39.97  | 45.52  | 67.37  | 53.33 | 43.38 |

The above tables show that we can use the proposed estimator with  $0 \leq k \leq .5$  even when  $\sigma_0^2$  is close to  $\sigma^2$ .

Table 3.6  $\alpha=.01, \sigma_0^2=4, \sigma^2=16$ 

| $n_1$ | $n_2$ | $k$   |       |       |       |        |        |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
|       |       | .1    | .2    | .3    | .5    | .8     | 1.0    |
| 5     | 10    | 41.80 | 43.78 | 45.88 | 50.07 | 50.19  | 57.79  |
| 8     | 12    | 43.45 | 45.63 | 47.94 | 56.52 | 61.34  | 67.47  |
| 15    | 10    | 69.07 | 70.68 | 72.30 | 75.55 | 80.37  | 83.47  |
| 20    | 15    | 79.20 | 80.49 | 81.51 | 83.90 | 87.65  | 90.27  |
| 31    | 40    | 93.67 | 95.34 | 97.24 | 98.63 | 101.25 | 106.34 |



The above table shows that the proposed estimator  $\hat{\sigma}_k^2$  is worse than  $s^2$  if there is vast difference in  $\sigma_0^2$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

### Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Dr. J. Singh for his advices and to the referee for his useful comments.

BANARASU HINDU UNIVERSITY

### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] Arnold, J. C. and Bayyatti, Al. H. A. (1970). On double stage estimation of the mean using prior knowledge, *Biometrics*, **26**, 787-800.
- [ 2 ] Katti, S. K. (1962). Use of some a priori knowledge in the estimation of means from double samples, *Biometrics*, **18**, 139-147.
- [ 3 ] Pearson, E. S. and Hartley, H. A. (1939). *The Biomerika Tables*, Cambridge University Press, London.
- [ 4 ] Pandey, B. N. and Singh, J. (1977). Estimation of variance of normal population using prior information, *J. Indian Statist. Ass.*, **15**, 141-150.
- [ 5 ] Thompson, J. R. (1968). Some shrinkage techniques for estimating the mean, *J. Amer. Statist. Ass.*, **63**, 113-123.