

MOMENTS OF THE TIME TO GENERATE RANDOM
 VARIABLES BY REJECTION

J. ARTHUR GREENWOOD

(Received Sept. 27, 1973; revised Mar. 5, 1974)

The rejection technique, as presented by Butcher [1], generates a random variable η with the density function

$$(1) \quad f(y) = ad(y)g(y),$$

where $a > 0$, $0 \leq g(y) \leq 1$, and the algorithm T yields a random variable with density function $d(y)$, by the following steps: Operate the algorithm T , yielding the number Y , and compute $g(Y)$. Operate a uniform random number generator, yielding the number X , $0 \leq X \leq 1$. If $X \leq g(Y)$, accept Y ; otherwise reject Y and start again. If the X and Y obtained in successive attempts are independent, then the probability that the n th attempt is successful,

$$(2) \quad \Pr [N=n] = p(1-p)^{n-1}, \quad n > 0,$$

where

$$p = \int d(y)g(y)dy = 1/a.$$

The geometric distribution (2) has factorial moment generating function

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p(1-p)^{n-1}(1+u)^n &= p(1+u) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} [(1-p)(1+u)]^m \\ &= (1+u)/(1+u-au) \\ &= 1 + a \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (a-1)^{j-1} u^j, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$E \binom{N}{j} = a(a-1)^{j-1};$$

in particular

$$E(N) = a, \quad \text{Var}(N) = 2E \binom{N}{2} + E(N) - [E(N)]^2 = a(a-1).$$

If the algorithm T requires time t_1 , computation of g requires t_2 , and generating X requires t_3 , then the mean and variance of time to generate η are $a(t_1+t_2+t_3)$ and $a(a-1)(t_1+t_2+t_3)^2$.

Sibuya [2] proposes to accelerate the process by generating X first, and using the same X in the inequality $X \leq g(Y)$ until some Y is accepted. The moments of time to generate under this proposal seem not to have been published. Conditional on X , the distribution of N is again geometric:

$$(3) \quad \Pr [N=n | X=x] = \pi_x(1-\pi_x)^{n-1}, \quad n > 0,$$

where

$$\pi_x = \int H[d(y)-x]g(y)dy = 1/\alpha_x,$$

and

$$H(z) = 1, \quad z \geq 0; \quad H(z) = 0, \quad z < 0.$$

Integration over the uniform distribution of X yields the unconditional distribution of N ,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Pr [N=n] &= \int_0^1 \pi_x(1-\pi_x)^{n-1}dx, \\ E\left(\frac{N}{j}\right) &= \int \alpha_x(\alpha_x-1)^{j-1}dx, \\ E(N) &= \int_0^1 \alpha_x dx, \quad \text{Var}(N) = \int_0^1 \alpha_x(2\alpha_x-1)dx - [E(N)]^2. \end{aligned}$$

The behaviour of (4) depends on the asymptotic form of α_x for x near 1: if, as with many useful rejection schemes,

$$d(y_0) = 1, \quad d(y_0 + \Delta y) = 1 - c(\Delta y)^2 + O(\Delta y)^3,$$

then, for x close to 1, π_x is approximately proportional to $2c^{-1/2}(1-x)^{1/2}$, and $\text{Var}(N)$ fails to exist. The two examples below were chosen for easy explicit evaluation of π_x and do not necessarily represent desirable rejection techniques.

First example. To generate a random variable η with the density function

$$(5) \quad f(y) = 6y(1-y), \quad 0 < y < 1.$$

Take

$$g(y) = 1, \quad d(y) = 4y(1-y), \quad a = 3/2.$$

Then

$$(6) \quad p=2/3, \quad E(N)=3/2, \quad \text{Var}(N)=3/4.$$

For the accelerated technique, conditional on X ,

$$\pi_x=(1-x)^{1/2}, \quad \alpha_x=(1-x)^{-1/2},$$

and so

$$\Pr[N=n]=4/[n(n+1)(n+2)], \quad E(N)=2, \quad \text{Var}(N)=\infty.$$

The expected time to generate is $1.5(t_1+t_2+t_3)$ under the standard technique, and $2(t_1+t_2)+t_3$ under the accelerated technique. Here $t_1=t_3$, so that the expected times are respectively $3t_1+1.5t_2$ and $3t_1+2t_2$; the accelerated technique is not advantageous.

Second example. To generate a random variable η with the density function (5). Take

$$g(y)=2(1-2|y-1/2|), \quad d(y)=(1+2|y-1/2|)/2, \quad a=3/2;$$

so that the distribution of N under the standard technique is given by (6). For the accelerated technique, conditional on X ,

$$\pi_x=1, \quad x \leq 1/2, \quad \pi_x=2-2x, \quad x \geq 1/2,$$

$$\alpha_x=1, \quad x \leq 1/2, \quad \alpha_x=(1-x)^{-1/2}, \quad x \geq 1/2;$$

$$\Pr[N=1]=3/4, \quad \Pr[N=n]=1/[2n(n+1)], \quad n > 1, \quad E(N)=\infty.$$

In practical computing, the effect of infinite variance (a fortiori of infinite mean) is that runs of satisfactory generation are interrupted by runs of very long time to generate; thus, it can happen that an established and apparently error-free computer routine will *intermittently* violate the time limit assigned to a job (whenever a value of X close to 1 happens to be generated).

INSTITUTE OF MARINE AND ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES, CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

REFERENCES

- [1] Butcher, J. C. (1961). Random sampling from the normal distribution, *Computer J.*, **3**, 251-253.
- [2] Sibuya, M. (1962). Further consideration on normal random variable generator, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **14**, 159-165.