

ON SEQUENTIAL ESTIMATION OF THE MEAN VECTOR OF A MULTINORMAL POPULATION

V. K. ROHATGI* AND R. T. O'NEILL

(Received Dec. 1, 1970; revised July 16, 1971)

1. Introduction

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a sample from a k -variate normal population $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ where $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)'$ is the mean vector,

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \sigma_k^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_i^2 < \infty, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, k$$

is the variance covariance matrix and both μ and Σ are unknown. In a recent paper [1] Khan studied the limiting behavior of a stopping rule for the sequential estimation of μ when the elements of Σ become infinite. In this note we show that the regret is bounded in the limit. The results obtained here parallel those of Starr and Woodroffe [4] for the univariate case.

Let

$$\bar{X}_{in} = n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij}, \quad S_{in}^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{in})^2,$$

where $n \geq 2$, $i=1, 2, \dots, k$. Let $\bar{X}_n = (\bar{X}_{1n}, \dots, \bar{X}_{kn})'$. Let the loss incurred in estimating μ by \bar{X}_n be given by

$$(1) \quad L(n) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i |\bar{X}_{in} - \mu_i|^s + n$$

where $s > 0$ is a given real number and $\lambda_i > 0$, $i=1, 2, \dots, k$. Following [4], we see that

$$(2) \quad \varphi(n) = \mathcal{E}L(n) = n^{-s/2} C(s) \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \sigma_i^2 + n,$$

$$C(s) = 2^{(s+1)/2} (\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1} \Gamma((s+1)/2),$$

* This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Grant GP-9396.

which is minimized for $n=n_0$ given by

$$(3) \quad n_0 = \left[\frac{s}{2} C(s) \sum_1^k \lambda_i \sigma_i^s \right]^{2/(s+2)}.$$

The minimum risk, if we use n_0 observations, is

$$(4) \quad \varphi(n_0) = \left[\frac{2}{s} + 1 \right] n_0.$$

Since $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ is not known we determine a sample of size N by means of the following sequential procedure.

Let

$$(5) \quad N = \text{smallest integer } n \geq m \text{ for which } n \geq \left(\beta \sum_1^k \lambda_i S_{in}^s \right)^{2/(2+s)},$$

where $\beta = (s/2)C(s)$ and $m \geq k$ is the starting sample size.

2. Some preliminary results

In the following we write $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ and $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ means $\sigma_i \rightarrow \infty$, $i=1, 2, \dots, k$. Let us write

$$(6) \quad \sigma_* = \min(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k), \quad \sigma^* = \max(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k),$$

$$(7) \quad \lambda_* = \min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k), \quad \lambda^* = \max(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$$

and assume that

$$(8) \quad \sigma^*/\sigma_* \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that

$$(9) \quad n_* = [\beta k \sigma_*^s \lambda_*^s]^{2/(2+s)} \leq n_0 \leq [\beta k \sigma^{*s} \lambda^{*s}]^{2/(2+s)} = n^*,$$

so that, in view of (8)

$$(10) \quad n^*/n_* \rightarrow (\lambda^*/\lambda_*)^{2/(2+s)} \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the following let c denote a positive generic constant.

LEMMA 1. $P\{N < \infty\} = 1$

THEOREM 1. (i) $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} n_0^{-1} N = 1$ a.s. (ii) $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} n_0^{-1} \mathcal{E}N = 1$.

We remark that both Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 hold if we replace the loss function (1) by

$$(11) \quad L^*(n) = \sum_1^k \lambda_i |\bar{X}_{in} - \mu_i|^s + \log n.$$

Lemmas 2 and 3 below are of independent interest. The method of proof adopted here parallels closely the work of Simons [3].

LEMMA 2. $P\{N=m\}=O(\sigma_*^{-k(m-1)})$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that (8) holds.

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} P\{N=m\} &= P\left\{\left(\beta \sum_1^k \lambda_i S_{im}^s\right)^{2/(2+s)} \leq m\right\} \\ &\leq P\left\{\sum_1^k \frac{S_{im}^s}{\sigma_i^s} \leq m^{(s+2)/2} (\beta \lambda_* \sigma_*^s)^{-1}\right\} \\ &\leq P\left\{\sum_1^k \frac{S_{im}^2}{\sigma_i^2} \leq p^{2/s} m^{(s+2)/s} (\beta \lambda_* \sigma_*^s)^{-2/s}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the elementary inequality (see [2], p. 264)

$$\left(\sum_1^k a_i^2\right)^{s/2} \leq p \sum_1^k |a_i|^s,$$

$p=k^{s/2-1}$ if $s \geq 2$, and $=1$ if $s \leq 2$. Thus

$$(12) \quad P\{N=m\} \leq P\{\chi_{k(m-1)}^2 \leq p^{2/s} (m-1) m^{(s+2)/s} (\beta \lambda_* \sigma_*^s)^{-2/s}\} = O(\sigma_*^{-k(m-1)}).$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad P\{N=m\} &\geq P\left\{\bigcap_1^k [\lambda_i S_{im}^s \leq (\beta k)^{-1} m^{(2+s)/2}]\right\} \\ &\leq \prod_1^k P\left\{\frac{S_{im}^s}{\sigma_i^s} \leq (\beta k \lambda_* \sigma_*^s)^{-1} m^{(2+s)/2}\right\} \\ &= [P\{\chi_{m-1}^2 \leq (\beta k \lambda_* \sigma_*^s)^{-2/s} m^{(2+s)/s} (m-1)\}]^k \\ &= O(\sigma_*^{-k(m-1)}). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. For fixed θ , $0 < \theta < 1$

$$P\{N \leq \theta n_0\} = O(\sigma_*^{-k(m-1)}) \quad \text{as } \sigma \rightarrow \infty$$

and (8) holds.

The methods used in [3] can be similarly modified to yield a proof of Lemma 3. We omit the details.

3. The main result

In this section we return to the quadratic loss function used by Khan [1]

$$(14) \quad L(n) = \sum_1^k \lambda_i |\bar{X}_{in} - \mu_i|^2 + n,$$

so that

$$(15) \quad n_0 = (\sum \lambda_i \sigma_i^2)^{1/2},$$

$$(16) \quad v(\sigma) = \varphi(n_0) = 2n_0,$$

and

$$(17) \quad N = \text{smallest integer } n \geq m \text{ for which } n \geq \left(\sum_1^k \lambda_i S_{in}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then

$$(18) \quad \bar{v}(\sigma) = \mathcal{E}L(N) = n_0^2 \mathcal{E}(N^{-1}) + \mathcal{E}(N).$$

Let us write $w(\sigma) = \bar{v}(\sigma) - v(\sigma)$ for the regret. Clearly

$$(19) \quad w(\sigma) = n_0^2 \mathcal{E}(N^{-1} - n_0^{-1}) + \mathcal{E}(N - n_0).$$

THEOREM 2. *As $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ such that (8) holds*

$$(20) \quad w(\sigma) = O(1)$$

if and only if $m \geq 2/k + 1$.

PROOF. The proof follows closely the development in [4]. We only indicate here the modifications necessary and omit the details.

For the necessity part of the proof we follow the analysis on page 287 of [4] and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w(\sigma) &\geq c \sum_1^k \lambda_i \sigma_i^2 n_0^{-2} (m - n_0)^2 \mathbf{P}\{N = m\} \\ &\geq ck \lambda_* \sigma_*^2 \left(\frac{m}{n_0} - 1 \right)^2 O(\sigma_*^{-k(m-1)}) = O(\sigma_*^{2-k(m-1)}) \end{aligned}$$

and the necessity of $m \geq 2/k + 1$ for $w(\sigma) = O(1)$ follows.

For the sufficiency part we obtain, as in [4],

$$w(\sigma) \leq O(\sigma^*)^2 \left\{ O(\sigma_*^{-k(m-1)}) + O(\sigma_*^{-2}) \mathcal{E} \left[\frac{(N - n_0)^2}{n_0} \right] \right\}.$$

It only remains to show that

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{E} \left[\frac{(N - n_0)^2}{n_0} \right] = O(1)$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ and (8) holds. On integration by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} (22) \quad \mathcal{E} \left[\frac{(N - n_0)^2}{n_0} \right] &\leq 1 + 2 \int_1^{\sqrt{n_0}} \lambda \mathbf{P}\{N - n_0 < -\lambda n_0^{1/2}\} d\lambda \\ &\quad + 2 \int_1^\infty \lambda \mathbf{P}\{N - n_0 > \lambda n_0^{1/2}\} d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which is inequality (11) in [4]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_1^{\sqrt{n_0}} \lambda P \{N - n_0 < -\lambda \sqrt{n_0}\} d\lambda \\ & \leq n_0 P \{N \leq n_0/2\} + 2 \int_1^{\sqrt{n_0/2}} \lambda P \{N - n_0 < -\lambda \sqrt{n_0}\} d\lambda \\ & = O(1) + 2 \int_1^{\sqrt{n_0/2}} \lambda P \left\{ \sum_1^k \lambda_i S_{il}^2 < (n_0 - \lambda n_0^{1/2}); l \geq n_0/2 \right\} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_1^{\sqrt{n_0/2}} \lambda P \left\{ \sum_1^k \lambda_i S_{il}^2 < (n_0 - \lambda n_0^{1/2})^2; l \geq n_0/2 \right\} d\lambda \\ & = 2 \int_1^{\sqrt{n_0/2}} \lambda P \left\{ \sum_1^k \lambda_i (S_{il}^2 - \sigma_i^2) < [(n_0 - \lambda n_0^{1/2})^2 - n_0^2]; l \geq n_0/2 \right\} d\lambda \\ & \leq 2 \int_1^\infty \lambda P \left\{ \sum_1^k \lambda_i (S_{il}^2 - \sigma_i^2) < -\frac{3}{4} \lambda n_0^{3/2}; l \geq n_0/2 \right\} d\lambda \\ & \leq 2 \int_1^\infty \lambda \sum_1^k P \left\{ S_{il}^2 - \sigma_i^2 < -\frac{3}{4 \lambda_i k} \lambda n_0^{3/2}; l \geq n_0/2 \right\} d\lambda \\ & \leq 2 \sum_1^k \int_1^\infty \lambda \left(k \frac{4 \lambda_i}{3 \lambda} \right)^4 n_0^{-6} \mathcal{E} |S_{in_2}^2 - \sigma_i^2|^4 d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where n_2 is the largest integer $\leq n_0/2$ (see, for example, [4]). But

$$n_0^{-6} \mathcal{E} |S_{in_2}^2 - \sigma_i^2|^4 \leq c n_0^{-6} n_2^{-2} \sigma_i^8 \leq c n_0^{-8} \sigma_i^{*8} \leq c$$

is bounded. It follows that the first integral in (22) is bounded if $m \geq 2/k + 1$. A similar argument applies to the second integral in (22), proving (21).

BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY
CHALLENGER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

- [1] Khan, R. A. (1968). Sequential estimation of the mean vector of a multivariate normal distribution, *Sankhyā*, **30**, Ser. A, 331-334.
- [2] Loève, M. (1963). *Probability Theory*, D. Van Nostrand, Princeton.
- [3] Simons, G. (1968). On the cost of not knowing the variance when making a fixed width confidence interval for the mean, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **39**, 1946-1952.
- [4] Starr, N. and Woodrofe, M. B. (1969). Remarks on sequential point estimation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, **63**, 285-288.