

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE LIKELIHOOD RATIO CRITERION FOR TESTING LINEAR HYPOTHESES ON REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS

A. M. MATHAI

(Received May 12, 1970; revised March 16, 1971)

1. Summary

This article gives the exact distribution of Wilks' likelihood ratio criterion for testing hypotheses about regression coefficients in the multivariate normal case. The exact density and the distribution function, in the most general case, are expressed in simple algebraic functions. The exact distribution is obtained with the help of inverse Mellin transform, Calculus of residues and the properties of Psi and Zeta functions. The exact expressions of the density and the distribution function and a detailed calculation of the various terms are given in Mathai and Rathie [8]. They obtained the results through the technique of partial fractions. This article gives a simpler alternate method and further, all the different cases are combined with the help of a unified notation. Tables of percentage points are also given at the end of this article.

2. Introduction

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N be a set of N observations, x_a being drawn from a multivariate normal population $N(\beta Z_a, \Sigma)$. The vectors Z_a , with t components, are known and the $p \times p$ matrix Σ and the $p \times t$ matrix β are unknown. Let $N \geq p+t$ and the rank of $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N)$ be t . Let,

$$(2.1) \quad \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$$

where β_1 has t_1 columns and β_2 has t_2 columns. Consider the hypothesis,

$$(2.2) \quad H: \beta_1 = \beta_1^*$$

where β_1^* is a given matrix. Let $U = \lambda^{2/N}$ where λ is the likelihood ratio criterion for testing H . Under the hypothesis H , the moments of U are available in Anderson ([1], p. 192-194). That is

$$(2.3) \quad E(U^n) = \prod_{j=1}^p \{ \Gamma[(n+1-j)/2+h] \Gamma[(n+t_1+1-j)/2]/ \\ (\Gamma[(n+1-j)/2] \Gamma[(n+t_1+1-j)/2+h]) \}$$

where $n=N-t$ and E denotes ‘mathematical expectation’. If U is denoted as $U_{p,t_1,n}$ then the distribution of $U_{p,t_1,N-t}$ is the same as that of $U_{t_1,p,N-p-t_1}$, when the hypothesis is true, (Anderson [1], p. 193). Hence without loss of generality we need consider only the cases where $q \geq p$ while considering the distribution of $U_{p,q,n}$.

When $p=1$, $n(1-U_{1,q,n})/\{qU_{1,q,n}\}$ has an F -distribution with q and n degrees of freedom. $(n+1-p)(1-U_{p,1,n})/(pU_{p,1,n})$ has an F -distribution with p and $n+1-p$ degrees of freedom. Wilks [16] obtained the distributions for the cases $p=1, 2, 3$, $q=3$; $p=4$, $q=4$. Consul [5] gave the distributions for the cases $p=1, 2, 3, 4$ and $q=3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$ in infinite series and in algebraic expressions. Pillai and Gupta [11] gave the exact distributions in multiple sums for $p=3, 4, 5, 6$. Schatzoff [15] suggested a method of obtaining the exact distribution in the most general case. Mathai and Rathie [8] have given the exact distribution, in simple algebraic expressions for all the cases. Pillai and Gupta [11] also computed the percentage points for some particular cases which extended some results of Schatzoff [15] and supplemented some tables by Pillai [10]. Approximations are considered by Bartlett [2], Rao [12] and Box [3].

Wilks [16] used direct integration to obtain the particular distributions. Consul [5] used the technique of inverse Mellin transform and the properties of hypergeometric functions to obtain the particular distributions. Schatzoff [14], [15] used the representation of $(-\log U)$ as a sum of independently distributed random variables and then took successive convolutions. He has suggested a recursive technique to compute the percentage points. But practical difficulties of evaluating successive convolutions limit the uses of his method. Pillai and Gupta [11] considered Schatzoff’s representation and obtained the distribution in multiple sums for some particular cases. The difficulty of computing multiple sums limit the uses of their method. Mathai and Rathie [8] used the technique of partial fractions and the inverse Mellin transform and obtained the distributions in the most general cases, expressed them in terms of simple algebraic functions and evaluated all the terms explicitly. In this article a simpler alternate method is suggested. With the help of the Calculus of residues, the exact distributions are obtained for all the different cases and are written in a very simple form with the help of some unified notations. The results agree with the results of Mathai and Rathie [8] and some particular cases are verified with the results of Consul [5]. The percentage points are also computed with the help of these representations.

3. The exact distribution

From (2.3) it follows that,

$$(3.1) \quad E(U^{s-1}) = C \prod_{j=1}^p \{ \Gamma[(n+1-j)/2+s-1] / \Gamma[(n+1+q-j)/2+s-1] \}$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad C = \prod_{j=1}^p \{ \Gamma[(n+1+q-j)/2] / \Gamma[(n+1-j)/2] \}.$$

Since $0 < u < 1$, the moment sequence in (3.1) uniquely determines the distribution, according to Rao ([13], p. 86 (a)). Hence the density of $U_{p,q,n}$, denoted by $f(u)$, is available as the inverse Mellin transform of (3.1). That is,

$$(3.3) \quad f(u) = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \{E(U^{s-1})\} u^{-s} ds, \quad 0 < u < 1, \quad i = (-1)^{1/2}.$$

But it is easy to see that $f(u)$ is a Meijer's G -function. For a definition of Meijer's G -function, see Erdélyi ([6], p. 207) and Braaksma [4]. According to Braaksma ([4], p. 278, (6.1)), $f(u)$ is available as the sum of the residues at the poles of the integrand in (3.3) and it does not depend upon the choice of the contour. Hence $f(u)$ will be evaluated with the help of the residue theorem.

The poles of (3.1) can be determined after cancelling all the common factors in (3.1) and then rearranging the factors. For convenience, the four different cases, namely, Case I: p -even, q -even; Case II: p -odd, q -even; Case III: p -even, q -odd; Case IV: p -odd, q -odd, will be considered separately, for $q \geq p$. The simplification is achieved by the following procedure. For example, when q is even,

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Gamma(s+n/2-1) / \Gamma(s+n/2-1+q/2) \\ &= 1 / \{(s+n/2-1+q/2-1)(s+n/2-1+q/2-2) \\ & \quad \cdots (s+n/2-1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with the help of a unified notation, $E(U^{s-1})$ can be written in the following form, after cancelling all the common factors.

$$(3.5) \quad E(U^{s-1}) = C \left\{ \prod_{j \in a} (\alpha - j)^{-a_j} \prod_{j \in b} (\alpha - 1/2 - j)^{-b_j} \right\}$$

for Cases I, II and III and for Case IV,

$$(3.6) \quad E(U^{s-1}) = C \left\{ [\Gamma(\alpha - 1/2) / \Gamma(\alpha)] \prod_{j \in a} (\alpha - j)^{-a_j} \prod_{j \in b} (\alpha - 1/2 - j)^{-b_j} \right\}$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad \alpha = s + n/2 + q/2 - 1$$

and a, b, a_j, b_j are all different for the different cases. These are given below. In Case IV, the Gammas are cancelled after leaving aside the first Gamma in the numerator and the last Gamma in the denominator of (3.1) and then these Gammas are simplified to obtain (3.6).

Case I: p -even, q -even, ($q \geqq p$).

$$(3.8) \quad a_j = b_j = \begin{cases} j, & j=1, 2, \dots, p/2-1, \\ p/2, & j=p/2, p/2+1, \dots, q/2, \\ p/2-i, & j=q/2+i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, p/2-1. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.9) \quad a = \{1, 2, \dots, (p+q)/2-1\} = b.$$

Case II: p -odd, q -even, ($q \geqq p$).

$$(3.10) \quad a_j = \begin{cases} j, & j=1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2, \\ (p+1)/2, & j=(p+1)/2, (p+1)/2+1, \dots, q/2, \\ (p+1)/2-i, & j=q/2+i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2, \end{cases}$$

$$b_j = \begin{cases} j, & j=1, 2, \dots, (p-3)/2, \\ (p-1)/2, & j=(p-1)/2, (p-1)/2+1, \dots, q/2, \\ (p-1)/2-i, & j=q/2+i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, (p-3)/2. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.11) \quad a = \{1, 2, \dots, (p+q-1)/2\}, \quad b = \{1, 2, \dots, (p+q-3)/2\}.$$

Case III: p -even, q -odd, ($q \geqq p$).

$$(3.12) \quad a_j = \begin{cases} j, & j=1, 2, \dots, p/2-1, \\ p/2, & j=p/2, p/2+1, \dots, (q+1)/2, \\ p/2-i, & j=(q+1)/2+i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, p/2-1, \end{cases}$$

$$b_j = \begin{cases} j, & j=1, 2, \dots, p/2-1, \\ p/2, & j=p/2, p/2+1, \dots, (q-1)/2, \\ p/2-i, & j=(q-1)/2+i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, p/2-1. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.13) \quad a = \{1, 2, \dots, (p+q-1)/2\}, \quad b = \{1, 2, \dots, (p+q-3)/2\}.$$

Case IV: p -odd, q -odd, ($q \geqq p$).

$$(3.14) \quad a_j = \begin{cases} j-1, & j=2, 3, \dots, (p-1)/2, \\ (p-1)/2, & j=(p-1)/2+1, \dots, (q+1)/2, \\ (p-1)/2-i, & j=(q+1)/2+i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, (p-3)/2, \end{cases}$$

$$b_j = \begin{cases} j+1, & j=1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2, \\ (p-1)/2+1, & j=(p-1)/2+1, \dots, (q-1)/2, \\ (p-1)/2, & j=(q+1)/2, \\ (p-1)/2-i, & j=(q+1)/2+i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, (p-3)/2. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.15) \quad a = \{2, 3, \dots, (p+q-2)/2\}, \quad b = \{1, 2, \dots, (p+q-2)/2\}.$$

For the Cases I, II and III the poles are available by equating to zero the various factors of

$$(3.16) \quad \prod_{j \in a} (\alpha - j)^{a_j} \prod_{j \in b} (\alpha - 1/2 - j)^{b_j}$$

where the exponents denote the orders of the poles and for Case IV the poles are available from the factors of

$$(3.17) \quad \prod_{v=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - 1/2 + v) \prod_{j \in a} (\alpha - j)^{a_j} \prod_{j \in b} (\alpha - 1/2 - j)^{b_j},$$

where the sets a , b and the exponents a_j and b_j are available from (3.8) to (3.15).

Now the density $f(u)$ will be evaluated with the help of the following lemmas which are easy to prove.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $\phi(s)$ is a Gamma product with a pole of order k at the point $s=d$ then the residue R of $\phi(s)x^{-s}$ at $s=d$, is given by*

$$(3.18) \quad R = \frac{x^{-d}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{v} (-\log x)^{k-1-v} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{v_1=0}^{v-1} \binom{v-1}{v_1} G_0^{(v-1-v_1)} \sum_{v_2=0}^{v_1-1} \binom{v_1-1}{v_2} G_0^{(v_1-1-v_2)} \dots \right\} H_0,$$

where

$$(3.19) \quad H_0 = (s-d)^k \phi(s) \quad \text{at } s=d,$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad G_0^{(r)} = \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial s^{r+1}} \log [(s-d)^k \phi(s)], \quad \text{at } s=d, \text{ for } r \geq 0, \quad G_0^{(0)} = G_0.$$

This is a known result and it can be easily seen from the following

observations.

$$(3.21) \quad R = \lim_{s \rightarrow d} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial s^{k-1}} [(s-d)^k \phi(s) x^{-s}] , \quad \text{at } s=d ,$$

$$(3.22) \quad = \frac{x^{-s}}{(k-1)!} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + (-\log x) \right\}^{k-1} [(s-d)^k \phi(s)] , \quad \text{at } s=d ,$$

$$(3.23) \quad = \frac{x^{-s}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{v} (-\log x)^{k-1-v} \frac{\partial^v}{\partial s^v} [(s-d)^k \phi(s)] , \quad \text{at } s=d .$$

But,

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{\partial^v}{\partial s^v} [(s-d)^k \phi(s)] = \frac{\partial^{v-1}}{\partial s^{v-1}} \left[\{(s-d)^k \phi(s)\} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \log (s-d)^k \phi(s) \right\} \right] .$$

LEMMA 3.2.

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial s^{r+1}} \left\{ \log \prod_{j=1}^k \Gamma(h_j + s) \right\} = \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(h_j + s) , \quad \text{for } r=0 ,$$

$$(3.26) \quad = (-1)^{r+1} r! \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \zeta(r+1, h_j + s) \right\} , \quad \text{for } r \geq 1 ,$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ and $\zeta(\cdot, \cdot)$ are the well known Psi and Riemann Zeta functions respectively. The definitions are given below for convenience.

$$(3.27) \quad \phi(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \log \Gamma(z) = -\gamma + (z-1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(n+1)(z+n)]^{-1} ,$$

where γ is the Euler's constant; $\gamma = 0.577\ldots$

$$(3.28) \quad \zeta(s, v) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+v)^{-s} , \quad v \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots, R(s) > 1 ,$$

where $R(\cdot)$ denotes the real part of (\cdot) . Result (3.26) follows from the definition in (3.27) and (3.28). Also these results are mentioned in Mathai [7].

By using Lemma 3.1, the density for the Cases I, II and III can be written as follows.

$$(3.29) \quad f(u) = C \left\{ \sum_{j \in a} \frac{u^{n/2+q/2-1-j}}{(a_j-1)!} \sum_{v=0}^{a_j-1} \binom{a_j-1}{v} (-\log u)^{a_j-1-v} A_v Y \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j \in b} \frac{u^{n/2+q/2-3/2-j}}{(b_j-1)!} \sum_{v=0}^{b_j-1} \binom{b_j-1}{v} (-\log u)^{b_j-1-v} B_v W \right\} ,$$

$0 < u < 1$, where

$$(3.30) \quad Y = \prod_{\substack{t \in a \\ t \neq j}} (j-t)^{-a_t} \prod_{\substack{t \in b \\ t \neq j}} (j-1/2-t)^{-b_t},$$

$$(3.31) \quad W = \prod_{\substack{t \in a \\ t \neq j}} (j+1/2-t)^{-a_t} \prod_{\substack{t \in b \\ t \neq j}} (j-t)^{-b_t},$$

$$(3.32) \quad A_v = \sum_{v_1=0}^{v-1} \binom{v-1}{v_1} A_0^{(v-1-v_1)} \sum_{v_2=0}^{v_1-1} \binom{v_1-1}{v_2} A_0^{(v_1-1-v_2)} \dots,$$

$$(3.33) \quad B_v = \sum_{v_1=0}^{v-1} \binom{v-1}{v_1} B_0^{(v-1-v_1)} \sum_{v_2=0}^{v_1-1} \binom{v_1-1}{v_2} B_0^{(v_1-1-v_2)} \dots,$$

$$(3.34) \quad A_0^{(r)} = (-1)^{r+1} r! \left\{ \sum_{\substack{t \in a \\ t \neq j}} [a_t / (j-t)^{r+1}] + \sum_{\substack{t \in b \\ t \neq j}} [b_t / (j-1/2-t)^{r+1}] \right\}$$

for $r \geq 0$ and

$$(3.35) \quad B_0^{(r)} = (-1)^{r+1} r! \left\{ \sum_{t \in a} [a_t / (1/2+j-t)^{r+1}] + \sum_{\substack{t \in b \\ t \neq j}} [b_t / (j-t)^{r+1}] \right\},$$

$r \geq 0.$

C is given in (3.2) and the quantities a, b, a_j, b_j , for the different cases, are available from (3.8) to (3.15). The density for Case IV is available from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, as

$$(3.36) \quad f(u) = C \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-1)^v}{v!} \frac{u^{n/2+q/2-3/2+v}}{\Gamma(1/2-v)} \prod_{t \in a} (1/2-v-t)^{-a_t} \right. \right. \\ \cdot \prod_{t \in b} (-v-t)^{-b_t} \left. \right] + \sum_{j \in a} \frac{u^{n/2+q/2-1-j}}{(a_j-1)!} \sum_{v=0}^{a_j-1} \binom{a_j-1}{v} \\ \cdot (-\log u)^{a_j-1-v} A'_v Y' + \sum_{j \in b} \frac{u^{n/2+q/2-3/2-j}}{(b_j-1)!} \\ \cdot \left. \sum_{v=0}^{b_j-1} \binom{b_j-1}{v} (-\log u)^{b_j-1-v} B'_v W' \right\}, \quad 0 < u < 1,$$

where A'_v and B'_v have the same expressions in (3.32) and (3.33) respectively with A_0 and B_0 replaced by A'_0 and B'_0 , and

$$(3.37) \quad Y' = \{\Gamma(j-1/2)/\Gamma(j)\} Y, \quad W' = \{\Gamma(j)/\Gamma(1/2+j)\} W,$$

$$(3.38) \quad A'_0 = \phi(j-1/2) - \phi(j) + A_0,$$

$$(3.39) \quad B'_0 = \phi(j) - \phi(1/2+j) + B_0,$$

$$(3.40) \quad A_0^{(r)} = (-1)^{r+1} r! \{\zeta(r+1, j-1/2) - \zeta(r+1, j)\} + A_0^{(r)}, \quad r \geq 1,$$

$$(3.41) \quad B_0^{(r)} = (-1)^{r+1} r! \{\zeta(r+1, j) - \zeta(r+1, 1/2+j)\} + B_0^{(r)}, \quad r \geq 1.$$

The expressions in (3.29) and (3.36) follow directly from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. The crucial steps in the derivations of (3.29) and (3.36)

are the simplifications of $E(U^{s-1})$ into (3.5) and (3.6) with the various quantities in (3.8) to (3.15).

4. Verification

In order to illustrate the simplicity of the method, a few verifications will be given here. Since the Cases I, II and III are derived in a similar fashion, we will verify a particular case from one of the cases in I, II or III and a particular case from IV. The Particular cases $p=4$, $q=4$ and $p=3$, $q=3$ will be verified here.

Particular case $p=4$, $q=4$:

In this case the sets a , b and the quantities a_j , b_j are as follows.

$$(4.1) \quad a = \{1, 2, 3\} = b$$

$$(4.2) \quad a_j = b_j = \begin{cases} 1, & j=1 \\ 2, & j=2 \\ 1, & j=3. \end{cases}$$

Now (3.29) reduces to the form,

$$(4.3) \quad f(u) = C \{ u^{n/2} (-1) 2^8 / [(3^2)(5)] + u^{n/2-1} [-\log u - (1/1 - 1/1) \\ - (1/(1/2) - 2/(1/2) - 1/(3/2))] 2^4/3 + u^{n/2-2} (-1) 2^8/3 \\ + u^{n/2-1/2} 2^8/3 + u^{n/2-3/2} [-\log u - (1/(3/2) + 2/(1/2) \\ - 1/(1/2)) - (1/1 - 1/1)] 2^4/3 + u^{n/2-5/2} 2^8 / [(3^2)(5)] \},$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad C = \Gamma[(n+4)/2] \Gamma[(n+3)/2] \Gamma[(n+2)/2] \Gamma[(n+1)/2] / \\ \{\Gamma(n/2) \Gamma[(n-1)/2] \Gamma[(n-2)/2] \Gamma[(n-3)/2]\}.$$

Now simplifying the Gammas in C by using the duplication formula for Gamma functions, namely,

$$(4.5) \quad \Gamma(2z) = \pi^{-1/2} 2^{2z-1} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z+1/2),$$

$f(u)$ reduces to the form,

$$(4.6) \quad f(u) = \{ \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+3) / [\Gamma(n-3) \Gamma(n-1) 6! 2!] \\ \cdot \{ u^{(n-5)/2} [-u^{5/2} + 30u^{3/2} (-\log u + 8/3) - 15u^{1/2} + 15u^2 \\ + 30u(-\log u - 8/3) + 1] \},$$

which agrees with the result given by Consul [5].

Particular case p=3, q=3:

In this case, (3.36) reduces to the form,

$$(4.7) \quad f(u) = C \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (-1)^v u^{n/2+v} / [v! \Gamma(1/2-v)(1/2-v-2)(-v-1)^2 \cdot (-v-2)] + \Gamma(3/2) u^{n/2-3/2} / [\Gamma(2)(3/2-1)^2(3/2-2)] + \Gamma(1) u^{n/2-1} [-\log u + \phi(1) - \phi(3/2) - (1/(3/2-2) + 1/(1-2))] / [\Gamma(3/2)(3/2-2)(1-2)] + \Gamma(2) u^{n/2-2} / [\Gamma(5/2)(5/2-2)(2-1)^2] \right\}.$$

Now by using the results,

$$(4.8) \quad \Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \pi/\sin(\pi z),$$

$$(4.9) \quad \phi(z+n) = 1/z + 1/(z+1) + \dots + 1/(z+n-1) + \phi(z),$$

$$(4.10) \quad \phi(1/2) = \phi(1) - 2 \log 2,$$

$$(4.11) \quad \Gamma(1/2) = \pi^{1/2},$$

(4.7) reduces to the form,

$$(4.12) \quad f(u) = C \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (\Gamma(1/2+v) u^{n/2+v} / [v! \pi(v+3/2)(v+1)^2(v+2)]) - \pi^{1/2} 2^2 u^{n/2-3/2} + (2^2/\pi^{1/2}) u^{n/2-1} \cdot [-\log u + 1 + 2 \log 2] + 2^3 u^{n/2-2} / (3\pi^{1/2}) \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that the coefficients of $u^{n/2-2}$, $u^{n/2-3/2}$, $u^{n/2-1} \log u$, agree with the corresponding results in Consul [5]. Due to the difference in the representations it is not easy to verify every term without devoting too much space for the simplification. It may be noticed that Consul's representation is too complicated for the evaluation of the distribution function.

5. The distribution function

The cumulative distribution $F(x)$ is given by

$$(5.1) \quad F(x) = \int_0^x f(u) du, \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

Here (5.1) can be easily evaluated from (3.29) and (3.36) by using the following lemma. Since the result is obvious the expression for $F(x)$ is not explicitly given here. Lemma 5.1 is proved by successive integration by parts.

LEMMA 5.1. *For $\beta > 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, $0 < x < 1$,*

$$(5.2) \quad \int_0^x u^\beta (-\log u)^k du = \frac{x^{\beta+1}}{k+1} \sum_{r=0}^k \left\{ (k+1)k \cdots (k-r+1) \frac{(-\log x)^{k-r}}{(\beta+1)^{r+1}} \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that the method given in this article is simpler compared to any other method available so far, for solving this problem. Further, the representations in (3.29) and (3.36) are in better simplified forms compared to other representations. Also, it is interesting to notice that the representations in (3.29) and (3.36) are in convenient forms for programming. In Section 6 the percentage points are computed by using the cumulative distribution function corresponding to (3.29) and (3.36).

6. Tables of percentage points

In this section a short table of the percentage points is given. The entries are the values of u corresponding to $F(u)=0.95$ and $F(u)=0.99$ and for the various values of p , q and n . A detailed table of $F(u)$ is available from the author on request. These are computed by using the exact distributions given in this article and with the help of an IBM 360-75, O.S. System, digital computer.

The author would like to thank Norbert D'Souza for his help in computing this table and the Department of Computer Science at McGill University for computer facilities. This research is carried out under the National Research Council of Canada grant No. A 3057-282-08.

The author would like to thank the referee for the valuable suggestions which enabled the author to improve the case when p and q are both odd.

<i>n</i>	<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =2		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =3		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =4	
	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99
2	0.60280	0.81000	0.39891	0.61551	0.27787	0.46747
3	0.74761	0.88566	0.56459	0.73811	0.43218	0.60517
4	0.81429	0.91776	0.65731	0.79988	0.53094	0.68380
5	0.85296	0.93570	0.71714	0.83769	0.60013	0.73570
6	0.87825	0.94719	0.75906	0.86340	0.65141	0.77270
7	0.89610	0.95518	0.79012	0.88202	0.69097	0.80054
8	0.90937	0.96107	0.81406	0.89615	0.72245	0.82226
9	0.91963	0.96559	0.83309	0.90721	0.74809	0.83968
10	0.92781	0.96916	0.84857	0.91617	0.76939	0.85397
11	0.93447	0.97206	0.86142	0.92355	0.78736	0.86591
12	0.94001	0.97447	0.87226	0.92973	0.80273	0.87602
13	0.94468	0.97649	0.88152	0.93496	0.81603	0.88473
14	0.94868	0.97821	0.88954	0.93950	0.82764	0.89230
15	0.95213	0.97970	0.89653	0.94343	0.83787	0.89893
16	0.95516	0.98100	0.90269	0.94688	0.84695	0.90479
17	0.95782	0.98215	0.90816	0.94993	0.85508	0.90999
18	0.96018	0.98316	0.91305	0.95265	0.86238	0.91469
19	0.96229	0.98406	0.91744	0.95509	0.86898	0.91888
20	0.96419	0.98487	0.92141	0.95729	0.87498	0.92269
<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =5		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =6		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =7		
2	0.20315	0.36231	0.15448	0.28712	0.12122	0.23239
3	0.33849	0.49798	0.27112	0.41389	0.22153	0.34799
4	0.43392	0.58317	0.35963	0.49946	0.30211	0.43075
5	0.50518	0.64288	0.42910	0.56245	0.36799	0.49403
6	0.56045	0.68730	0.48506	0.61099	0.42274	0.54434
7	0.60460	0.72172	0.53108	0.64962	0.46890	0.58539
8	0.64067	0.74927	0.56956	0.68124	0.50830	0.61952
9	0.67072	0.77182	0.60224	0.70757	0.54230	0.64846
10	0.69612	0.79061	0.63032	0.72985	0.57194	0.67327
11	0.71789	0.80653	0.65470	0.74895	0.59800	0.69478
12	0.73674	0.82019	0.67607	0.76552	0.62109	0.71363
13	0.75323	0.83204	0.69495	0.78003	0.64167	0.73027
14	0.76778	0.84239	0.71176	0.79283	0.66015	0.74507
15	0.78070	0.85157	0.72681	0.80423	0.67682	0.75833
16	0.79227	0.85974	0.74037	0.81440	0.69193	0.77027
17	0.80267	0.86704	0.75265	0.82360	0.70570	0.78106
18	0.81208	0.87363	0.76382	0.83193	0.71829	0.79091
19	0.82063	0.87959	0.77402	0.83950	0.72985	0.79991
20	0.82844	0.88501	0.78338	0.84642	0.74050	0.80816
<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =8		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =9		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =10		
2	0.09755	0.19159	0.08016	0.16042	0.06701	0.13619
3	0.18414	0.29529	0.15536	0.25431	0.13276	0.22073
4	0.25695	0.37423	0.22098	0.32755	0.19194	0.28874
5	0.31851	0.43613	0.27807	0.38710	0.24467	0.34543
6	0.37105	0.48663	0.32789	0.43678	0.29160	0.39367
7	0.41628	0.52869	0.37159	0.47890	0.33344	0.43521
8	0.45559	0.56425	0.41016	0.51511	0.37087	0.47141
9	0.49000	0.59484	0.44439	0.54654	0.40448	0.50318
10	0.52041	0.62138	0.47495	0.57417	0.43480	0.53140
11	0.54743	0.64463	0.50239	0.59861	0.46227	0.55657
12	0.57159	0.66518	0.52715	0.62038	0.48726	0.57917
13	0.59333	0.68347	0.54960	0.63991	0.51008	0.59958
14	0.61298	0.69986	0.57003	0.65752	0.53098	0.61810
15	0.63083	0.71464	0.58871	0.67348	0.55020	0.63498

<i>n</i>	<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =8		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =9		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =10	
	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99
16	0.64712	0.72802	0.60585	0.68803	0.56793	0.65043
17	0.66204	0.74020	0.62163	0.70132	0.58433	0.66462
18	0.67575	0.75130	0.63620	0.71353	0.59954	0.67771
19	0.68840	0.76152	0.64970	0.72478	0.61369	0.68981
20	0.70010	0.77094	0.66224	0.73515	0.62688	0.70104
<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =11		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =12		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =13		
2	0.05683	0.11705	0.04880	0.10157	0.04236	0.08899
3	0.11470	0.19320	0.10007	0.17042	0.08805	0.15139
4	0.16818	0.25621	0.14852	0.22873	0.13209	0.20536
5	0.21683	0.30985	0.19340	0.27929	0.17352	0.25291
6	0.26086	0.35627	0.23464	0.32372	0.21210	0.29526
7	0.30068	0.39683	0.27240	0.36302	0.24783	0.33315
8	0.33674	0.43258	0.30697	0.39804	0.28086	0.36725
9	0.36948	0.46434	0.33865	0.42944	0.31140	0.39808
10	0.39928	0.49271	0.36774	0.45772	0.33966	0.42606
11	0.42649	0.51827	0.39450	0.48339	0.36583	0.45162
12	0.45143	0.54137	0.41919	0.50675	0.39013	0.47503
13	0.47435	0.56237	0.44202	0.52809	0.41272	0.49654
14	0.49548	0.58153	0.46319	0.54768	0.43377	0.51637
15	0.51501	0.59908	0.48284	0.56571	0.45341	0.53470
16	0.53311	0.61523	0.50115	0.58236	0.47178	0.55171
17	0.54993	0.63012	0.51823	0.59779	0.48898	0.56753
18	0.56560	0.64391	0.53420	0.61212	0.50513	0.58227
19	0.58023	0.65671	0.54916	0.62547	0.52032	0.59604
20	0.59392	0.66861	0.56321	0.63793	0.53461	0.60894
<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =14		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =15		<i>p</i> =2, <i>q</i> =16		
2	0.03710	0.07857	0.03277	0.06988	0.02915	0.06255
3	0.07806	0.13534	0.06967	0.12169	0.06256	0.11002
4	0.11822	0.18532	0.10640	0.16803	0.09627	0.15325
5	0.15653	0.23000	0.14189	0.21000	0.12925	0.19348
6	0.19262	0.27027	0.17566	0.24824	0.16105	0.23233
7	0.22638	0.30668	0.20755	0.28313	0.19163	0.27587
8	0.25788	0.33973	0.23755	0.31507		
9	0.28723	0.36985	0.26570	0.34438		
10	0.31457	0.39736	0.29209	0.37137		
11	0.34007	0.42267	0.31685	0.39625		
12	0.36386	0.44597	0.34007	0.41932		
13	0.38611	0.46749	0.36189	0.44072		
14	0.40693	0.48742	0.38240	0.46064		
15	0.42644	0.50592	0.40170	0.47920		
16	0.44477	0.52315	0.41990	0.49655		
17	0.46200	0.53923	0.43706	0.51279		
18	0.47822	0.55428	0.45328	0.52803		
19	0.49352	0.56837	0.46862	0.54235		
20	0.50798	0.58161	0.48315	0.55585		
<i>p</i> =3, <i>q</i> =4		<i>p</i> =3, <i>q</i> =6		<i>p</i> =3, <i>q</i> =8		
3	0.12755	0.25059	0.05440	0.11887	0.02787	0.06463
4	0.24215	0.38221	0.12077	0.20962	0.06807	0.12502
5	0.33336	0.47369	0.18449	0.28528	0.11139	0.18159
6	0.40624	0.54137	0.24252	0.34870	0.15441	0.23327
7	0.46535	0.59361	0.29442	0.40239	0.19561	0.27992
8	0.51406	0.63515	0.34058	0.44820	0.23435	0.32196

<i>n</i>	<i>p</i> =3, <i>q</i> =4		<i>p</i> =3, <i>q</i> =6		<i>p</i> =3, <i>q</i> =8	
	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99
9	0.55481	0.66894	0.38163	0.48768	0.27043	0.35981
10	0.58934	0.69705	0.41823	0.52195	0.30387	0.39396
11	0.61898	0.72075	0.45097	0.55206	0.33482	0.42486
12	0.64466	0.74100	0.48039	0.57866	0.36344	0.45288
13	0.66713	0.75852	0.50694	0.60231	0.38992	0.47844
14	0.68695	0.77382	0.53099	0.62347	0.41447	0.50180
15	0.70455	0.78729	0.55287	0.64251	0.43725	0.52321
16	0.72029	0.79925	0.57284	0.65974	0.45842	0.54291
17	0.73444	0.80991	0.59114	0.67539	0.47814	0.56107
18	0.74724	0.81952	0.60797	0.68967	0.49653	0.57788
19	0.75886	0.82821	0.62348	0.70276	0.51372	0.59347
20	0.76947	0.83610	0.63783	0.71479	0.52981	0.60796
<i>p</i> =3, <i>q</i> =10						
3	0.01610	0.03879	0.01012	0.02502	0.00677	0.01706
4	0.04193	0.07996	0.02759	0.05404	0.01910	0.03815
5	0.07202	0.12175	0.04913	0.08526	0.03496	0.06189
6	0.10382	0.16234	0.07294	0.11703	0.05313	0.08693
7	0.13582	0.20084	0.09786	0.14833	0.07272	0.11237
8	0.16720	0.23700	0.12311	0.17870	0.09310	0.13771
9	0.19750	0.27071	0.14818	0.20782	0.11382	0.16256
10	0.22646	0.30204	0.17276	0.23555	0.13454	0.18671
11	0.25399	0.33113	0.19663	0.26186	0.15505	0.21005
12	0.28006	0.35815	0.21970	0.28676	0.17519	0.23248
13	0.30471	0.38322	0.24189	0.31026	0.19486	0.25401
14	0.32797	0.40660	0.26318	0.33252	0.21398	0.27454
15	0.34993	0.42837	0.28355	0.35355	0.23253	0.29415
16	0.37066	0.44869	0.30306	0.37345	0.25046	0.31291
17	0.39024	0.46768	0.32169	0.39225	0.26781	
18	0.40873	0.48547	0.33952	0.41007	0.28452	
19	0.42622	0.50215	0.35654	0.42693	0.30060	
20	0.44278	0.51783	0.37291	0.44375		
<i>p</i> =3, <i>q</i> =16						
3	0.00474	0.01214				
4	0.01375	0.02791	0.06539	0.14144	0.03668	0.08466
5	0.02574	0.04628	0.14302	0.24472	0.08904	0.16172
6	0.03986	0.06624	0.21515	0.32765	0.14364	0.23029
7	0.05546	0.08702	0.27899	0.39507	0.19604	0.29074
8	0.07204	0.10818	0.33475	0.45074	0.24462	0.34347
9	0.08922	0.12933	0.38333	0.49728	0.28902	0.38957
10	0.10671	0.15024	0.42580	0.53670	0.32933	0.43000
11	0.12428	0.17074	0.46311	0.57044	0.36586	0.46567
12	0.14178	0.19076	0.49605	0.59972	0.39899	0.49725
13	0.15909	0.21024	0.52533	0.62530	0.42908	0.52548
14	0.17611	0.22915	0.55150	0.64783	0.45650	0.55078
15	0.19288	0.24581	0.57499	0.66782	0.48154	0.57357
16	0.20917		0.59620	0.68568	0.50448	0.59421
17			0.61543	0.70173	0.52554	0.61297
18			0.63293	0.71623	0.54495	0.63010
19			0.64894	0.72938	0.56288	0.64579
20			0.66362	0.74138	0.57948	0.66022

<i>n</i>	<i>p</i> =4, <i>q</i> =6		<i>p</i> =4, <i>q</i> =7		<i>p</i> =4, <i>q</i> =8	
	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99
4	0.02208	0.05345	0.01406	0.03526	0.00936	0.02415
5	0.05806	0.10991	0.03936	0.07708	0.02759	0.05550
6	0.09904	0.16521	0.07029	0.12100	0.05117	0.09037
7	0.14102	0.21688	0.10371	0.16425	0.07781	0.12626
8	0.18194	0.26415	0.13768	0.20543	0.10589	0.16166
9	0.22087	0.30707	0.17113	0.24409	0.13435	0.19581
10	0.25739	0.34590	0.20342	0.28002	0.16254	0.22836
11	0.29141	0.38104	0.23424	0.31329	0.19002	0.25911
12	0.32300	0.41288	0.26345	0.34404	0.21656	0.28804
13	0.35227	0.44177	0.29102	0.37246	0.24201	0.31520
14	0.37939	0.46815	0.31698	0.39870	0.26633	0.34061
15	0.40456	0.49226	0.34139	0.42305	0.28950	0.36449
16	0.42793	0.51435	0.36434	0.44563	0.31152	0.38683
17	0.44965	0.53466	0.38593	0.46659		
18	0.46989	0.55339	0.40623	0.48611		
19	0.48876	0.57071	0.42534	0.50432		
20	0.50640	0.58676	0.44335	0.52129		
<i>p</i> =4, <i>q</i> =9		<i>p</i> =4, <i>q</i> =10		<i>p</i> =4, <i>q</i> =11		
4	0.00647	0.01708	0.00461	0.01240	0.00338	0.00922
5	0.01989	0.04090	0.01469	0.03077	0.01108	0.02357
6	0.03809	0.06872	0.02892	0.05311	0.02233	0.04165
7	0.05944	0.09843	0.04614	0.07775	0.03634	0.06216
8	0.08265	0.12865	0.06538	0.10349	0.05237	0.08408
9	0.10679	0.15854	0.08586	0.12951	0.06977	0.10671
10	0.13123	0.18764	0.10701	0.15535	0.08805	0.12955
11	0.15553	0.21566	0.12838	0.18063	0.10682	0.15223
12	0.17938	0.24244	0.14969	0.20512	0.12579	0.17441
13	0.20260	0.26793	0.17071	0.22868	0.14472	0.19585
14	0.22507	0.29215	0.19130	0.25113	0.16347	0.21537
15	0.24673	0.31501	0.21136	0.27156	0.18187	0.23592
16	0.26753	0.33673				
17	0.28745	0.35675				
18	0.30644	0.37598				
19	0.32461	0.39337				
20	0.34123					
<i>p</i> =4, <i>q</i> =12		<i>p</i> =4, <i>q</i> =13		<i>p</i> =4, <i>q</i> =14		
4	0.00253	0.00699	0.00193	0.00540	0.00150	0.00424
5	0.00851	0.01835	0.00664	0.01449	0.00526	0.01176
6	0.01751	0.03310	0.01392	0.02662	0.01131	
7	0.02900	0.05025	0.02341	0.04103	0.02054	
8	0.04241	0.06897	0.03470	0.05706		
9	0.05724	0.08863	0.04738	0.07417		
10	0.07307	0.10879	0.06111	0.09195		
11	0.08954	0.12907	0.07559	0.10993		
12	0.10640	0.14907	0.09055			
13	0.12340	0.16830	0.10573			
14	0.14032					
<i>p</i> =5, <i>q</i> =6		<i>p</i> =5, <i>q</i> =8		<i>p</i> =5, <i>q</i> =10		
5	0.01005	0.02610	0.00358	0.00996	0.00152	0.00442
6	0.02999	0.06044	0.01218	0.02618	0.00566	0.01270
7	0.05585	0.09858	0.02497	0.04683	0.01243	0.02431

<i>n</i>	<i>p</i> =5, <i>q</i> =6		<i>p</i> =5, <i>q</i> =8		<i>p</i> =5, <i>q</i> =10	
	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99
8	0.08493	0.13748	0.04098	0.07024	0.02159	0.03852
9	0.11539	0.17557	0.05928	0.095172	0.03275	0.05466
10	0.14604	0.21198	0.07906	0.12073	0.04550	0.07214
11	0.17618	0.24642	0.09971	0.14632	0.05947	0.09036
12	0.20536	0.27872	0.12077	0.17166	0.07442	
13	0.23336	0.30890	0.14191	0.19674		
14	0.26006	0.33704	0.16292	0.22314		
15	0.28541	0.36327				
16	0.30944	0.38777				
17	0.33218	0.41062				
18	0.35369	0.43214				
19	0.37404	0.45240				
20	0.39331	0.47131				
<i>p</i> =5, <i>q</i> =12		<i>p</i> =5, <i>q</i> =14		<i>p</i> =5, <i>q</i> =16		
5	0.00073	0.00220	0.00038	0.00119	0.00022	0.00068
6	0.00291	0.00673	0.00161	0.00383	0.00095	0.00230
7	0.00673	0.01357	0.00389	0.00803	0.00237	0.00499
8	0.01220	0.02245	0.00730	0.01375	0.00458	0.00879
9	0.01922	0.03304	0.01185	0.02078	0.00762	
10	0.02758		0.01745			
11	0.03706					
<i>p</i> =6, <i>q</i> =6		<i>p</i> =6, <i>q</i> =7		<i>p</i> =6, <i>q</i> =8		
6	0.00501	0.01371	0.00268	0.00763	0.00152	0.00448
7	0.01648	0.03483	0.00955	0.02095	0.00578	0.01306
8	0.03295	0.06072	0.02024	0.03864	0.01286	0.02525
9	0.05293	0.08901	0.03404	0.05929	0.02252	0.04031
10	0.07512	0.11863	0.05020	0.08178	0.03430	0.05731
11	0.09862	0.14823	0.06800	0.10526	0.04779	0.07576
12	0.12266	0.17726	0.08687	0.12907	0.06264	
13	0.14674	0.20533	0.10638		0.07802	
14	0.17047	0.23229				
15	0.19382	0.25807				
16	0.21634	0.28220				
17	0.23834	0.30348				
18	0.25888	0.32156				
19	0.27781	0.33962				
<i>p</i> =6, <i>q</i> =9		<i>p</i> =6, <i>q</i> =10		<i>p</i> =6, <i>q</i> =11		
6	0.00091	0.00273	0.00056	0.00173	0.00036	0.00113
7	0.00364	0.00843	0.00236	0.00558	0.00158	0.00381
8	0.00844	0.01699	0.00568	0.01165	0.00392	0.00820
9	0.01528	0.02801	0.01061	0.01981	0.00752	0.01423
10	0.02397	0.04096	0.01706	0.02994	0.01236	
11	0.03423		0.02492			
<i>p</i> =6, <i>q</i> =12		<i>p</i> =6, <i>q</i> =13		<i>p</i> =6, <i>q</i> =14		
6	0.00024	0.00076	0.00016	0.00052	0.00011	
7	0.00109	0.00281	0.00076	0.00188		
8	0.00291		0.00198	0.00427		
9			0.00398			
10			0.00678			

<i>n</i>	<i>p</i> =7, <i>q</i> =8		<i>p</i> =7, <i>q</i> =10		<i>p</i> =7, <i>q</i> =12	
	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.95	<i>F</i> (<i>x</i>)=0.99
7	0.00070	0.00215	0.00023	0.00073	0.00008	0.00029
8	0.00292	0.00688	0.00106	0.00262	0.00043	0.00111
9	0.00696	0.01421	0.00275	0.00587	0.00121	0.00266
10	0.01287	0.02391	0.00545	0.01046	0.00253	
11	0.02052					
<i>p</i> =7, <i>q</i> =14						
7	0.00003	0.00012				
8	0.00019	0.00052	0.00035	0.00110	0.00018	0.00059
9	0.00057		0.00155	0.00378	0.00087	0.00217
10			0.00392	0.00825	0.00230	0.00497
11			0.00761	0.01448	0.00464	
12			0.01257	0.01971		
<i>p</i> =8, <i>q</i> =10						
8	0.00010	0.00033				
9	0.00050	0.00144				
10	0.00156					

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, MONTREAL CANADA

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, T. W. (1958). *Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis*, Wiley, New York.
- [2] Bartlett, M. S. (1938). Further aspects of the theory of multiple regression, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **34**, 33-40.
- [3] Box, G. E. P. (1949). A general distribution theory for a class of likelihood criteria, *Biometrika*, **36**, 317-346.
- [4] Braaksma, B. L. J. (1963). Asymptotic expansions and analytic continuations for a class of Barnes-integrals, *Compositio Mathematica*, **15**, 239-341.
- [5] Consul, P. C. (1966). Exact distributions of the likelihood ratio criteria for testing linear hypotheses about regression coefficients, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **37**, 1319-1330.
- [6] Erdélyi, A. et al. (1953). *Higher Transcendental Functions*, I, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [7] Mathai, A. M. (1970). The exact non-central distribution of the generalized variance, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* (to appear).
- [8] Mathai, A. M. and Rathie, P. N. (1969). The exact distribution of Wilks' criterion, Queen's University Preprint No. 1969-28, Kingston, Canada.
- [9] Pearson, E. S. and Wilks, S. S. (1933). Methods of analysis appropriate for *k* samples of two variables, *Biometrika*, **25**, 353-378.
- [10] Pillai, K. C. S. (1960). *Statistical Tables for Tests of Multivariate Hypotheses*, The Statistical Center, University of Philippines, Manila.
- [11] Pillai, K. C. S. and Gupta, A. K. (1969). On the exact distribution of Wilks' criterion, *Biometrika*, **56**, 109-118.
- [12] Rao, C. R. (1948). Tests of significance in multivariate analysis, *Biometrika*, **35**, 58-79.
- [13] Rao, C. R. (1965). *Linear Statistical Inference and Its Application*, Wiley, New York.
- [14] Schatzoff, M. (1964). Exact distribution of Wilks' likelihood ratio criterion and com-

- parison with competitive tests, Ph.D.Thesis, Harvard University, Abstract, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **35**, 1397.
- [15] Schatzoff, M. (1966). Exact distribution of Wilks' likelihood ratio criterion, *Biometrika*, **53**, 347-358.
- [16] Wilks, S. S. (1932). Certain generalizations in the analysis of variance, *Biometrika*, **24**, 471-494.