ON THE ESTIMATION OF THE POPULATION MEAN BASED ON ORDERED SAMPLES FROM AN EQUICORRELATED MULTIVARIATE DISTRIBUTION

KOITI TAKAHASI

(Received Feb. 7, 1969; revised June 26, 1969)

1. Introduction

In a previous paper [1] the author has studied some properties of an unbiased estimator of the population mean based on ordered samples. The estimator was defined as follows. Let f(x) be a probability density function (pdf) with mean μ and variance σ^2 and let $\{X_{ij}^*, j=1,\dots, n;$ $i=1,\dots,n$ be a random sample of size n^2 from the pdf f(x) divided into n groups of size n. Let Y_{ni} denote the ith order statistic within the *i*th subgroup $\{X_{i_1}^*, \dots, X_{i_n}^*\}$. Then $\bar{Y}_{[n]} = (Y_{n_1} + \dots + Y_{n_n})/n$ is an unbiased estimator of μ . A sampling and estimation procedure like this will be useful in the situation where the selection of the element of the ith least value from among n elements can be done without measuring the values of the n elements, for example, merely by taking a glance at the elements. If n is small and n elements are located not so distantly from each other, such situation will be of frequent occurrence. However, a trouble occurs in the practical application of our estimation: If the n elements are located closely to each other, they can not necessarily be considered as a random sample of size n from the popu-They may be correlated positively or negatively. Thus it becomes necessary or at least desirable to construct a model concerned with the case of dependence and investigate properties of the estimator corresponding to $\overline{Y}_{[n]}$ in the case of independence. The purpose of this paper is to deal with this problem. A model and an estimator are presented in section 2. In section 3 several properties of the estimator are shown. The efficiencies of the estimator for some particular distributions are given in section 4.

2. Model and estimator

Let $F_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be a cdf which is symmetric in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m and

has the pdf $f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)$, and let $F_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the cdf and the pdf of the *n*-dimensional marginal distribution of the $F_m(x_1, \dots, x_m)$, respectively, for $n=1, 2, \dots, m-1$. Notice that every F_n is also symmetric in its arguments. Denote $F_1(x)$ and $f_1(x)$ merely by F(x) and f(x), respectively.

Typical examples are the normal distribution with the same intraclass correlation coefficients and the symmetric mixture of independently and identically distributed random variables. (See section 4.)

Let $X_n^* = (X_{n,1}^*, \dots, X_{n,n}^*)$ be a random vector from the cdf $F_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, and let $X_n = (X_{n,1}, \dots, X_{n,n})$ be the one obtained by rearranging the components of X_n^* in increasing order of magnitude. We denote the marginal cdf and pdf of $X_{n,i}$ by $F_{n,i}(x)$ and $f_{n,i}(x)$ respectively, and a random vector with the cdf $F_{n,1}(x_1) \cdot F_{n,2}(x_2) \cdots F_{n,n}(x_n)$ by $(Y_{n,1}, Y_{n,2}, \dots, Y_{n,n})$. Then the statistic

$$\bar{Y}_{[n]} = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{n,i}/n$$

is an unbiased estimator of the mean μ of F(x), since we have the relation

(1)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{n,k}(x) = n f(x).$$

The estimator $\overline{Y}_{[n]}$ was considered in [1] for the case $F_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n F(x_i)$. Throughout this paper, this case will be called 'the case of independence'.

3. Properties of the estimator

Let $\mu_{n,k}$ and $\sigma_{n,k}^2$ denote the mean and variance of $X_{n,k}$. We use the symbol 'tilder' for representing 'the case of independence'; for example, $\tilde{\mu}_{n,k}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{n,k}^2$ denote the mean and variance of $X_{n,k}$ for the case of independence where $(X_{n,1}^*, \dots, X_{n,n}^*)$ has the joint cdf $\prod_{i=1}^n F(x_i)$.

From the symmetry of $F_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and (1) we have the recurrence relation between the $F_{n,i}$'s ([2], [3])

$$\frac{n+1-i}{n+1}F_{n+1,i}+\frac{i}{n+1}F_{n+1,i+1}=F_{n,i}.$$

Thus, as in the proof of Theorem 2 in [1] we have

(2)
$$\sigma_{\lfloor n\rfloor}^2 > \sigma_{\lfloor n+1\rfloor}^2 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq n \leq m-1$$
,

where $\sigma_{[n]}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{n,i}^2$. The variance of $\overline{Y}_{[n]}$ is $\sigma_{[n]}^2/n$.

It follows from (2) that

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{n} > \sigma^2(\bar{Y}_{[n]})$$
 ,

that is, the variance of estimator $\bar{Y}_{[n]}$ is smaller than that of the mean of a random sample of size n from the distribution with the cdf F(x). As in the case of independence, the relative efficiency $\tau_{[n]}$ of $\bar{Y}_{[n]}$ with respect to the mean \bar{X}_n of a random sample of size n, is defined by

(3)
$$\tau_{[n]} = \frac{\frac{\sigma^2}{n} - \frac{\sigma_{[n]}^2}{n}}{\frac{\sigma^2}{n}} = \frac{\sigma^2 - \sigma_{[n]}^2}{\sigma^2}$$

(although $\sigma^2/\sigma_{[n]}^2$ might be a more familiar measure of efficiency).

In terms of the efficiency $\tau_{[n]}$ we can write the relation (3) as

$$\tau_{\lceil n \rceil} < \tau_{\lceil n+1 \rceil}$$
 for $n=1, 2, \dots, m-1$.

In particular, we have, for $n \ge 2$,

$$\tau_{[n]} > 0$$
.

In short, the $\bar{Y}_{[n]}$ is more efficient than the \bar{X}_n , no matter whether ρ is positive or negative.

Now we consider the effect of dependency to the efficiency $\tau_{[n]}$. In many cases, it seems intuitively that the positive dependence gives rise to lower efficiency of $\bar{Y}_{[n]}$ and the negative dependence to higher efficiency.

From (1) we have

$$\sigma_{[n]}^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{n,k}^2$$
.

Thus

(4)
$$\sigma_{[n]}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{[n]}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{\mu}_{n,k}^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_{n,k}^2 \right).$$

Denote $F_l(x,\dots,x)$ by $F_l(x)$, in short, for $l=1,2,\dots,m$. We, then, have

(5)
$$F_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{l=k}^{n} c_{n,k,l} F_{l}(x)$$

where

$$c_{n,k,l} = (-1)^l \sum_{\nu=k}^l (-1)^{\nu} {n \choose \nu} {n-\nu \choose l-\nu}.$$

If we denote $P(X_{n,i}^* \leq x, i=1, 2, \dots, k \text{ and } X_{n,j}^* > x, j=k+1, \dots, n)$ by $G_{n,k}(x)$, we immediately have

(6)
$$F_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{l=k}^{n} {n \choose l} G_{n,l}(x)$$

and

(7)
$$F_{k}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} {n-k \choose r} G_{n,k+r}(x).$$

From (6) and (7), (5) is obtained after some simple calculation. Noting that $\tilde{F}_{l}(x) = F^{l}(x)$ for the case of independence, from (4) and (5) we have

(8)
$$\sigma_{[n]}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{[n]}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^l c_{n,k,l} (\mu_{n,k} + \tilde{\mu}_{n,k}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F^l(x) - F_l(x)) dx$$
.

For n=2 the expression (8) reduces to the simple form

$$(9) \qquad \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle [2]}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} - ilde{\sigma}_{\scriptscriptstyle [2]}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = rac{1}{2} \left\{ (\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 2,2} - \mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 2,1}) + (ilde{\mu}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2,2} - ilde{\mu}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2,1})
ight\} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(x) - F_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(x)) dx \ .$$

The expression in the bracket of the right-hand side of (9) is obviously positive. Hence $\sigma_{[2]}^2 >$, =, $<\tilde{\sigma}_{[2]}^2$ correspond to $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F_2(x,x) - F^2(x)) dx$ <, =, >0, respectively. A two dimensional distribution is called positively (or negatively) quadrant dependent ([4]), if

$$P(X \le x)P(Y \le y) \le (\text{or } \ge)P(X \le x, Y \le y)$$
 for all x, y .

In terms of this concept, we can say as follows: if the cdf $F_2(x_1, x_2)$ is negatively (or positively) quadrant dependent, the efficiency of $\overline{Y}_{[2]}$ is larger (or smaller) than that in the case of independence. For $n \ge 3$ no simple interpretation of (8) is obtained.

It may be useful to show an example that the correlation coefficient of $X_{n,i}^*$ and $X_{n,j}^*$ does not necessarily determine the efficiency.

Example 1. Here we limit ourselves to the case m=2.

(i) Suppose

$$(10) \qquad f_2(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if} \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^s \left\{ \left[\frac{j-1}{s}, \frac{j}{s} \right) \times \left[\frac{j-1}{s}, \frac{j}{s} \right) \right\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where s is a positive integer. We, then, have

$$\rho = 1 - \frac{1}{s^2}$$

and

$$\tau_{[2]} = \frac{1}{3s^2} = \frac{1}{3}(1-\rho) = (1-\rho)\tilde{\tau}_{[2]}.$$

(ii) Suppose

(11)
$$f_2(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 1/\theta & \text{if } (x_1, x_2) \in [0, \theta) \times [0, \theta) \\ 1/(1-\theta) & \text{if } (x_1, x_2) \in [\theta, 1) \times [\theta, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$. We, then, have

$$\rho = 3\theta(1-\theta)$$

and

$$\tau_{\text{[2]}} \! = \! \frac{1}{3} \{ 1 \! - \! 2\theta (1 \! - \! \theta) \}^{\text{2}} \! = \! \frac{1}{3} \! \left(1 \! - \! \frac{2}{3} \rho \right)^{\text{2}}.$$

4. Some examples

4.1 Normal distribution

Let $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the pdf of the *n*-dimensional normal distribution with the mean vector (μ, μ, \dots, μ) and the variance-covariance matrix (σ_{ij}) where $\sigma_{ii} = \sigma^2$, $\sigma_{ij} = \rho \sigma^2$ $(i \neq j)$. By the result of Owen and Steck [5] we can easily obtain

$$\tau_{[n]}(\rho) = (1-\rho)\tilde{\tau}_{[n]},$$

for $n=2,3,\cdots$ if $\rho \ge 0$, and for $n \le 1-\frac{1}{\rho}$ if $\rho < 0$.

4.2 Mixture

Let $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an *n*-dimensional pdf given by

(12)
$$f_n(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \int_0^\infty g(x_1|\omega) \dots g(x_n|\omega) dP(\omega),$$

for $n=1,2,\cdots$, where $g(x|\omega)$ is a pdf and $P(\omega)$ is a cdf in $(0,\infty)$.

i) Let

$$g(x|\omega) = \begin{cases} \omega e^{-\omega x} & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$dP(\omega) = \frac{\alpha^p}{\Gamma(n)} \omega^{p-1} e^{-\alpha \omega} d\omega$$
.

The pdf $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ corresponds to a multivariate Burr's distribution [6]. We have, in particular,

$$f(x)=f_1(x)=\frac{p\alpha^p}{(\alpha+x)^{p+1}}.$$

For n=2 we have ([7], [6], [1])

$$au_{\scriptscriptstyle [2]} = rac{p-2}{4p}$$
 ,

$$\tilde{ au}_{[2]} = \frac{p(p-2)}{(2p-1)^2}$$
 ,

and

$$\rho = \frac{1}{p} \qquad (p > 2).$$

Thus we have

$$au_{\text{[2]}} \! = \! \left(1 \! - \! rac{1}{2p}
ight)^{\!2} \! ilde{ au}_{\text{[2]}} \! = \! \left(1 \! - \! rac{
ho}{2}
ight)^{\!2} \! ilde{ au}_{\text{[2]}} \! = \! rac{1}{4} (1 \! - \! 2
ho) \, .$$

ii) Let

$$g(x|\omega) = \begin{cases} e^{-(x-\omega)} & \text{if } x > \omega > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$dP(\omega) = \alpha e^{-\alpha \omega} d\omega$$
.

We, then, have

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} (e^{-x} - e^{-\alpha x}) & \text{for } \alpha \neq 1 \\ xe^{-x} & \text{for } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$
$$\tau_{[n]} = \frac{\alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{n} + \dots + \frac{1}{n - (k-1)} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^2 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)^2 \right\},$$

in particular,

$$au_{[2]} = rac{lpha^2}{4(1+lpha^2)},$$
 $ho = rac{1}{1+lpha^2}$

and

$$\tau_{[2]} = \frac{1}{4}(1-\rho)$$
.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank the referee for his suggestions which made the paper easier to follow.

THE INSTITUTE OF STATISTICAL MATHEMATICS

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Takahasi and K. Wakimoto, "On unbiased estimates of the population mean based on the sample stratified by means of ordering," Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 20 (1968), 1-31.
- [2] H. A. David and P. C. Joshi, "Recurrence relations between moments of order statistics for exchangeable variates," Ann. Math. Statist., 39 (1968), 272-274.
- [3] D. H. Young, "Recurrence relations between the P.D.F.'s of order statistics of dependent variables, and some applications," Biometrika, 54 (1967), 283-292.
- [4] E. L. Lehmann, "Some concepts of dependence," Ann. Math. Statist., 37 (1966), 1137-
- [5] D. B. Owen and G. P. Steck, "Moments of order statistics from the equicorrelated multivariate normal distribution," Ann. Math. Statist., 33 (1962), 1286-1291.
- [6] K. Takahasi, "Note on the multivariate Burr's distribution," Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 17 (1965), 257-260.
- [7] K. Takahasi, "Estimation of population mean based on ordered sample and its applications," (in Japanese) Proc. Inst. Statist. Math. (to appear).