

## A PROPERTY OF THE LOG-LIKELIHOOD-RATIO PROCESS\* FOR GAUSSIAN PROCESSES

B. L. S. PRAKASA RAO AND HERMAN RUBIN

(Received Apr. 18, 1967)

The aim of this paper is to give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Gaussian process to be the log-likelihood ratio process of Gaussian processes. We assume for simplicity that all the measures encountered hereafter are mutually absolutely continuous.

Let  $X$  be multivariate normally distributed with unknown mean  $\theta$  and known dispersion matrix  $\Sigma$ . We assume that  $\Sigma$  is non-singular without loss of generality. Let  $p_\theta(x)$  denote the density of  $X$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $R^n$ . Hereafter  $E[Y|\phi]$  denotes the expectation of  $Y$  when  $\phi$  is the true parameter. Define

$$(1) \quad L(X, \theta, \varphi) = \log p_\theta(X) - \log p_\varphi(X).$$

Since

$$(2) \quad p_\theta(X) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} |\Sigma|^{-1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (X - \theta)' \Sigma^{-1} (X - \theta) \right\}$$

where  $|\Sigma|$  denotes the determinant of  $\Sigma$  and  $X'$  denotes the transpose of the vector  $X$ , it is easily seen that

$$(3) \quad L(X, \theta, \varphi) = -\frac{1}{2} [(2X - \theta - \varphi)' \Sigma^{-1} (\varphi - \theta)].$$

Now

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} E[L(X, \theta, \varphi) | \phi] &= -\frac{1}{2} [(2\phi - \theta - \varphi)' \Sigma^{-1} (\varphi - \theta)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\phi - \varphi)' \Sigma^{-1} (\phi - \varphi) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\phi - \theta)' \Sigma^{-1} (\phi - \theta), \end{aligned}$$

---

\* Prepared under Contract No. NONR-2587(02) while B.L.S. P. Rao was at Michigan State University. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the U.S. Government.

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & \text{Cov} [L(X, \theta_1, \varphi_1), L(X, \theta_2, \varphi_2) | \phi] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \text{Cov} [(2X - \theta_1 - \varphi_1)' \Sigma^{-1} (\varphi_1 - \theta_1), (2X - \theta_2 - \varphi_2)' \Sigma^{-1} (\varphi_2 - \theta_2) | \phi] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \text{Cov} [2X \Sigma^{-1} (\varphi_1 - \theta_1), 2X \Sigma^{-1} (\varphi_2 - \theta_2) | \phi] \\
 &= (\varphi_1 - \theta_1)' \Sigma^{-1} (\varphi_2 - \theta_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(6) \quad d(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} (\varphi - \theta)' \Sigma^{-1} (\varphi - \theta).$$

After some algebraic manipulation, it can be shown that

$$(7) \quad d(\theta_1, \varphi_2) + d(\theta_2, \varphi_1) - d(\theta_1, \theta_2) - d(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = (\varphi_1 - \theta_1)' \Sigma^{-1} (\varphi_2 - \theta_2).$$

The following result is obtained from (4), (5) and (7). For a multivariate normal distribution with unknown mean  $\phi$  and known dispersion matrix  $\Sigma$ , the log-likelihood-ratio process  $\{L(X, \theta, \varphi)\}$  is Gaussian with

$$(8) \quad E[L(X, \theta, \varphi) | \phi] = d(\varphi, \phi) - d(\theta, \phi),$$

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Cov} [L(X, \theta_1, \varphi_1), L(X_2, \theta_2, \varphi_2) | \phi] \\ = d(\theta_1, \varphi_2) + d(\theta_2, \varphi_1) - d(\theta_1, \theta_2) - d(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$d(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} (\varphi - \theta)' \Sigma^{-1} (\varphi - \theta).$$

We note that  $d^{1/2}$  is an Euclidean metric on  $R^n$  when  $X$  is  $n$ -variate normally distributed.

Let us now consider a collection of Gaussian processes  $\{X_t\}$  with  $E[X_t | \phi] = \phi_t$  and  $\text{Cov} [X_t, X_u | \phi] = \sigma_{tu}$  where  $t$  and  $u \in T = [0, 1]$ . Let  $B$  be the class of all finite subsets  $F$  of  $T$ .  $B$  is a directed set by the inclusion relation ( $F_1 \succ F_2$  if  $F_1 \supseteq F_2$ ). Let  $L(F, \theta, \varphi)$  denote the log-likelihood-ratio of the densities of  $(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, \dots, X_{t_k})$  when  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  are the mean functions and  $F = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$ . It is well known that  $L(F, \theta, \varphi)$  is Gaussian and it follows from (8) and (9) that

$$(10) \quad E[L(F, \theta, \varphi) | \phi] = d_F(\varphi, \phi) - d_F(\theta, \phi)$$

and

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Cov} [L(F, \theta_1, \varphi_1), L(F, \theta_2, \varphi_2) | \phi] \\ = d_F(\theta_1, \varphi_2) + d_F(\theta_2, \varphi_1) - d_F(\theta_1, \theta_2) - d_F(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_F^{1/2}$  is an Euclidean metric on  $R^k$  and  $k$  denotes the number of elements in  $F$ . Let  $\nu_\theta$  be the Gaussian measure induced by the process  $\{X_t\}$  when  $\theta$  is the true parameter through the usual Kolmogorov extension. Since the processes  $\{X_t\}$  have the same covariance structure,  $\nu_\theta$  and  $\nu_\varphi$  are mutually absolutely continuous for any  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$ . Furthermore if  $L(\theta, \varphi) = \log d\nu_\theta/d\nu_\varphi$ , then it follows from theorems on Martingale convergence (See Doob [1]) or from the results of Feldman [2], that  $L(F, \theta, \varphi)$  converges in quadratic mean to  $L(\theta, \varphi)$ . In fact  $L(\theta, \varphi)$  is a Gaussian random variable such that

$$(12) \quad E[L(F, \theta, \varphi) | \phi] \rightarrow E[L(\theta, \varphi) | \phi],$$

and

$$(13) \quad \text{Cov} [L(F, \theta_1, \varphi_1), L(F, \theta_2, \varphi_2) | \phi] \rightarrow \text{Cov} [L(\theta_1, \varphi_1), L(\theta_2, \varphi_2) | \phi].$$

Therefore, we have from (10) and (11) that

$$(14) \quad E[L(\theta, \varphi) | \phi] = \lim_F [d_F(\varphi, \phi) - d_F(\theta, \phi)]$$

and

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Cov} [L(\theta_1, \varphi_1), L(\theta_2, \varphi_2) | \phi] \\ = \lim_F [d_F(\theta_1, \varphi_2) + d_F(\theta_2, \varphi_1) - d_F(\theta_1, \theta_2) - d_F(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $\theta = \phi$  in (14), we note that  $\lim_F d_F(\varphi, \phi)$  exists for any  $\varphi$  and  $\phi$ . Let us denote this limit by  $d(\varphi, \phi)$ . It is easy to see that  $d^{1/2}(\varphi, \phi)$  is an Euclidean metric on  $R^T$ . From (14) and (15) we have

$$(16) \quad E[L(\theta, \varphi) | \phi] = d(\varphi, \phi) - d(\theta, \phi)$$

and

$$(17) \quad \text{Cov} [L(\theta_1, \varphi_1), L(\theta_2, \varphi_2) | \phi] = d(\theta_1, \varphi_2) + d(\theta_2, \varphi_1) - d(\theta_1, \theta_2) - d(\varphi_1, \varphi_2).$$

We shall now prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *A necessary and sufficient condition for a Gaussian process  $\{L(\theta, \varphi), \theta \in R^T, \varphi \in R^T\}$  to be the log-likelihood-ratio process of Gaussian processes  $\{X_t\}$  with the same covariance structure is that there exists an Euclidean metric  $d$  on  $R^T$  such that*

$$(i) \quad E[L(\theta, \varphi) | \phi] = d^2(\varphi, \phi) - d^2(\theta, \phi)$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \text{Cov} [L(\theta_1, \varphi_1), L(\theta_2, \varphi_2) | \phi] = d^2(\theta_1, \varphi_2) + d^2(\theta_2, \varphi_1) - d^2(\theta_1, \theta_2) - d^2(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$$

**PROOF.** The necessity of the conditions has been proved in (16) and (17).

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\mu_\phi$  denote the Gaussian measures corresponding to the processes  $\{L(\theta, \varphi)\}$  when  $\phi$  is the true mean function. We shall first prove that  $L(\phi, \eta)$  is sufficient for the measures  $\mu_\phi$  and  $\mu_\eta$  when the conditions (i) and (ii) of the theorem are satisfied. Since the process  $\{L(\theta, \varphi)\}$  is Gaussian, it is enough to prove that the conditional distribution of  $L(\theta, \varphi)$  for any  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  given  $L(\phi, \eta)$  is the same under both  $\phi$  and  $\eta$ .

Define

$$Y(\theta, \varphi; \phi, \eta) = L(\theta, \varphi) - \frac{\text{Cov} [L(\varphi, \theta), L(\phi, \eta)]}{\text{Var} [L(\phi, \eta)]} L(\phi, \eta).$$

From elementary results, it is known that  $Y(\theta, \varphi; \phi, \eta)$  is Gaussian under both  $\phi$  and  $\eta$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad E[Y(\theta, \varphi; \phi, \eta) | \phi] &= E[L(\theta, \varphi) | \phi] - \frac{\text{Cov} [L(\varphi, \theta), L(\phi, \eta) | \phi]}{\text{Var} [L(\phi, \eta) | \phi]} E[L(\phi, \eta) | \phi] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [d(\varphi, \phi) - d(\theta, \phi) - d(\theta, \eta) + d(\varphi, \eta)] \\ &= E[Y(\theta, \varphi; \phi, \eta) | \eta], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad \text{Var} [Y(\theta, \varphi; \phi, \eta) | \phi] &= \text{Var} [L(\theta, \varphi) | \phi] - \frac{\text{Cov}^2 [L(\theta, \varphi), L(\phi, \eta) | \phi]}{\text{Var} [L(\phi, \eta) | \phi]} \\ &= 2d(\theta, \varphi) - \frac{\{d(\theta, \eta) + d(\varphi, \phi) - d(\theta, \phi) - d(\varphi, \eta)\}^2}{2d(\phi, \eta)} \\ &= \text{Var} [Y(\theta, \varphi; \phi, \eta) | \eta]. \end{aligned}$$

(18) and (19), together with the remark made earlier, prove that  $Y(\theta, \varphi; \phi, \eta)$  has the same Gaussian distribution. In other words,  $L(\phi, \eta)$  is sufficient for the Gaussian measures  $\mu_\phi$  and  $\mu_\eta$ . Hence the process  $\{L(\theta, \varphi)\}$  is the log-likelihood-ratio process of the class of Gaussian measures  $\{\mu_\phi\}$  which are generated by Gaussian processes  $\{X_t\}$  with the same covariance structure.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Doob, *Stochastic Processes*, John Wiley, New York, 1953.
- [2] J. Feldman, Unpublished lecture notes, University of California, Berkeley, 1966.