

# ON MIXTURES OF $\chi^2$ - AND F-DISTRIBUTIONS WHICH YIELD DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE SAME FAMILY\*, \*\*

M. F. NEUTS AND S. ZACKS

(Received Nov. 28, 1966; Corrected Nov. 13, 1967)

## 1. Introduction

Robbins and Pitman proved in [3], that the distribution of a linear combination  $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \chi_i^2$ ,  $a_i > 1$ , can be expressed as a mixture of  $\chi^2$  distributions, whose numbers of degrees of freedom are random variables distributed like the sum of independent negative binomials. Teicher used this relationship in his paper [4] to demonstrate a mixture of gamma distributions, with a common scale parameter, which yields a gamma random variable with a different scale parameter. The relationship exhibited is

$$(1.1) \quad G(\lambda\rho, \beta) \sim G(\lambda, \beta + M), \quad 0 < \lambda < \infty, \quad 0 < \rho < 1,$$

where  $G(\lambda, \nu)$  denotes a gamma random variable, with a scale parameter  $\lambda$ , and  $M$  denotes a random variable having a negative binomial distribution, with probability density

$$(1.2) \quad P[M=m] = \binom{-\beta}{m} \rho^\beta (\rho-1)^m, \quad m=0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad 0 < \rho < 1.$$

The mixing identity for  $\chi^2$  random variables, which was derived by Robbins and Pitman in [3], is obtained from (1.1) and (1.2) by substituting  $\beta = \nu/2$ ,  $\lambda = 1/2$ , and  $\rho = (1+\phi)^{-1}$ ,  $0 < \phi < \infty$ . The effects of mixing gamma random variables with probabilities following a Pascal distribution were also studied by Gurland [1], [2].

In the present note we prove two theorems (in section 2), and apply the mixing identities derivable to prove certain expressions for the moments of negative binomial random variables. The first theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition on the mixing probabilities of

---

\* An earlier version of the present paper was published in *Mimeograph Series*, 70, Department of Statistics, Purdue University, 1966 April.

\*\* Supported in part by National Science Foundation under Grant No. GP-6129, awarded to the Department of Statistics, Kansas State University.

$G(\lambda, \beta + M)$  distribution laws, which yield a  $G(\lambda\rho, \beta)$  distribution law. The second theorem proves that only the family of  $G(\lambda, \beta)$  distribution laws has the property that a mixture of powers of its Laplace transform,  $\phi(s; \lambda, \beta)$  say, with negative binomial probabilities yields a Laplace transform of a gamma  $G(\lambda\rho, \beta)$  distribution law, i.e.,

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\beta}{\nu} \rho^{\beta} (\rho-1)^{\nu} (\phi(s; \lambda, \beta))^{\nu} = \phi\left(\frac{s}{\rho}; \lambda, \beta\right).$$

This is a further characterization of the mixing identities studied here. In section 3 we utilize some of the mixing identities derivable from (1.1) to obtain various moments of negative binomial random variables, and of their inverses. The derivation of expressions for these moments by the aid of such mixing identities becomes a very simple task, compared to the computation requirements for deriving those expressions directly. In section 4 we show a case of a linear model (ANOVA model II) in which a mixture of  $F$ -distributions yields an  $F$ -distribution, which is a mixing identity derived from (1.1).

## 2. The characterization of mixing probabilities

Consider an additive Markov process  $X(t)$ , for which;

$$(2.1) \quad E\{e^{-sX(t)}\} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+s}\right)^{\alpha t + \gamma},$$

where  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ ,  $-\lambda < s < \infty$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\gamma \geq 0$ . Let  $T$  be a random variable, independent of  $\{X(t); t \geq 0\}$ , and such that:  $P[T \leq x] = F(x)$ . We consider the problem of characterizing the conditions under which  $X(t)$  has a gamma distribution. In other words, the first problem we consider is that of characterizing the distribution  $F(t)$ , which satisfies;

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^{\infty} F(dt) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+s}\right)^{\alpha t + \gamma} = \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+s}\right)^{\beta},$$

for some  $\mu > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ .  $F(t)$  is the mixing probability distribution of the (random) gamma distributions,  $G(\lambda, \alpha T + \gamma)$ , which yield a gamma distribution  $G(\mu, \beta)$ .

It is sufficient to solve equation (2.2) for  $s \geq 0$ . Setting

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+s}\right)^{\alpha} = e^{-u} \quad s \geq 0, \quad u \geq 0,$$

we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ut} F(dt) = e^{-[(\beta-\gamma)/\alpha]u} \rho^{\beta} [1 - (1-\rho)e^{-u/\alpha}]^{-\beta},$$

for  $u > 0$ ,  $\rho = \mu\lambda^{-1}$ ,  $0 < \rho \leq 1$ . The R.H.S. of (2.4) is the Laplace transform of a negative binomial distribution. This implies that  $\alpha T - (\beta - \gamma)$  is a negative binomial random variable on the non-negative integers, i.e.,

$$(2.5) \quad P[T = \alpha^{-1}(\beta - \gamma) + \alpha^{-1}m] = \binom{-\beta}{m} \rho^\beta (\rho - 1)^m, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

for  $\beta \geq \gamma$ . We have thus proved that a mixture of  $G(\lambda, \alpha t + \gamma)$  distribution laws, which yields a  $G(\lambda\rho, \beta)$  distribution law must be a negative binomial one. Using characteristic functions, it is simple to verify that a negative binomial mixture of  $(G\lambda, \alpha t + \gamma)$  distribution laws yields a  $G(\lambda\rho, \beta)$  distribution law. We have thus proved.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *A mixture on  $t$  of the family of gamma distributions laws  $G(\lambda, \alpha t + \gamma)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is again a gamma distribution law  $G(\lambda\rho, \beta)$  if, and only if the mixing distribution law is a negative binomial with parameters  $\rho$  and  $\beta$ , on the lattice points*

$$(2.6) \quad \{\alpha^{-1}(\beta - \gamma) + k\alpha^{-1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad \beta \geq \gamma.$$

The mixing identity (1.1) is a special case, where  $\gamma = \beta$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $T = M$ . We proceed now to state and prove the second characterizing theorem.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\phi(s)$  be the (bilateral) Laplace transform of a distribution function, and let  $\phi(s)$  satisfy the equation*

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\beta}{\nu} \rho^\beta (\rho - 1)^\nu \phi^{\beta+\nu}(s) = \phi^\beta\left(\frac{s}{\rho}\right),$$

*in a neighborhood of  $s=0$ , with  $0 < \rho < 1$ ,  $\beta > 0$ . Then, the distribution function is either degenerate or negative exponential.*

**PROOF.** From (2.7) with  $\beta=1$  we obtain the equation,

$$(2.8) \quad \rho\phi(s)[1 - (1-\rho)\phi(s)]^{-1} = \phi\left(\frac{s}{\rho}\right).$$

Since  $\phi(s) \neq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$ , set  $\theta(s) = \phi^{-1}(s)$ . Then, (2.8) is reduced to

$$(2.9) \quad \rho\left[\theta\left(\frac{s}{\rho}\right) - 1\right] = \theta(s) - 1.$$

Setting  $\Pi(s) = (\theta(s) - 1)/s$ , we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad \Pi(\tau) = \Pi(\rho\tau), \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0.$$

Hence, by iteration,

$$(2.11) \quad \Pi(\rho^k) = \Pi(\rho), \quad \text{for all } k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Since  $\Pi(s)$  is analytic at  $s=0$ , equation (2.11) implies that  $\Pi(s)=\text{constant}$ . Therefore,

$$(2.12) \quad \phi(s) = (1 + cs)^{-1},$$

where  $c \geq 0$ . Hence the distribution function, of which  $\phi(s)$  is the Laplace transform, is either degenerate ( $c=0$ ) or a negative exponential ( $c>0$ ).

Finally, if  $\phi(s)$  is the Laplace transform of the negative exponential law  $G(\lambda, 1)$ , then  $\phi^s(s)$  is the Laplace transform of the gamma distribution law  $G(\lambda, \beta)$ . Thus, theorem 2.2 proves (1.3).

### 3. Extensions and applications of mixing identities

In the present section we derive from mixing identity (1.1) further mixing identities, which relate  $\chi^2$ -,  $F$ - and  $\beta$ -distributions, and indicate few possible applications of these identities.

As is well known, a  $\chi^2$  random variable with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom is related to a gamma random variable  $G(\lambda, p)$  according to the relationship,

$$G(\lambda, p) \sim \frac{1}{2\lambda} \chi^2[2p].$$

Thus, identity (1.1) yields the following mixing identity of  $\chi^2$  random variables:

$$(3.1) \quad (1 + \phi) \chi^2[\nu] \sim \chi^2[\nu + 2M], \quad 0 < \phi < \infty,$$

$\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $M$  is a negative binomial, with density

$$(3.2) \quad P_{\nu, \phi}[M=m] = \frac{(-1)^m}{(1+\phi)^{\nu/2}} \binom{-\nu/2}{m} \left( \frac{\phi}{1+\phi} \right)^m, \quad m=0, 1, \dots$$

Relationship (3.1) yields immediately the expected value and variance of a negative binomial random variable  $M$ , having a probability density (3.2). Indeed from (3.1),

$$(3.3) \quad (1 + \phi) E\{\chi^2[\nu]\} = E\{\chi^2[\nu + 2M]\} = E\{\nu + 2M\}.$$

Since  $E\{\chi^2[\nu]\} = \nu$ , we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad E_{\nu, \phi}\{M\} = \frac{\nu}{2} \phi, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, \quad 0 < \phi < \infty.$$

Similarly, from (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad (1+\phi)^2 \text{Var} \{\chi^2[\nu]\} \\
 &= \text{Var} \{\chi^2[\nu+2M]\} \\
 &= E_{\nu, \phi} \{\text{Var} \{\chi^2[\nu+2M] \mid M\}\} + \text{Var}_{\nu, \phi} \{E\{\chi^2[\nu+2M] \mid M\}\} .
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.4), (3.5) and  $\text{Var} \{\chi^2[\nu]\}=2$ , we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Var}_{\nu, \phi}\{M\} = \frac{\nu}{2} \phi(1+\phi), \quad \nu=1, 2, \dots, \quad 0 < \phi < \infty.$$

A straightforward computation of the first two moments of  $M$ , without a use of identity (3.1) is considerably more complicated.

Division of  $(1+\phi)\chi^2[\nu]$  by an independent  $\chi^2[s]/s$ , yields according to (3.1) to following mixing identity for  $F$ -distributions,

$$(3.7) \quad (1+\phi)\nu F[\nu, s] \sim (\nu+2M)F[\nu+2M, s],$$

for every  $\nu=1, 2, \dots$  and  $s=1, 2, \dots$ ; where  $M$  has the density (3.2). Since

$$E\{F^2[\nu, s]\} = \frac{(\nu+2)s^2}{\nu(s-2)(s-4)}, \quad s > 4,$$

identity (3.7) implies

$$(3.8) \quad E_{\nu, \phi}\{(\nu+2M)(\nu+2M+2)\} = (1+\phi)^2\nu(\nu+2),$$

for all  $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ , and  $0 < \phi < \infty$ . This is another application of a mixing identity, which can yield the second moment of  $M$ . However, expression (3.8) is more useful for the derivation of the expectation of  $(\nu+2M-2)^{-1}(\nu+2M-4)^{-1}$ , when  $\nu > 4$ . We start, however, with the derivation of a double mixture identity, which yields the expectation of  $(\nu+2M-2)^{-1}$ , for all  $\nu > 2$ . Dividing  $(1+\phi)\chi^2[\nu]$  by an independent  $(1+\phi)\chi^2[\nu]$ , we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad F[\nu, \nu] \sim \frac{\nu+2M_1}{\nu+2M_2} F[\nu+2M_1, \nu+2M_2],$$

where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are independent negative binomial random variables, identically distributed like (3.2). Hence, for every  $\nu > 2$ , the expectation of (3.9) yields

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\nu}{\nu-2} = E_{\nu, \phi} \left\{ \frac{\nu+2M_1}{\nu+2M_2-2} \right\}, \quad \nu > 2, \quad 0 < \phi < \infty.$$

Since  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are independent, we obtain from (3.4) and (3.10),

$$(3.11) \quad E_{\nu, \phi}\{(\nu-2+2M)^{-1}\} = \frac{1}{(1+\phi)(\nu-2)}, \quad \nu > 2, \quad 0 < \phi < \infty.$$

This result proves that for all  $\nu > 2$ ,  $(\nu-2)/(\nu-2+2M)$  is an unbiased

estimator (when  $\nu$  is known) of  $\rho=(1+\phi)^{-1}$ , where  $M$  is a negative binomial with density,

$$P[M=m] = \binom{-\nu/2}{m} \rho^{\nu/2} (\rho-1)^m, \quad m=0, 1, \dots, \quad 0 < \rho < 1.$$

To obtain the expectation of  $(\nu-2+2M)^{-1}(\nu-4+2M)^{-1}$ , for all  $\nu > 4$ , we consider the second moment of  $F[\nu, \nu]$ . From (3.9) we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad E_{\nu, \phi} \left\{ \frac{(\nu+2M_1)(\nu+2M_1+2)}{(\nu-2-2M_2)(\nu-4-2M_2)} \right\} = \frac{\nu(\nu+2)}{(\nu-2)(\nu-4)}.$$

The independence of  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and formula (3.8) imply, for all  $\nu > 4$ ,  $0 < \phi < \infty$ ,

$$(3.13) \quad E_{\nu, \phi} \{ (\nu-2+2M)^{-1}(\nu-4+2M)^{-1} \} = \frac{1}{(1+\phi)^2(\nu-2)(\nu-4)}.$$

Mixing identity (3.9) cannot be applied for the derivation of  $E\{(1+2M)^{-1}\}$  when  $\nu=1$ . It is interesting to note that straightforward but somewhat novel derivations yield for  $\nu=1$ ,

$$(3.14) \quad E_{\phi} \{ (1+2M)^{-1} \} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi}} \sin^{-1} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{1+\phi}} \right), \quad 0 < \phi < \infty.$$

For  $\nu=3$  the result is simpler, and is given by (3.11), namely,  $E_{3, \phi} \{ (1+2M)^{-1} \} = (1+\phi)^{-1}$ .

We conclude the present section with another example of a useful application of a mixing identity derivable from (1.1). It is well known that if  $G_1(\lambda, p)$  and  $G_2(\lambda, q)$  are two independent gamma variables, having the same scale parameter  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda < \infty$ , then

$$\frac{G_1(\lambda, p)}{G_1(\lambda, p) + G_2(\lambda, q)} \sim \beta(p, q),$$

where  $\beta(p, q)$  designates a beta random variable with expectation  $p/(p+q)$ . We thus obtain, from relationship (1.1), the following mixing identity:

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1} \rho F[\nu_2, \nu_1]} \sim \beta \left( \frac{1}{2} \nu_1 + M, \frac{1}{2} \nu_2 \right), \quad 0 < \rho < 1,$$

where  $M$  has the negative binomial distribution (1.2). In particular, for  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$  one obtain

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{1}{1 + \rho F[\nu, \nu]} \sim \beta \left( \frac{1}{2} \nu + M, \frac{1}{2} \nu \right), \quad 0 < \rho < 1.$$

This relationship (3.16) can be utilized in the following problem; Consider two random samples  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  from normal distributions  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma_2^2)$ , respectively having a common mean  $\mu$ ,  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$ , and an unknown variance ratio,  $\rho = \sigma_2^2/\sigma_1^2$ . A common estimator of  $\mu$  (see Zacks [5]) is

$$(3.17) \quad \hat{\mu} = \bar{X}_n \frac{S_2/S_1}{1+S_2/S_1} + \bar{Y}_n \frac{1}{1+S_2/S_1},$$

where  $\bar{X}_n$  and  $\bar{Y}_n$  are the respective sample means, and  $S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$ ,  $S_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)^2$ . The efficiency of this unbiased estimator, relative to that of the best unbiased estimator when  $\rho$  is known, is given by

$$(3.18) \quad \text{eff.}(\hat{\mu} | \rho) = \frac{1}{(1+\rho) E \left\{ \frac{1+\rho F^2[n-1, n-1]}{(1+\rho F[n-1, n-1])^2} \right\}}.$$

The computation of the expectation in the denominator of (3.18) is a very tedious task whenever  $n > 3$ . For  $n=3$  one can show that

$$(3.19) \quad E \left\{ \frac{1+\rho F^2[2, 2]}{(1+\rho F[2, 2])^2} \right\} = \frac{2(\rho^2-1)-4\rho \log \rho}{(\rho-1)^3}.$$

Very tedious computations yield, for  $n=5$ ,

$$(3.20) \quad E \left\{ \frac{1+\rho F^2[4, 4]}{(1+\rho F[4, 4])^2} \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{(\rho-1)^5} [1-26\rho+26\rho^3-\rho^4-6\rho \log \rho - 36\rho^2 \log \rho - 6\rho^3 \log \rho].$$

To obtain a closed formula for the expectation in the denominator of (3.18) when  $n > 5$  is a very difficult task, which becomes impractical as  $n$  grows. Numerical integration is always a possible solution. We utilize here the mixing identity (3.16), for  $\nu = n-1$ , to give this expectation in a power-series form. From (3.16) we obtain for every  $0 < \rho < 1$

$$(3.21) \quad E_\rho \{ (1+\rho F[\nu, \nu])^{-2} \} = E_{\nu, \rho} \left\{ \beta^2 \left( \frac{\nu}{2} + M, \frac{\nu}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$(3.22) \quad E \{ \beta^2(p, q) \} = \frac{(p+1)p}{(p+1+q)(p+q)}.$$

Hence, from (3.21) and (3.22), for every  $0 < \rho < 1$ ,

$$(3.23) \quad E_{\rho}\{(1+\rho F[\nu, \nu])^{-2}\} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\nu+2m)(\nu+2+2m)}{(\nu+m)(\nu+1+m)} \binom{-\nu/2}{m} \rho^{\nu/2} (\rho-1)^m.$$

Furthermore, for every  $0 < \rho < 1$ ,

$$(3.24) \quad E_{\rho} \left\{ \frac{\rho F^2[\nu, \nu]}{(1+\rho F[\nu, \nu])^2} \right\} = \frac{1}{\rho} E_{\nu, \rho} \left\{ \left( 1 - \beta \left( \frac{\nu}{2} + M, \frac{\nu}{2} \right) \right)^2 \right\}.$$

Indeed,

$$\frac{\rho^2 F^2[\nu, \nu]}{(1+\rho F[\nu, \nu])^2} \sim \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1+\rho F[\nu, \nu]} \right)^2 \sim \left( 1 - \beta \left( \frac{\nu}{2} + M, \frac{\nu}{2} \right) \right)^2.$$

Thus,

$$(3.25) \quad E_{\rho} \left\{ \frac{\rho F^2[\nu, \nu]}{(1+\rho F[\nu, \nu])^2} \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{\rho} \left[ 1 - E_{\nu, \rho} \frac{\nu+2M}{\nu+M} + \frac{1}{4} E_{\nu, \rho} \left\{ \frac{(\nu+2M)(\nu+2+2M)}{(\nu+M)(\nu+1+M)} \right\} \right].$$

Combining (3.23) and (3.25), we obtain, for all  $0 < \rho < 1$ ,

$$(3.26) \quad E_{\rho} \left\{ \frac{1+\rho F^2[\nu, \nu]}{(1+\rho F[\nu, \nu])^2} \right\} = \frac{1}{\rho} \left[ 1 + \frac{\rho+1}{4} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nu+2m}{\nu+m} \cdot \left( \frac{\nu+2+2m}{\nu+1+m} - \frac{4}{\rho+1} \right) \binom{-\nu/2}{m} \rho^{\nu/2} (\rho-1)^m \right].$$

#### 4. An example from linear models

Consider a model II in ANOVA, namely,

$$(4.1) \quad X_i = a + e_i \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$$

where  $e_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ , for all  $i=1, \dots, n$ , independently of  $a$ ; and  $a \sim N(\alpha, \tau^2)$ .

For testing the hypotheses;

$$(4.2) \quad H_0: \alpha = 0$$

versus

$$(4.3) \quad H_1: \alpha \neq 0,$$

consider the test statistic;

$$(4.3) \quad F = \frac{n\bar{X}^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2},$$



where  $\bar{X}$  is the sample mean,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is the sample variance,  $\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ . To exhibit identity (3.2) with  $\nu=1$  and  $s=n-1$  consider the distribution of  $F$ , under  $H_0$ . The conditional distribution law of  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ , given  $a$ , is

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\sigma}^2 | a) = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n-1} \chi^2[n-1]\right) \quad \text{independently of } a.$$

Hence,  $\bar{X}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  are independent. Moreover,  $\mathcal{L}(\bar{X} | a) = \mathcal{N}\left(a, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{L}(n\bar{X}^2 | a) = \mathcal{L}\left(\sigma^2 \chi^2\left[1; \frac{a^2 n}{2\sigma^2}\right]\right)$ . The conditional distribution of  $F$ , given  $a$ , is therefore like that of the non-central  $F[1, n-1; \lambda]$ , where  $\lambda = \frac{a^2 n}{2\sigma^2}$ . According to the assumption of the model,  $\lambda \sim \frac{\phi}{2} \chi^2[1]$ , where  $\phi = \frac{n\tau^2}{\sigma^2}$ . Thus, since for a given  $\lambda$  the conditional distribution of the non-central  $\chi^2[1; \lambda]$  is the mixture  $\chi^2[1+2M]$ , where  $M$  is a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\lambda$  (see Graybill [6] p. 76), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad P[M=m] &= \frac{1}{m!} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda} \lambda^m dP\left[\frac{\phi}{2} \chi^2[1] \leq \lambda\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\phi}} \frac{\binom{2m}{m}}{4^m} \left(\frac{\phi}{1+\phi}\right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m}{(1+\phi)^{1/2}} \binom{-1/2}{m} \left(\frac{\phi}{1+\phi}\right)^m. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, under  $H_0$ ,

$$(4.5) \quad P[F \leq x] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\phi}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{2m}{m}}{4^m} \cdot \left(\frac{\phi}{1+\phi}\right)^m F\left(\frac{x}{1+2m} \mid 1+2m, n-1\right).$$

On the other hand, since  $\text{Var}\{\bar{X}\} = \tau^2 + \sigma^2/n$ , the distribution of  $n\bar{X}^2$  under  $H_0$  is like that of  $(m\tau^2 + \sigma^2) \chi^2[1] = \sigma^2(1+\phi) \chi^2[1]$ . Hence,  $F = \frac{n\bar{X}^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim (1+\phi)F[1, n-1]$ . Therefore,

$$(4.6) \quad P[F \leq x] = F\left(\frac{x}{1+\phi} \mid 1, n-1\right).$$

The comparison of (4.5) with (4.6) yields the mixing identity (3.7) with

the special values of  $\nu=1$  and  $s=n-1$ .

PURDUE UNIVERSITY  
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Gurland, "Some interrelations among compound and generalized distributions," *Biometrika*, 44 (1957), 265-268.
- [2] J. Gurland, "A generalized class of contagious distributions," *Biometrics*, 14 (1958), 229-249.
- [3] H. Robbins and E. J. G. Pitman, "Application of the method of mixtures to quadratic forms in normal variates," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 20 (1949), 552-560.
- [4] H. Teicher, "On the mixtures of distributions," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 31 (1960), 55-73.
- [5] S. Zacks, "Unbiased estimation of the common mean of two normal distributions based on small samples of equal size," *J. Amer. Statist. Ass.*, 61 (1966), 467-476.
- [6] F. A. Graybill, *An Introduction to Linear Statistical Models*, I, McGraw Hill, New York, 1961.