

A BAYESIAN HYPOTHESIS-DECISION PROCEDURE¹⁾

JAMES M. DICKEY

(Received June 25, 1966)

1. Introduction

Given the distribution (prior or posterior) of an unknown vector θ and a positive-definite quadratic loss function l ,

$$(1) \quad l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = (\theta - \hat{\theta})' L (\theta - \hat{\theta}),$$

the optimum estimate $\hat{\theta}$ of θ is, as is well known, $E\theta$. For

$$(2) \quad E l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \text{tr}(LV) + (E\theta - \hat{\theta})' L (E\theta - \hat{\theta}),$$

where $V = E(\theta - E\theta)(\theta - E\theta)'$. A decision procedure, based on $E\theta$, is here presented for linear-hypothesis problems in a certain point-estimation context. The method offers the convenience of using moments, which are generally more available than probabilities of events, especially with multidimensional distributions.

2. The decision rule

Suppose a person is contemplating whether to assert that the p -vector θ lies effectively in a certain r -dimensional linear manifold S ($r < p$). So to assert is here interpreted as constraining an estimate $\hat{\theta}$ to lie in S . The problem of whether to make the assertion and what estimate to make in either event can be expressed organically as that of minimizing the expectation of a possibly negative loss function of the form,

$$(3) \quad l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) - U_s \cdot S(\hat{\theta}),$$

where $S(\cdot)$ is the indicator function for the linear manifold S , U_s is the utility of declaring that θ lies effectively in S ; it is the conceptual and practical advantage of the simplified model. Under the Bayes decision rule for the loss function (3), one declares that θ lies effectively in S if the difference between the minimum, with $\hat{\theta}$ in S , of the ex-

¹⁾ This research was supported by the Army, Navy, Air Force, and NASA under contracts administered by the Office of Naval Research.

pectation of $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ and the unconstrained minimum does not exceed U_S .

We assume that $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ is given by equation (1), and that the coordinates of θ are so chosen that S contains the origin 0 . Hence, θ can be written uniquely as

$$(4) \quad \theta = \theta_0 + \theta_1,$$

where θ_0 lies in S and $\theta_1' L \zeta = 0$ for all ζ in S . (Matrix operators are, of course, available to obtain the projection θ_0 from θ). Similarly, write $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_0 + \hat{\theta}_1$. Then we have

$$(5) \quad l(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = L((\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_0)) + L((\theta_1 - \hat{\theta}_1)),$$

introducing the notation $L(\zeta)$ for the quadratic form $\zeta' L \zeta$.

The expectation of $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ is minimized when the expectations of both terms on the right-hand side of (5) are minimized. Let η be the expectation of θ and write as in (4), $\eta = \eta_0 + \eta_1$. The unconstrained minimum of the expectation of $l(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ is attained at $\hat{\theta} = \eta$,

$$El(\eta, \theta) = EL((\theta_0 - \eta_0)) + EL((\theta_1 - \eta_1));$$

and the constrained minimum is attained at $\hat{\theta} = \eta_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} El(\eta_0, \theta) &= EL((\theta_0 - \eta_0)) + EL((\theta_1)) \\ &= El(\eta, \theta) + L((\eta_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus one finds oneself comparing $L((\eta_1))$ with U_S . Although the covariance structure of θ is useful to determine the actual expectation of the loss, the expectation of θ is the only feature of its distribution formally utilized by the decision rule.

Anscombe [1] has studied many-decision procedures in factor-screening experiments with what in two-decision problems is essentially the loss function, for quadratic l ,

$$[l(\hat{\theta}_1, \theta_1) - U_S] \cdot S(\hat{\theta}).$$

The formal decision rule with this loss function is to compare $El(0, \theta_1)$ with U_S .

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to L. J. Savage and B. M. Hill for some stimulating discussions.

YALE UNIVERSITY*)

*) Now at the University of Southern California, School of Medicine.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. J. Anscombe, "Bayesian inference concerning many parameters with reference to supersaturated designs," *Bull. Int. Statist. Inst.*, 40 (1963), 721-733.
- [2] H. Raiffa and R. Schlaifer, *Applied Statistical Decision Theory*, Harvard University Press, Boston, 1961.
- [3] L. J. Savage, "Subjective probability and statistical practice," *The Foundations of Statistical Inference* (Savage and other contributors), John Wiley New York, (1962), 9-35.