

ON THE NULL-DISTRIBUTION OF THE F -STATISTICS FOR TESTING A 'PARTIAL' NULL-HYPOTHESIS IN A RANDOMIZED PARTIALLY BALANCED INCOMPLETE BLOCK DESIGN WITH m ASSOCIATE CLASSES UNDER THE NEYMAN MODEL

JUNJIRO OGAWA, SADA O IKEDA AND MOTOYASU OGASAWARA

(Received Oct. 18, 1966)

0. Summary and Introduction

In a previous paper [1], it has been shown that for a partially balanced incomplete block design with two associate classes the null-distribution of the F -statistic under the 'total' null-hypothesis (i.e., treatment-effects being all equal to zero) can be approximated by the familiar central F -distribution even under the Neyman model (i.e., an intra-block analysis model with both the unit errors and the technical errors), if it is randomized. As was announced in that paper, the approximate distributions of the F -statistic under the 'partial' null-hypothesis have been left to further discussion. In the present article, the authors are concerned with this problem.

They set forth the problem for a partially balanced incomplete block design with m associate classes and consider the null-distribution of the F -statistic for testing a 'partial' null-hypothesis, so that it includes the 'total' null-hypothesis as a special case and they reached the conclusion that the null-distribution of the F -statistic can be approximated, after the randomization, by a certain central F -distribution with appropriate degrees of freedom, if certain uniformity conditions are imposed on the unit errors and the number b of the blocks is sufficiently large.

In section 1 the spectral decomposition of the matrix NN' , where N being the incidence matrix of the design under consideration, is given and this is useful for the later discussions.

The null-distribution of the F -statistic for testing a partial null-hypothesis before the randomization under the Neyman model is presented in section 2, and this turns out to be a non-central F -distribution whose non-centrality parameter depends upon the quantities $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\theta}$ both being the quadratic forms of the unit errors.

In section 3, the means, variances and the covariance of $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\theta}$ with respect to the permutation due to the randomization are calculated, and in section 4, it is shown that the permutation distribution of $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta})$ can be approximated by a certain two-dimensional continuous distribution, if the number of the blocks is sufficiently large and certain uniformity conditions on the within-block variances of the unit errors are satisfied.

Finally in section 5, it is shown that the null-distribution of the F -statistic after the randomization can be approximated by a central F -distribution with appropriate degrees of freedom, provided the two conditions mentioned above are satisfied.

1. Spectral decomposition of the matrix NN'

We shall be concerned with a partially balanced incomplete block design with m associate classes which has v treatments with the association, b blocks of size k each, r replications of each treatment, and the number of incidence of any pair of treatments λ_u if they are u th associates.

As for the definition of a partially balanced incomplete block design with m associate classes and related notations, references should be made to [2] and [3].

Let the association matrices be $A_0 = I_v, A_1, \dots, A_m$ and let their regular representations be $\mathcal{P}_0 = I_m, \mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_m$ respectively, where

$$\mathcal{P}_u = \begin{bmatrix} p_{0u}^0 & p_{0u}^1 & \cdots & p_{0u}^m \\ p_{1u}^0 & p_{1u}^1 & \cdots & p_{1u}^m \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ p_{mu}^0 & p_{mu}^1 & \cdots & p_{mu}^m \end{bmatrix}, \quad u=0, 1, \dots, m.$$

Let the characteristic roots of \mathcal{P}_u be $z_{0u} = n_u, z_{1u}, \dots, z_{mu}$, then there exists a non-singular matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c_{00} & c_{01} & \cdots & c_{0m} \\ c_{10} & c_{11} & \cdots & c_{1m} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ c_{m0} & c_{m1} & \cdots & c_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

$$(1.1) \quad C\mathcal{P}_u C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} z_{0u} & & & 0 \\ & z_{1u} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & z_{mu} \end{bmatrix}, \quad u=0, 1, \dots, m$$

simultaneously. It should be noted that

$$n_u > z_{iu}, \quad i=1, \dots, m; \quad u=1, \dots, m,$$

and by the relations

$$\sum_{k=0}^m p_{uu}^k z_{ik} = z_{iu} z_{iu'},$$

one may put

$$(1.2) \quad c_{ui} = z_{ui}/n_i, \quad i, u=0, 1, \dots, m.$$

$m+1$ orthogonal idempotents of the association algebra generated by the association matrices over the field of all real numbers are given by

$$(1.3) \quad A_u^\dagger = (\sum_{i=0}^m c_{ui} z_{ui})^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^m c_{ui} A_i, \quad u=0, 1, \dots, m$$

with respective ranks $\alpha_0=1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$. By taking the trace of the matrix $A_u^\dagger A_{u'}^\dagger$, we get the relations

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{z_{ui} z_{u'i}}{n_i} = \delta_{uu'} \frac{v}{\alpha_u}, \quad u, u'=0, 1, \dots, m,$$

where $\delta_{uu'}$ denotes the Kronecker delta. It is also noted that

$$(1.5) \quad A_0^\dagger = \frac{1}{v} G_v \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{u=0}^m A_u^\dagger = I_v.$$

If we denote the incidence matrix of the design under consideration by N , then the spectral decomposition of the matrix NN' is given by

$$(1.6) \quad NN' = \sum_{u=0}^m \rho_u A_u^\dagger,$$

where $\rho_0=rk$, ρ_1, \dots, ρ_m are the characteristic roots of NN' with multiplicities $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ respectively and are given by

$$(1.7) \quad \rho_u = \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_i z_{ui}, \quad u=0, 1, \dots, m,$$

where we have put $\lambda_0=r$.

2. The null-distribution of the F -statistic before the randomization for testing a partial null-hypothesis under the Neyman model

As for the notations being used in this section, references should be made to [2] and [3].

Let the incidence matrices of treatments and blocks be Φ and Ψ respectively, then the Neyman model assuming no interaction between the treatment and the block is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{x} = \gamma \mathbf{I} + \Phi \boldsymbol{\tau} + \Psi \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\pi} + \mathbf{e},$$

where $\mathbf{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the observation vector, $\boldsymbol{\tau}' = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_v)$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}' = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_b)$ are treatment-effects and block-effects being subjected to the restrictions

$$\tau_1 + \dots + \tau_v = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_b = 0$$

respectively, and $\boldsymbol{\pi}' = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ stands for the unit errors being subjected to the restriction

$$\Psi' \boldsymbol{\pi} = 0.$$

Finally, $\mathbf{e}' = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ is the technical error vector being distributed as $N(\mathbf{0}', \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$.

Sums of squares due to treatments adjusted and errors are given by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} S_t^2 &= \mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{V}_1^\dagger + \dots + \mathbf{V}_m^\dagger)\mathbf{x}, \\ S_e^2 &= \mathbf{x}'\left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{V}_1^\dagger - \dots - \mathbf{V}_m^\dagger\right)\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{V}_u^\dagger = c_u \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{B}\right) \mathbf{T}_u^\dagger \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{k}\mathbf{B}\right), \quad u = 1, \dots, m$$

with

$$\mathbf{T}_u^\dagger = \Phi \mathbf{A}_u^\dagger \Phi', \quad \mathbf{B} = \Psi \Psi'$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad c_u = \frac{k}{rk - \rho_u}, \quad u = 1, \dots, m.$$

Now, we are interested in testing a partial null-hypothesis that some of the hypotheses $\mathbf{A}_u^\dagger \boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{0}$, $u = 1, \dots, m$ are true. We can take, without any loss of generality, the null-hypothesis

$$(2.5) \quad H_{0(h)}: \mathbf{A}_u^\dagger \boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{0}, \quad u = 1, \dots, h,$$

where h is a positive integer not greater than m . Clearly, this hypothesis is equivalent to $\sum_{u=1}^h \mathbf{A}_u^\dagger \boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{0}$, and when $h = m$ this reduces to the total null-hypothesis $H_0: \boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{0}$.

To test the null-hypothesis $H_{0(h)}$, we consider the partial sum of squares

$$(2.6) \quad S_{t(h)}^2 = \mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{V}_1^\dagger + \dots + \mathbf{V}_h^\dagger)\mathbf{x}$$

instead of S_i^2 given by (2.2). Then it follows from (1.5), (2.1) and (2.3), that

$$(2.7) \quad S_{i(h)}^2 = \pi' \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) \Phi(c_1 A_1^\dagger + \dots + c_h A_h^\dagger) \Phi' \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) \pi \\ + 2\pi' \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) \Phi(c_1 A_1^\dagger + \dots + c_h A_h^\dagger) \Phi' \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) e \\ + e' \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) \Phi(c_1 A_1^\dagger + \dots + c_h A_h^\dagger) \Phi' \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) e,$$

provided the null-hypothesis $H_{0(h)}$ is true.

Hence the null-distribution of the variate

$$\chi_1^2 = S_{i(h)}^2 / \sigma^2$$

before the randomization is the non-central chi-square distribution of the degrees of freedom

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_h$$

with the non-centrality parameter

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{K}_1 = \pi' \Phi(c_1 A_1^\dagger + \dots + c_h A_h^\dagger) \Phi' \pi / \sigma^2.$$

Whence its probability element is given by

$$(2.10) \quad \exp \left(-\frac{\bar{K}_1}{2} \right) \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(K_1/2)^\mu}{\mu!} \frac{(\chi_1^2/2)^{\bar{\alpha}/2+\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha}/2+\mu)} \exp \left(-\frac{\chi_1^2}{2} \right) d \left(\frac{\chi_1^2}{2} \right).$$

The sum of squares due to error, S_e^2 , given by (2.2), becomes

$$(2.11) \quad S_e^2 = \pi' \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) [I - \Phi(c_1 A_1^\dagger + \dots + c_m A_m^\dagger) \Phi'] \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) \pi \\ + 2\pi' \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) [I - \Phi(c_1 A_1^\dagger + \dots + c_m A_m^\dagger) \Phi'] \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) e \\ + e' \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) [I - \Phi(c_1 A_1^\dagger + \dots + c_m A_m^\dagger) \Phi'] \left(I - \frac{1}{k} B \right) e$$

independently of the null-hypothesis.

The distribution of the variate

$$\chi_2^2 = S_e^2 / \sigma^2$$

before the randomization is the non-central chi-square distribution of degrees of freedom $n-b-v+1$ with the non-centrality parameter

$$(2.12) \quad K_2 = \pi [I - \Phi(c_1 A_1^\dagger + \dots + c_m A_m^\dagger) \Phi'] \pi / \sigma^2 \\ = A / \sigma^2 - \bar{K}_1 - \bar{\bar{K}}_1,$$

where $\Delta = \pi' \pi$ and

$$(2.13) \quad \bar{K}_1 = \pi' \Phi (c_{h+1} A_{h+1}^* + \cdots + c_m A_m^*) \Phi \pi / \sigma^2.$$

The probability element of the variate χ_2^2 is given by

$$(2.14) \quad \exp\left(-\frac{K_2}{2}\right) \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(K_2/2)^\nu}{\nu!} \frac{(\chi_2^2/2)^{(n-b-v+1)/2+\nu-1}}{\Gamma((n-b-v+1)/2+\nu)} \exp\left(-\frac{\chi_2^2}{2}\right) d\left(\frac{\chi_2^2}{2}\right).$$

Since χ_1^2 and χ_2^2 are mutually independent in the stochastic sense, the null-distribution of the F -statistic

$$(2.15) \quad F = \frac{n-b-v+1}{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{S_{(h)}^2}{S_e^2}$$

before the randomization is the non-central F -distribution, whose probability element is given by

$$(2.16) \quad \exp(-(\bar{K}_1 + K_2)/2) \sum_{\mu, \nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\bar{K}_1/2)^\mu (K_2/2)^\nu}{\mu! \nu!} \frac{\Gamma((n-b-\bar{\alpha})/2+\mu+\nu)}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha}/2+\mu) \Gamma((n-b-v+1)/2+\nu)} \\ \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F\right)^{\bar{\alpha}/2+\mu-1} \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F\right)^{-(n-b-\bar{\alpha})/2-\mu-\nu} \\ \cdot d\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F\right),$$

where

$$(2.17) \quad \bar{\alpha} = \alpha_{h+1} + \cdots + \alpha_m.$$

If we put

$$(2.18) \quad \bar{\theta} = \Delta^{-1} \pi' \Phi (c_1 A_1^* + \cdots + c_h A_h^*) \Phi' \pi,$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad \bar{\theta} = \Delta^{-1} \pi' \Phi (c_{h+1} A_{h+1}^* + \cdots + c_m A_m^*) \Phi' \pi,$$

then the probability element given by (2.16) may be rewritten as

$$(2.20) \quad \exp(-\Delta/2\sigma^2) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta/2\sigma^2)^l}{l!} \sum_{\mu+\nu+\gamma=l} \frac{l!}{\mu! \nu! \gamma!} \bar{\theta}^\mu \bar{\theta}'^\nu (1 - \bar{\theta} - \bar{\theta}')^\gamma \\ \cdot \frac{\Gamma((n-b-\bar{\alpha})/2+\mu+\nu)}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha}/2+\mu) \Gamma((n-b-v+1)/2+\nu)} \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F\right)^{\bar{\alpha}/2+\mu-1} \\ \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F\right)^{-(n-b-\bar{\alpha})/2-\mu-\nu} d\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F\right).$$

The null-distribution of the F -statistic after the randomization should be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.21) \quad & \frac{\Gamma((n-b-\bar{\alpha})/2)}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha}/2)((n-b-v+1)/2)} \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F \right)^{\bar{\alpha}/2-1} \\
 & \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F \right)^{-(n-b-\bar{\alpha})/2} d \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F \right) \\
 & \cdot \exp(-d/2\sigma^2) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(d/2\sigma^2)^l}{l!} \sum_{\mu+\nu+\gamma=l} \frac{l!}{\mu!\nu!\gamma!} \mathcal{E}[\bar{\theta}^{\mu}\bar{\bar{\theta}}^{\nu}(1-\bar{\theta}-\bar{\bar{\theta}})^{\gamma}] \\
 & \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F \right)^{-(\mu+\nu)} \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F \right)^{\mu} \\
 & \cdot \frac{\Gamma((n-b-\bar{\alpha})/2+\mu+\nu)\Gamma(\bar{\alpha}/2)\Gamma((n-b-v+1)/2)}{\Gamma(\bar{\alpha}/2+\mu)((n-b-v+1)/2+\nu)((n-b-\bar{\alpha})/2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the operator \mathcal{E} stands for the expectation with respect to the permutation distribution of $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\bar{\theta}})$ due to randomization. Thus our task has been reduced to the calculations of the expected value $\mathcal{E}[\bar{\theta}^{\mu}\bar{\bar{\theta}}^{\nu}(1-\bar{\theta}-\bar{\bar{\theta}})^{\gamma}]$ for $\mu+\nu+\gamma=l$.

3. The calculations of the means and variances of the quantities $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\bar{\theta}}$ with respect to the permutation distribution due to the randomization

3.1. Necessary notations

Let us put

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad & \bar{T}^{\sharp} = c_1 T_1^{\sharp} + \cdots + c_h T_h^{\sharp} = \Phi(c_1 A_1^{\sharp} + \cdots + c_h A_h^{\sharp}) \Phi', \\
 & \bar{\bar{T}}^{\sharp} = c_{h+1} T_{h+1}^{\sharp} + \cdots + c_m T_m^{\sharp} = \Phi(c_{h+1} A_{h+1}^{\sharp} + \cdots + c_m A_m^{\sharp}) \Phi',
 \end{aligned}$$

then, by (1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad & \bar{T}^{\sharp} = \bar{\mu}_0 T_0 + \bar{\mu}_1 T_1 + \cdots + \bar{\mu}_m T_m, \\
 & \bar{\bar{T}}^{\sharp} = \bar{\bar{\mu}}_0 T_0 + \bar{\bar{\mu}}_1 T_1 + \cdots + \bar{\bar{\mu}}_m T_m,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\mu}_u = \sum_{i=1}^h \mu_{iu} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\bar{\mu}}_u = \sum_{i=h+1}^m \mu_{iu}, \quad u=0, 1, \dots, m,$$

with

$$\mu_{iu} = \alpha_i c_i z_{iu} / (v n_i), \quad i=1, \dots, m, \quad u=0, 1, \dots, m.$$

Numbering the whole units from 1 through n in such a way the i th unit in the p th block bears the number $f=(p-1)k+i$, let us put

$$\mathbf{T}_u = \| \mathbf{T}_{pq}^{(u)} \|_{(p, q=1, \dots, b)}, \quad \mathbf{T}_{pq}^{(u)} = \| t_{ij}^{(u)pq} \| \quad (i, j=1, \dots, k),$$

where

$$t_{ij}^{(u)pq} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } f=(p-1)k+i \text{ th and } f'=(q-1)k+j \text{ th} \\ & \text{units receive treatments which are } u \text{ th asso-} \\ & \text{ciates,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly

$$t_{ij}^{(u)pq} = t_{ji}^{(u)pq}, \quad t_{ij}^{(0)pp} = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad t_{ij}^{(0)pq} + t_{ij}^{(1)pq} + \dots + t_{ij}^{(m)pq} = 1.$$

If we put

$$\bar{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{t}} = \| \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{pq}^{\mathbf{t}} \|, \quad \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{pq}^{\mathbf{t}} = \| \bar{t}_{ij}^{\mathbf{t}pq} \|\$$

and

$$\bar{\bar{\mathbf{T}}}^{\mathbf{t}} = \| \bar{\bar{\mathbf{T}}}_{pq}^{\mathbf{t}} \|, \quad \bar{\bar{\mathbf{T}}}_{pq}^{\mathbf{t}} = \| \bar{\bar{t}}_{ij}^{\mathbf{t}pq} \|,$$

then

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{t}_{ij}^{\mathbf{t}pq} &= \bar{\mu}_0 t_{ij}^{(0)pq} + \bar{\mu}_1 t_{ij}^{(1)pq} + \dots + \bar{\mu}_m t_{ij}^{(m)pq}, \\ \bar{\bar{t}}_{ij}^{\mathbf{t}pq} &= \bar{\bar{\mu}}_0 t_{ij}^{(0)pq} + \bar{\bar{\mu}}_1 t_{ij}^{(1)pq} + \dots + \bar{\bar{\mu}}_m t_{ij}^{(m)pq}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us put $\pi_f = \pi_i^{(p)}$ if $f=(p-1)k+i$,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(p)'} &= (\pi_1^{(p)}, \dots, \pi_k^{(p)}), \\ \mathbf{A}_p &= \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(p)'} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(p)}, \\ \Gamma_p &= \sum_{i=1}^k \pi_i^{(p)^4}, \quad p=1, \dots, b. \end{aligned}$$

Other notations which are necessary for the calculations in this section are listed in the following for the case when $k \geq 4$.

- (i) $\lambda_{pp}^{(1)\alpha\alpha} = \sum_{i \neq j} t_{ij}^{(\alpha)pp}, \quad \alpha=0, 1, \dots, m,$
- (ii) $\lambda_{pp}^{(2)\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i \neq j \neq l} t_{ij}^{(\alpha)pp} t_{il}^{(\beta)pp}, \quad \alpha, \beta=0, 1, \dots, m,$
- (iii) $\lambda_{pp}^{(3)\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i \neq j \neq l \neq s} t_{ij}^{(\alpha)pp} t_{ls}^{(\beta)pp}, \quad \alpha, \beta=0, 1, \dots, m,$
- (iv) $\lambda_{pq}^{(4)\alpha\alpha} = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij}^{(\alpha)pq} = \sum_i t_{ii}^{(\alpha)pq} + \sum_{i \neq j} t_{ij}^{(\alpha)pq}, \quad \alpha=0, 1, \dots, m,$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(v)} \quad \lambda_{pq}^{(b)\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{i \neq j} t_{ii}^{(\alpha)pq} t_{jj}^{(\beta)pq} + \sum_{i \neq j} t_{ij}^{(\alpha)pq} t_{ji}^{(\beta)pq} + 2 \sum_{i \neq j \neq l} t_{ii}^{(\alpha)pq} t_{jl}^{(\beta)pq} \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{i \neq j \neq l} t_{ij}^{(\alpha)pq} t_{li}^{(\beta)pq} + \sum_{i \neq j \neq l \neq s} t_{ij}^{(\alpha)pq} t_{ls}^{(\beta)pq}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\
 \text{(vi)} \quad \lambda_{pq}^{(b)\alpha\beta} &= 2 \sum_{i \neq j} t_{ij}^{(\alpha)pq} t_{ii}^{(\beta)pq} + \sum_{i \neq j \neq l} t_{ij}^{(\alpha)pq} t_{li}^{(\beta)pq}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, \dots, m.
 \end{aligned}$$

3.2. The mean value of $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\bar{\theta}}$

First, we calculate the mean value $\mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta})$ of $\bar{\theta}$ with respect to the permutation distribution due to the randomization.

Put,

$$\Delta\bar{\theta} = \bar{\theta} = \pi' \bar{T}^* \pi = \pi' (\bar{\mu}_0 T_0 + \bar{\mu}_1 T_1 + \dots + \bar{\mu}_n T_n) \pi.$$

Then, an analogous calculation to that given in [1] leads us to the following

$$\mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\Delta k(k-1)} \sum_{p=1}^b \sum_{i=0}^m (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i) \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \Delta_p.$$

In a completely similar manner one obtains

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{E}(\bar{\bar{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{\Delta k(k-1)} \sum_{p=1}^b \sum_{i=0}^m (\bar{\bar{\mu}}_0 - \bar{\bar{\mu}}_i) \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \Delta_p.$$

3.3. The variances of $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\bar{\theta}}$

Since the variance of $\bar{\bar{\theta}}$ can be obtained by an analogous way to that of $\bar{\theta}$, we present only the calculation of the variance of $\bar{\theta}$. The calculation goes parallel to that given in [1].

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}^2) &= \mathcal{E}(\pi' U' \bar{T}^* U \pi)^2 \\
 &= \mathcal{E}(\sum_{p,q} \pi^{(p)'} S'_{ep} \bar{T}^* S_{eq} \pi^{(q)})^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the last expression we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}^2) = \mathcal{E}(\bar{A}) + \mathcal{E}(\bar{B}) + 2\mathcal{E}(\bar{C}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{A} &= \sum_p \pi^{(p)'} S'_{ep} \bar{T}^* S_{ep} \pi^{(p)} \pi^{(p)'} S'_{ep} \bar{T}^* S_{ep} \pi^{(p)}, \\
 \bar{B} &= \sum_{p \neq q} \pi^{(p)'} S'_{ep} \bar{T}^* S_{ep} \pi^{(p)} \pi^{(q)'} S'_{eq} \bar{T}^* S_{eq} \pi^{(q)}, \\
 \bar{C} &= \sum_{p \neq q} \pi^{(p)'} S'_{eq} \bar{T}^* S_{eq} \pi^{(q)} \pi^{(p)'} S'_{ep} \bar{T}^* S_{ep} \pi^{(p)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\bar{A}) = & \sum_p \left[\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i)^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \mathcal{A}_p^2 + (\mathcal{A}_p^2 - 2\Gamma_p) \right. \\ & \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} - \frac{4}{k(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{3}{k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\bar{B}) = & \mathcal{E}^2(\bar{\Theta}) - \sum_p \mathcal{A}_p \left[\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i (\bar{\mu}_3 - \bar{\mu}_i)^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i \mu_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} + \frac{2}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_i \mu_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \\ & \left. + \frac{4}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} + \frac{1}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \mu_i \mu_j \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\bar{C}) = & \sum_{p \neq q} \mathcal{A}_p \mathcal{A}_q \left[\frac{1}{k^2} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_{pq}^{(4)ii} + \frac{1}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pq}^{(5)ij} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{2}{k^2(k-1)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pq}^{(6)ij} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad \text{Var}(\bar{\theta}) = & \mathcal{A}^{-2} \text{Var}(\bar{\Theta}) = \mathcal{A}^{-2} [\mathcal{E}(\bar{\Theta}^2) - \mathcal{E}^2(\bar{\Theta})] \\ = & \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}^2} \sum_p (\mathcal{A}_p^2 - 2\Gamma_p) \left[\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \right. \\ & - \frac{4}{k(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{i,j} \mu_i \mu_j \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} \\ & \left. + \frac{3}{k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}^2} \sum_p \mathcal{A}_p^2 \left[\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} - \frac{2}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \right. \\ & - \frac{4}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} - \frac{1}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \left. \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}^2} \sum_{p \neq q} \mathcal{A}_p \mathcal{A}_q \left[\frac{2}{k^2} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_{pq}^{(4)ii} + \frac{2}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pq}^{(5)ij} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{4}{k^2(k-1)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pq}^{(6)ij} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The variance of $\bar{\theta}$ may be calculated in a similar manner and is given by

$$(3.9) \quad \text{Var}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}^2} \sum_p (\mathcal{A}_p^2 - 2\Gamma_p) \left[\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{4}{k(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} \\
& + \frac{3}{k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \Big] \\
& + \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \sum_p \Delta_p^2 \left[\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} - \frac{2}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{4}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} - \frac{1}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \sum_{p \neq q} \Delta_p \Delta_q \left[\frac{2}{k^2} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_{pq}^{(4)ii} + \frac{2}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pq}^{(5)ij} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{k^2(k-1)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pq}^{(6)ij} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This is obtained from (3.8) by replacing $\bar{\mu}$'s by $\bar{\bar{\mu}}$'s throughout.

3.4. The covariance between $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\bar{\theta}}$

We calculate the covariance between $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\bar{\theta}}$ from the relation

$$(3.10) \quad \text{Cov}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\bar{\theta}}) = \frac{1}{2} [\text{Var}(\bar{\theta} + \bar{\bar{\theta}}) - \text{Var}(\bar{\theta}) - \text{Var}(\bar{\bar{\theta}})].$$

Since

$$\bar{\theta} + \bar{\bar{\theta}} = \Delta^{-1} \boldsymbol{\pi}' \boldsymbol{\Phi} (c_1 \mathbf{A}_1^* + \cdots + c_m \mathbf{A}_m^*) \boldsymbol{\Phi}' \boldsymbol{\pi},$$

$\text{Var}(\bar{\theta} + \bar{\bar{\theta}})$ can be obtained from (3.8) replacing $\bar{\mu}$'s by $\mu = \bar{\mu} + \bar{\bar{\mu}}$'s throughout.

Thus one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad \text{Var}(\bar{\theta} + \bar{\bar{\theta}}) &= \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \sum_p (\Delta_p^2 - 2\Gamma_p) \left[\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i \mu_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \right. \\
& - \frac{4}{k(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{i,j} \mu_i \mu_j \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} \\
& + \frac{3}{k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{i,j} \mu_i \mu_j \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \Big] \\
& + \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \sum_p \Delta_p^2 \left[\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i \mu_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} - \frac{2}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_i \mu_i^2 \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \right. \\
& - \frac{4}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \mu_i \mu_j \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} - \frac{1}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \mu_i \mu_j \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \Big] \\
& + \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \sum_{p \neq q} \Delta_p \Delta_q \left[\frac{2}{k^2} \sum_i \mu_i^2 \lambda_{pq}^{(4)ii} + \frac{2}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \mu_i \mu_j \lambda_{pq}^{(5)ij} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{4}{k^2(k-1)} \sum_{i,j} \mu_i \mu_j \lambda_{pq}^{(6)ij} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By using the relations

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i^2 - \bar{\mu}_i^2 - \bar{\mu}_i^2 &= 2\bar{\mu}_i\bar{\mu}_i, & \mu_i\mu_j - \bar{\mu}_i\bar{\mu}_j - \bar{\mu}_i\bar{\mu}_j &= \bar{\mu}_i\bar{\mu}_j + \bar{\mu}_i\bar{\mu}_j, \\ \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} &= \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ji}, & \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} &= \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ji}, & \lambda_{pq}^{(5)ij} &= \lambda_{qp}^{(5)ji}, & \lambda_{pq}^{(6)ij} &= \lambda_{qp}^{(6)ji},\end{aligned}$$

one can obtain from (3.8), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) the following result:

$$\begin{aligned}(3.12) \quad \text{Cov}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{A^2} \sum_p (A_p^2 - 2\Gamma_p) \left[\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_i \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{4}{k(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{3}{k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{A^2} \sum_p A_p^2 \left[\frac{1}{k(k-1)} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_i \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} - \frac{2}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_i \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{4}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} - \frac{1}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{A^2} \sum_{p \neq q} A_p A_q \left[\frac{1}{k^2} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_i \lambda_{pq}^{(4)ii} + \frac{2}{k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pq}^{(5)ij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{4}{k^2(k-1)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{pq}^{(6)ij} \right].\end{aligned}$$

4. An approximation to the permutation distribution of $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta})$ by a certain two-dimensional continuous distribution when the number of b of blocks is sufficiently large and certain uniformity conditions are imposed on the unit errors

In this section, we assume that the following uniformity conditions on the unit errors are satisfied:

$$(4.1) \quad A_p = A_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_p = \Gamma_0 \quad \text{for } p=1, 2, \dots, b.$$

Under such conditions, the means and variances of $\bar{\theta}$ and $\bar{\theta}$ are expressed as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{bk(k-1)} \sum_i (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i) \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii},$$

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{1}{bk(k-1)} \sum_i (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i) \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii},$$

$$(4.4) \quad \text{Var}(\bar{\theta}) = \left(1 - \frac{2\Gamma_0}{A_0^2}\right) \left[\frac{1}{b^2k(k-1)} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{4}{b^2k(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} \\
& + \frac{3}{b^2k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \Big] \\
& + \frac{1}{b^2k(k-1)} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} - \frac{2}{b^2k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} \\
& - \frac{4}{b^2k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij} - \frac{1}{b^2k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij} \\
& + \frac{2}{b^2k^2} \sum_i \bar{\mu}_i^2 \sum_{p \neq q} \lambda_{pq}^{(4)ii} + \frac{2}{b^2k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \sum_{p \neq q} \lambda_{pq}^{(5)ij} \\
& - \frac{4}{b^2k^2(k-1)} \sum_{i,j} \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \sum_{p \neq q} \lambda_{pq}^{(6)ij},
\end{aligned}$$

and

(4.5) $\text{Var}(\bar{\bar{\theta}})$ is obtained from $\text{Var}(\bar{\theta})$ given in (4.4) by replacing all $\bar{\mu}$'s by $\bar{\bar{\mu}}$'s throughout.

Quite analogously to the calculations presented in [1], it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(i)} \quad \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(1)ii} = (1 - \delta_{0i}) \lambda_i n_i v, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\
& \text{(ii)} \quad \sum_{p \neq q} \lambda_{pq}^{(4)ii} = (r^2 - \lambda_i) n_i v, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\
& \text{(4.6) (iii)} \quad \sum_{p \neq q} \lambda_{pq}^{(5)ij} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^m \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta \sum_{\gamma=0}^m p_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma p_{ij}^\gamma n_j v - \delta_{ij} \lambda_i n_i v - 2\lambda_{ij}^{(1)} - \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} \\
& \quad - \delta_{0i}(1 - \delta_{0j})(k-2) \lambda_j n_j v - \delta_{0j}(k-2) \lambda_i n_i v, \\
& \text{(iv)} \quad \sum_{p \neq q} \lambda_{pq}^{(6)ij} = r \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha p_{ij}^\alpha n_\alpha v - \delta_{i0}(1 - \delta_{0j}) \lambda_j n_j v \\
& \quad - \delta_{0j}(1 - \delta_{0i}) \lambda_i n_i v - \lambda_{ij}^{(1)}, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots, m,
\end{aligned}$$

where, as before, δ_{ij} stands for the Kronecker delta and

$$(4.7) \quad \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} = \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(2)ij}, \quad \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} = \sum_p \lambda_{pp}^{(3)ij}.$$

Hence, from (1.2), (2.4) and (3.3), it follows that

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{b(k-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}(\bar{\bar{\theta}}) = \frac{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}}{b(k-1)}.$$

From (4.4) and (4.6), it follows that

$$\text{Var}(\bar{\theta}) = \left[\frac{2(2k-3)}{k(k-1)} - \frac{2\Gamma_0}{A_0^2} \right] \left[\frac{v}{b^2k(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i^2 \lambda_i n_i \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{4}{b^2 k(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \mu_i \mu_j \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} \\
& + \frac{3}{b^2 k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} \Big] - \frac{2v}{b^2 k(k-1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i)^2 \lambda_i n_i \\
& + \frac{2v}{b^2 k^2(k-1)^2} \left[r^2(k-1)^2 \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{\mu}_i^2 n_i \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{i,j,l=0}^m \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta p_{i\alpha}^l p_{i\beta}^l n_i - 2r(k-1) \sum_{i,j=1}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j p_{ij}^\alpha n_\alpha \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i) n_i \lambda_i = k\bar{\alpha}/v,$$

the second term of the right-hand side of the above expression is

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{2v}{b^2 k(k-1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i)^2 \lambda_i n_i \\
& = -\frac{2v}{b^2 k(k-1)^2} \left(\frac{k\bar{\alpha}}{v} \right)^2 \frac{1}{r(k-1)} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \lambda_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i)^2 n_i \lambda_i \right)}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^m (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i) n_i \lambda_i \right]^2} \\
& = -\frac{2\bar{\alpha}^2}{b^2(k-1)^3} \left[1 - \frac{\sum_{i \neq j} (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i)(\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_j) n_i n_j \lambda_i \lambda_j}{k^2 \bar{\alpha}^2 / v^2} \right] \\
& = -\frac{2\bar{\alpha}^2}{b^2(k-1)^3} + \frac{2v^2}{b^3 k^2(k-1)^3} \sum_{i \neq j} (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i)(\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_j) n_i n_j \lambda_i \lambda_j,
\end{aligned}$$

and the third term becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2v}{b^2 k^2(k-1)^2} \left[r^2(k-1)^2 \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{\mu}_i^2 n_i + \sum_{i,j,l=0}^m \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta p_{i\alpha}^l p_{i\beta}^l n_i \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2r(k-1) \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_\alpha p_{ij}^\alpha n_\alpha \right] \\
& = \frac{2v}{b^2 k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{s,u=1}^h \left[r^2(k-1)^2 \sum_{i=0}^m \mu_{si} \mu_{ui} n_i + \sum_{i,j,l=0}^m \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^m \mu_{si} \mu_{uj} p_{i\alpha}^l p_{i\beta}^l n_i \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2r(k-1) \sum_{i,j=0}^m \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mu_{si} \mu_{uj} \lambda_\alpha p_{ij}^\alpha n_\alpha \right] \\
& = \frac{2v}{b^2 k^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{u=1}^h \frac{k^2}{v^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_u}{rk - \rho_u} \right)^2 \left(\frac{v}{\alpha_u} \right) (rk - \rho_u)^2 \\
& = \frac{2}{b^2(k-1)^2} \sum_{u=1}^h \alpha_u = \frac{2\bar{\alpha}}{b^2(k-1)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.9) \quad \text{Var}(\bar{\theta}) = & \left[\frac{2\bar{\alpha}}{b^2(k-1)^2} - \frac{2\bar{\alpha}^2}{b^3(k-1)^3} \right] \\
 & + \frac{2v^2}{b^3k^2(k-1)^3} \sum_{i \neq j} (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i)(\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_j) n_i n_j \lambda_i \lambda_j \\
 & + \left[\frac{2(2k-3)}{k(k-1)} - \frac{2\Gamma_0}{\Delta_0^2} \right] \left[\frac{v}{b^2k(k-1)} \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i^2 n_i \lambda_i \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{4}{b^2k(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{3}{b^2k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\mu}_j \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Likewise

$$(4.10) \quad \text{Var}(\bar{\bar{\theta}}) \text{ may be obtained from (4.9) by replacing } \bar{\mu}'\text{'s by } \bar{\bar{\mu}}'\text{'s throughout.}$$

Finally, by using the relation (3.11), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.11) \quad \text{Cov}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\bar{\theta}}) = & -\frac{2\bar{\alpha}\bar{\bar{\alpha}}}{b^3(k-1)^3} + \frac{v^2}{b^3k^2(k-1)^3} \sum_{i \neq j} \left[(\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\mu}_i)(\bar{\bar{\mu}}_0 - \bar{\bar{\mu}}_j) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + (\bar{\mu}_0 - \bar{\bar{\mu}}_i)(\bar{\mu}_i - \bar{\mu}_j) \right] n_i n_j \lambda_i \lambda_j + \left[\frac{2(2k-3)}{k(k-1)} - \frac{2\Gamma_0}{\Delta_0^2} \right] \\
 & \cdot \left[\frac{v}{b^2k(k-1)} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\bar{\mu}}_j \lambda_i n_i - \frac{4}{b^2k(k-1)(k-2)} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \cdot \sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\bar{\mu}}_j \lambda_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{3}{b^2k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \bar{\mu}_i \bar{\bar{\mu}}_j \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

When $k=2$ or 3 , the last term of the expressions $\text{Var}(\bar{\theta})$, $\text{Var}(\bar{\bar{\theta}})$ and $\text{Cov}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\bar{\theta}})$ vanishes.

Now, let us consider the limiting process such that

$$b \rightarrow \infty$$

whereas v, k, n_1, \dots, n_m and p_{jk}^i are fixed. Then, since $vr=bk$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \lambda_i = r(k-1)$, r and at least one λ_i are of the same order as b , and

$$\bar{\mu}_i = O\left(\frac{1}{b}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\bar{\mu}}_i = O\left(\frac{1}{b}\right), \quad i=0, 1, \dots, m.$$

It is also seen that

$$\lambda_{ij}^{(1)} \leq bk(k-1)(k-2), \quad \lambda_{ij}^{(2)} \leq bk(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)$$

and

$$\Gamma_0/\mathcal{A}_0^2 \leq 1.$$

Thus, we get

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\bar{\theta}) &= \frac{2\bar{\alpha}}{b^2(k-1)^2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)\right), \\ \text{Var}(\bar{\bar{\theta}}) &= \frac{2\bar{\bar{\alpha}}}{b^2(k-1)^2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{b}\right)\right), \\ \text{Cov}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\bar{\theta}}) &= O\left(\frac{1}{b^3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can treat that for sufficiently large values of b

$$\text{Var}(\bar{\theta}) = \frac{2\bar{\alpha}}{b^2(k-1)^2}, \quad \text{Var}(\bar{\bar{\theta}}) = \frac{2\bar{\bar{\alpha}}}{b^2(k-1)^2}, \quad \text{Cov}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\bar{\theta}}) = 0.$$

In such a situation, we take the following two-dimensional continuous distribution:

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_2}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu_3}{2}\right)} \bar{\theta}^{\nu_1/2-1} \bar{\bar{\theta}}^{\nu_2/2-1} (1 - \bar{\theta} - \bar{\bar{\theta}})^{\nu_3/2-1} d\bar{\theta} d\bar{\bar{\theta}}$$

for $\bar{\theta} \geq 0, \bar{\bar{\theta}} \geq 0, \bar{\theta} + \bar{\bar{\theta}} \leq 1$,

as an approximation to the permutation distribution of $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\bar{\theta}})$ due to the randomization.

Then, equating up to the second order moments, we have

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3} &= \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}), & \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3} &= \mathcal{E}(\bar{\bar{\theta}}), \\ \frac{2\nu_1(\nu_2 + \nu_3)}{(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3)^2(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2)} &= \text{Var}(\bar{\theta}), \\ \frac{2\nu_2(\nu_1 + \nu_3)}{(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3)^2(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2)} &= \text{Var}(\bar{\bar{\theta}}), \\ \frac{-2\nu_1\nu_2}{(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3)^2(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 2)} &= \text{Cov}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\bar{\theta}}). \end{aligned}$$

From the first three equations of (4.14), one obtains

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu_1 &= \frac{2}{\text{Var}(\bar{\theta})} [\mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta})(1 - \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta})) - \text{Var}(\bar{\theta})] \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}), \\ \nu_2 &= \frac{2}{\text{Var}(\bar{\bar{\theta}})} [\mathcal{E}(\bar{\bar{\theta}})(1 - \mathcal{E}(\bar{\bar{\theta}})) - \text{Var}(\bar{\bar{\theta}})] \mathcal{E}(\bar{\bar{\theta}}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\nu_3 = \frac{2}{\text{Var}(\bar{\theta})} [\mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta})(1 - \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta})) - \text{Var}(\bar{\theta})][1 - \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}) - \mathcal{E}(\bar{\bar{\theta}})].$$

If we put

$$(4.16) \quad \nu_1 = \phi \bar{\alpha}, \quad \nu_2 = \phi \bar{\bar{\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_3 = \phi(n - b - v + 1),$$

then, from (4.8) and (4.12), we can see that

$$(4.17) \quad \phi = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{b}\right).$$

It can easily be checked that the solutions (4.15) satisfy the remaining two equations of (4.14) under the limiting situation described above.

Thus, for sufficiently large values of b , the permutation distribution of $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\bar{\theta}})$ due to the randomization may be approximated by

$$(4.18) \quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-b}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n-b-v+1}{2}\right)} \bar{\theta}^{\bar{\alpha}/2-1} \bar{\bar{\theta}}^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}/2-1} (1 - \bar{\theta} - \bar{\bar{\theta}})^{(n-b-v+1)/2-1} d\bar{\theta} d\bar{\bar{\theta}}.$$

5. The approximate null-distribution of the F -statistic after the randomization

Now, we can calculate the expectation

$$\mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}^\mu \bar{\bar{\theta}}^\nu (1 - \bar{\theta} - \bar{\bar{\theta}})^\nu)$$

with respect to the permutation distribution due to the randomization in the sense of the approximation described in the preceding section. Thus we have

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\bar{\theta}^\mu \bar{\bar{\theta}}^\nu (1 - \bar{\theta} - \bar{\bar{\theta}})^\nu) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-b}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2} + \mu\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}}{2} + \nu\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n-b-v+1}{2} + \nu\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n-b-v+1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n-b}{2} + \mu + \nu\right)}.$$

Inserting (5.1) into the right-hand side of (2.15), we get an approximate null-distribution of the F -statistic after the randomization as follows:

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-b-\bar{\bar{\alpha}}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n-b-v+1}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F\right)^{\bar{\alpha}/2-1}$$

$$\cdot \left(1 + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F\right)^{-(n-b-\bar{\alpha})/2} d\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{n-b-v+1} F\right).$$

When $h=m$, this turns out to be the central F -distribution with degrees of freedom $(v-1, n-b-v+1)$.

NIHON UNIVERSITY, TOKYO

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ogawa, S. Ikeda and M. Ogasawara, "On the null-distribution of the F -statistic in a randomized partially balanced incomplete block design with two associate classes under the Neyman model," Inst. of Statist., University of North Carolina. *Mimeo Series* 414, 1964.
- [2] R. C. Bose, "Least square aspects of analysis of variance," Inst. Statist., University of North Carolina, *Mimeo Series* 6, 1948.
- [3] J. Ogawa and G. Ishii, "The relationship algebra and the analysis of variance of a partially balanced incomplete block design," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 36 (1965), 1815-1828.