# A MULTIVARIATE EXTENSION OF THE GAUSS-MARKOV THEOREM<sup>1)</sup>

### J. N. SRIVASTAVA

(Received June 9, 1964)

## 1. Summary

In this note we present the best linear unbiased estimates for multivariate populations, which may not necessarily be normal.

## 2. The multivariate extension

Consider the usual multivariate linear model

(1) 
$$\operatorname{Exp}(Y) = \underset{n \times m}{A} \xi_{n \times m \times p},$$

where  $n \ge m$  and where

$$(2) Y=(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{2}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{y}_{p}) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{11}, y_{12}, \cdots, y_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{n1}, y_{n2}, \cdots, y_{np} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}_{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{y}_{(n)} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ say}$$

is a matrix of np observations; A is a known matrix and

(3) 
$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{11} & \xi_{12} & \cdots & \xi_{1p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_{m1} & \xi_{m2} & \cdots & \xi_{mp} \end{bmatrix} = (\boldsymbol{\xi}_1, \ \boldsymbol{\xi}_2, \ \cdots, \ \boldsymbol{\xi}_p), \text{ say}$$

is a matrix of unknown parameters. We further assume that the vectors  $y_{(r)}(r=1, 2, \dots, n)$  are all uncorrelated and that for  $r=1, 2, \dots, n$ 

(4) 
$$\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{y}_{(r)}) = \sum_{p \times p} = (\sigma_{jj'}), \text{ say,}$$

where the dispersion matrix  $\Sigma$  is also unknown. The model (1) for the

<sup>1)</sup> This research was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

jth variable reduces to

(5) 
$$\operatorname{Exp}(\boldsymbol{y}_{j}) = A\boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{y}_{jr}) = \sigma_{jj}, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, p, r=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

If we consider just the *j*th variable and ignore the rest, we can obtain from (5), the best linear unbiased estimate  $c_j'\hat{\xi}_j$  of  $c_j'\xi_j$ , where  $c_j$  is any  $m\times 1$  vector such that  $c_j'\xi_j$  is estimable. Let

$$\theta = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \mathbf{c}_{j}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}$$

be a linear function of all the mp unknown parameters, such that for each j,  $c'_{j} \xi_{j}$  is estimable. Let

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{p} c_j' \hat{\xi}_j.$$

Then we show that u is the best linear unbiased estimate of  $\theta$ .

THEOREM. Let

$$z=b_1'y_1+\cdots+b_n'y_n$$

be any other linear unbiased estimate of  $\theta$ . Then, provided that the space of the  $mp \times 1$  vector

$$(\xi_{11}, \, \xi_{12}, \, \cdots, \, \xi_{1p}, \, \xi_{21}, \, \cdots, \, \xi_{mp})$$

contains at least mp linearly independent points, we must have

$$Var(z) > Var(u)$$
,

whatever the population dispersion matrix  $\Sigma$  may be. (Notice that no assumption of normality is involved.)

PROOF. Suppose

$$(8) u=d'_1y_1+\cdots+d'_py_p.$$

Since

$$\operatorname{Exp}(z) = \operatorname{Exp}(u) = \theta$$
,

we have

$$\operatorname{Exp}(z-u)=0$$
.

or

$$(b_1'-d_1')A\xi_1+ \cdots + (b_p'-d_p')A\xi_p=0$$
,

for all  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_p$ . This however implies

$$(9) (b'_j - d'_j) A = 0_{1m}, j = 1, 2, \dots, p,$$

where  $0_{1m}$  is a  $1 \times m$  matrix. Also since  $b_j$  and  $d_j$  are free of the observations Y, we have

(10) 
$$\operatorname{Var}(u) = \operatorname{Var}(d'_1 y_1 + \cdots + d'_p y_p)$$

$$= n \sum_{j=1}^{p} (d'_j d_j) \sigma_{jj} + n \sum_{j \neq j'} (d'_{j'} d_j) \sigma_{jj'},$$

and similarly

$$\text{Var}(z) = n \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\boldsymbol{b}_{j}' \boldsymbol{b}_{j}) \sigma_{jj} + n \sum_{j \neq j'} (\boldsymbol{b}_{j'}' \boldsymbol{b}_{j}) \sigma_{jj'}.$$

Let A be of rank r and let  $\overline{W}$  be the vector space of rank n-r, which is orthogonal to the columns of A. Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-r}$  be an orthogonal basis of  $\overline{W}$ . Then from (9), there exist constants  $\mu_{j_1}, \mu_{j_2}, \dots, \mu_{j_1, n-r}$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, p$ ) such that

(11) 
$$b_j = d_j + \mu_{j1}\theta_1 + \mu_{j2}\theta_2 + \cdots + \mu_{j, n-r}\theta_{n-r}, \quad j=1, 2, \cdots, p.$$

Let W be the vector space of rank r (orthogonal to  $\overline{W}$ ) generated by the columns of A. Then since  $c_j' \xi_j$  is estimable as an univariate problem for the jth variable, it follows that

$$\operatorname{Rank}(A) = \operatorname{Rank}\begin{pmatrix} A \\ c_i' \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $j=1, 2, \dots, p$ ,

and hence that  $d_j \in W$ , for all j.

Hence we have from (11)

$$b'_{j} b_{j} = d'_{j} d_{j} + \mu_{j1}^{2} + \mu_{j2}^{2} + \cdots + \mu_{j, n-r}^{2}$$
  
$$b'_{i} b_{j'} = d'_{i} d_{j'} + \mu_{j1} \mu_{j'1} + \cdots + \mu_{j, n-r} \mu_{j', n-r}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Var}(z) - \operatorname{Var}(u) \\ &= n \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\sum_{s=1}^{n-r} \mu_{js}^{2}) \sigma_{jj} + n \sum_{f \neq j'} (\sum_{s=1}^{n-r} \mu_{js} \mu_{f's}) \sigma_{ff'} \\ &= n \sum_{s=1}^{n-r} [\sum_{f=1}^{p} \mu_{js}^{2} \sigma_{fj} + \sum_{f \neq j'} \mu_{fs} \mu_{f's} \sigma_{ff'}] \\ &= n \sum_{s=1}^{n} [\mu_{s}' \Sigma \mu_{s}], \text{ where } \mu_{s}' = (\mu_{1s}, \mu_{2s}, \dots, \mu_{ps}). \end{aligned}$$

But since  $\Sigma$  is positive definite,

 $\mu_s \Sigma \mu_s > 0$ , unless  $\mu_s = 0_{1p}$  (zero vector).

Since however z is different from u, we must have  $\mu_s \neq 0_{1p}$ , for some s. Hence

$$Var(z) > Var(u)$$
,

which proves the theorem.

# 3. Acknowledgment

I am thankful to Professors S. N. Roy and Wassily Hoeffding for going through this note and for their comments.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA<sup>2)</sup>

### REFERENCES

- R. C. Bose, "Notes on linear estimation," Unpublished Class Notes, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C., 1958.
- [2] Henry Scheffé, The Analysis of Variance, John Wiley and Sons, 1960.
- [3] S. N. Roy, Some Aspects of Multivariate Analysis, John Wiley and Sons, 1957.

<sup>2)</sup> Now at the University of Nebraska.