# A NOTE ON THE DEGREE OF NORMAL APPROXIMATION TO THE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION OF THE MEAN OF SAMPLES FROM FINITE POPULATIONS

#### YASUSHI TAGA

## Summary

From the standpoint of asymptotic equivalence, a sufficient condition is obtained, under which the degree of normal approximation to the distribution function of the mean of samples from finite populations can be ascertained.

### 1. Introduction

W. G. Madow [1] has shown that the mean of a sample from a finite population is asymptotically normally distributed under some conditions on sampling ratio and moments. However, the degree of such normal approximation has not been given. In this note, a sufficient condition for ascertaining the degree of the normal approximation is presented by making use of the asymptotic equivalence measure defined in [2].

# 2. Normal approximation

LEMMA 1. Let  $X^{(N)} = (X_1^{(N)}, \dots, X_p^{(N)})$ ,  $Y^{(N)} = (Y_1^{(N)}, \dots, Y_p^{(N)})$  be discrete vector random variables in the p-dimensional Euclidian space  $R_p$ , and  $f^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ ,  $g^{(N)}(y_1, \dots, y_p)$  be probability functions corresponding to  $X^{(N)}$  and  $Y^{(N)}$   $(N=1, 2, \dots)$ . Let  $T(z_1, \dots, z_p)$  be a one-valued function of  $(z_1, \dots, z_p)$ . If  $X^{(N)}$  and  $Y^{(N)}$  are asymptotically equivalent, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{lll} (2.1) & \rho(f^{(N)},\,g^{(N)}) = \sum\limits_{R_p} \mid f^{(N)}(x_1,\,\,\cdots,\,x_p) - g^{(N)}(x_1,\,\,\cdots,\,x_p) \mid \to 0 \,\, as \,\, N \to \infty \,\,, \\ then & T(X_1^{(N)},\,\,\cdots,\,\,X_p^{(N)}) \,\, and \,\,\, T(Y_1^{(N)},\,\,\cdots,\,\,Y_p^{(N)}) \,\, are \,\, also \,\, asymptotically \,\, equivalent. \end{array}$$

PROOF. By the assumptions, both  $T(X_1^{(N)}, \dots, X_p^{(N)})$  and  $T(Y_1^{(N)}, \dots, Y_p^{(N)})$  may be thought as discrete random variables, and we have

$$P\{T(X_1^{(N)}, \dots, X_p^{(N)})=z\} = \sum_{E(z)} f^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_p)$$

and

$$P\{T(Y_1^{(N)}, \dots, Y_p^{(N)}) = z\} = \sum_{E(z)} g^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_p)$$

where  $E(z) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_p); T(x_1, \dots, x_p) = z\}$ . Hence the assertion of this lemma is clear.

*Remark*. In the above lemma,  $\rho(f^{(N)}, g^{(N)})$  may be taken as a measure of asymptotic equivalence.

LEMMA 2. Let  $X^{(N)} = (X_1^{(N)}, \dots, X_n^{(N)})$  be a sample of size n taken equally probably without replacement from a finite population,  $\pi_N$ , of size N, and  $Y^{(N)} = (Y_1^{(N)}, \dots, Y_n^{(N)})$  be a sample of size n taken equally probably with replacement from  $\pi_N$ . Then  $(X_1^{(N)}, \dots, X_n^{(N)})$  and  $(Y_1^{(N)}, \dots, Y_n^{(N)})$  are asymptotically equivalent if  $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{N}\right) \to 1$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

PROOF. Let  $f^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $g^{(N)}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  be probability functions corresponding to  $X^{(N)}$  and  $Y^{(N)}$ , respectively. Then it is clear that

$$(2.2) f^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N(N-1)\cdots(N-n+1)} & \text{if } x_i \neq x_j \text{ for any } i \neq j, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

(2.3) 
$$g^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{N^n}.$$

Hence, from (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\rho(f^{(N)}, g^{(N)}) = \sum_{R_n} |f^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) - g^{(N)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)|$$

$$= N(N-1) \cdots (N-n+1) \left\{ \frac{1}{N(N-1) \cdots (N-n+1)} - \frac{1}{N^n} \right\}$$

$$+ \left\{ N^n - N(N-1) \cdots (N-n+1) \right\} \frac{1}{N^n}$$

$$= 2 \left\{ 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( 1 - \frac{j}{N} \right) \right\}.$$

By the assumption that  $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{N}\right) \to 1$  as  $N \to \infty$ , we can conclude from (2.4) that  $\rho(f^{(N)}, g^{(N)}) \to 0$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

*Remark.* In order that  $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{N}\right) \rightarrow 1$ , it is sufficient that  $\frac{n^2}{N} \rightarrow 0$ .

In fact, from inequalities  $1 \ge \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{N}\right) > \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right)^n \ge 1 - \frac{n^2}{N}$ , it is easily seen that  $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{N}\right) \to 1$  if  $\frac{n^2}{N} \to 0$ , and that  $\rho(f^{(N)}, g^{(N)}) < \frac{2n^2}{N}$ .

THEOREM. Let  $(X_1^{(N)}, \dots, X_n^{(N)})$  be a sample of size n taken equally probably without replacement from a population,  $\pi_N$ , of size N with mean  $\mu_N$ , variance  $\sigma_N^2$  and the third order absolute moment  $\beta_{3N}$ . Further, suppose that  $\frac{\beta_{3N}}{\sigma_N^3}$  is bounded for all N and that N and n tend to infinity in such a way that  $\frac{n^2}{N} \to 0$ . Then the random variable  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{j=1}^{n} (X_j^{(N)} - \mu_N)$  is asymptotically normally distributed with mean 0 and variance 1, and the degree of approximation is of order of  $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$  in the sense of distribution function if  $N \ge bn^{5/2}$ , where b is a positive constant.

PROOF. Let  $(Y_1^{(N)}, \cdots, Y_n^{(N)})$  be a sample taken equally probably with replacement from  $\pi_N$ . Then  $(X_1^{(N)}, \cdots, X_n^{(N)})$  and  $(Y_1^{(N)}, \cdots, Y_n^{(N)})$  are asymptotically equivalent by the remark of lemma 2 if  $\frac{n^2}{N} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\rho(f^{(N)}, g^{(N)}) < \frac{2n^2}{N}$ . Hence, by lemma 1,  $\tilde{X}_n^{(N)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n} \sigma_N} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j^{(N)} - \mu_N)$  and  $\tilde{Y}_n^{(N)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n} \sigma_N} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j^{(N)} - \mu_N)$  are also asymptotically equivalent with measure  $\rho(f^{(N)}, g^{(N)}) < \frac{2n^2}{N}$ . Since  $(Y_1^{(N)}, \cdots, Y_n^{(N)})$  can be taken as a sequence of independent random variables from  $\pi_N$ , as is well known,  $\tilde{Y}_n^{(N)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n} \sigma_N} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j^{(N)} - \mu_N)$  is asymptotically normally distributed with mean 0 and variance 1 and the order of normal approximation is  $n^{-1/2}$  (see [3]). Let  $F_n^{(N)}(x)$  and  $G_n^{(N)}(y)$  be distribution functions corresponding to  $\tilde{X}_n^{(N)}$  and  $\tilde{Y}_n^{(N)}$  respectively. Then, from the above arguments, we can see that

$$|F_n^{(N)}(x) - G_n^{(N)}(x)| \le \rho(f^{(N)}, g^{(N)}) < \frac{2n^2}{N}$$

and

(2.6) 
$$|G_n^{(N)}(x) - \Phi(x)| \le c \frac{\beta_{3N}}{\sigma_N^3 \sqrt{n}},$$

where  $\Phi(x)$  denotes the unit normal distribution function and c is a constant, say, 2.03 (see [4]). From (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain

$$|F_n^{(N)}(x) - \Phi(x)| < \frac{2n^2}{N} + c \frac{\beta_{3N}}{\sigma_N^3 \sqrt{n}}.$$

Since  $\frac{\beta_{3N}}{\sigma_N^3}$  is bounded for all N and  $N \ge b n^{5/2}$  by the assumptions, we can rewrite (2.7) as

(2.8) 
$$|F_n^{(N)}(x) - \phi(x)| < \frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad d = 2b + c \frac{\beta_{3N}}{\sigma_N^3}.$$

Remark.

- 1) The sample mean  $\frac{1}{n}\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n}X_{j}^{(N)}=\frac{\sigma_{N}}{\sqrt{n}}\widetilde{X}_{n}^{(N)}+\mu_{N}$  is asymptotically normally distributed with mean  $\mu_{N}$  and variance  $\sigma_{N}^{2}/n$ , and its distribution function is  $F_{n}^{(N)}\left(\frac{x-\mu_{N}}{\sigma_{N}/\sqrt{n}}\right)$  which is different from  $\Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu_{N}}{\sigma_{N}/\sqrt{n}}\right)$  at most by  $\frac{d}{\sqrt{n}}$ .
  - 2) In the above discussion, n may or may not depend on N.
- 3) The condition that  $\frac{n^2}{N} \to 0$  as  $N \to \infty$  may be replaced by the condition that  $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1 \frac{j}{N}\right) \to 0$  as  $N \to \infty$ , which is more general than the former, but is difficult to check.
- 4) The above evaluation of the degree of approximation may not be so accurate in comparison with the true difference  $|F_n^{(N)}(x) \Phi(x)|$ .
- 5) In practical applications, population size N is generally much larger than sample size n, and  $\sigma_N$  and  $\beta_{3N}$  can be estimated from the sample. Therefore, we can evaluate the difference  $|F_n^{(N)}(x) \Phi(x)|$  by (2.7).

THE INSTITUTE OF STATISTICAL MATHEMATICS

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. G. Madow, "On the limiting distributions of estimates based on samples from finite universes," Ann. Math. Stat., 14 (1948), 535-545.
- [2] S. Ikeda, "Asymptotic equivalence of probability distributions with applications to some problems of asymptotic independence," Ann. Inst. Stat. Math., 15 (1963), 87-116.
- [3] A. C. Berrey, "The accuracy of the Gaussian approximation to the sum of independent variates," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1941), 122-131.
- [4] K. Takano, "A note on the paper of A. C. Berry," Res. Memoir of Inst. Stat. Math., 6 (1950), 408-415.