THE LIMITING NORMALITY OF THE TEST STATISTIC FOR THE TWO-SAMPLE PROBLEM INDUCED BY A CONVEX SUM DISTANCE

KAORU FUEDA

Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Higashi-ku, Hakozaki 6-10-1, Fukuoka 812, Japan

(Received October 14, 1991; revised September 13, 1995)

Abstract. Critchlow (1992, J. Statist. Plann. Inference, **32**, 325–346) proposed a method of a unified construction of a class of rank tests. In this paper, we introduce a convex sum distance and prove the limiting normality of the test statistics for the two-sample problem derived by his method.

Key words and phrases: Convex sum distance, transposition property, limiting normality.

1. Introduction

Let F and G be continuous distribution functions of the first population and the second population. We consider rank tests for testing $H: F(x) \equiv G(x)$ against $K: F(x) \geq G(x)$, with strict inequality for some x. Critchlow (1992) proposed a method of a unified construction of a class of rank tests. For the preceding two-sample problem, his method is summarized as follows; let Z_1, \ldots, Z_m be the observations from the first population and Z_{m+1}, \ldots, Z_{m+n} be the observations from the second population, and let $\pi(i)$ be the rank of Z_i $(i = 1, \ldots, N, N =$ m+n) among Z_1, \ldots, Z_N . Then π is a member of the permutation group denoted by S_N . The two permutations π and σ are defined to be equivalent if and only if $\{\pi(1), \ldots, \pi(m)\} = \{\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(m)\}$, then the equivalence class including π is $[\pi] = \pi(S_m \times S_n)$, where $S_m \times S_n = \{\sigma \in S_N; \sigma(i) \leq m, \forall i \leq m\}$, and where $\pi(S_m \times S_n)$ is the left coset $\{\pi \circ \sigma; \sigma \in S_m \times S_n\}$. Now the class of permutations, which are most in agreement with K, is $S_m \times S_n$. Let d be a metric on S_N and define a metric d^* on $S_N/(S_m \times S_n)$ by

$$d^*([\pi],[\sigma]) = \max\left\{ \max_{eta \in [\sigma]} \min_{lpha \in [\pi]} d(lpha,eta), \max_{lpha \in [\pi]} \min_{eta \in [\sigma]} d(lpha,eta)
ight\}.$$

Critchlow suggested using $d^*([\pi], S_m \times S_n)$ as a test statistic, where π is the observed ranking.

KAORU FUEDA

Now we introduce the convex sum distance on S_N . Take a strictly increasing, convex, twice differentiable function f satisfying f(0) = 0. For any π, σ in S_N , we define

$$d(\pi,\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(rac{|\pi(i) - \sigma(i)|}{N}
ight).$$

The purpose of this paper is to study the asymptotic distribution of $d^*([\pi], S_m \times S_n)$, induced by the convex sum distance.

2. Representation of the test statistic

Now we rewrite the *m* observations from the first population as X_1, \ldots, X_m and the *n* observations from the second population as Y_1, \ldots, Y_n .

DEFINITION 2.1. The metric d on S_N is called right-invariant, if and only if $d(\alpha, \beta) = d(\alpha \circ \gamma, \beta \circ \gamma)$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S_N$.

Note that if d is a right-invariant metric, then the formula given for $d^*([\pi], [\sigma])$ simplifies to

$$\min_{\alpha\in[\pi],\beta\in[\sigma]}d(\alpha,\beta).$$

DEFINITION 2.2. Let d be a metric on S_N . Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S_N$ be permutations such that α and β differ by a single transposition; that is, there exist integers $p, q \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that $\alpha(p) = \beta(q), \alpha(q) = \beta(p), \alpha(i) = \beta(i), \forall i \neq p, q$. Suppose further that $\alpha(p) \leq \alpha(q)$ and $\gamma(p) \leq \gamma(q)$. If the preceding conditions imply that $d(\alpha, \gamma) \leq d(\beta, \gamma)$, then the metric d is said to possess the transposition property.

LEMMA 2.1. The convex sum distance is right-invariant, and possesses transposition property.

This lemma is the generalization of Lemma 2 of Critchlow ((1985), pp. 52–53), for the "case" d = R.

THEOREM 2.1. The test statistic for two-sample location problem d^* induced by the convex sum distance is represented by

$$T_N = \sum_{i=1}^m f\left(\frac{i - r_{(i)}}{N}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(\frac{m + j - s_{(j)}}{N}\right),$$

where $r_{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq r_{(m)}$ are the ordered ranks of the X's in the pooled sample $X_1, \ldots, X_m, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$, and similarly $s_{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq s_{(n)}$ are the ordered ranks of the Y's.

PROOF. Critchlow (1992) showed that if the metric on the permutation group is right-invariant and possesses the transposition property, then the test statistic induced by it can be written in the form of Theorem 2.1 using $r_{(i)}$ and $s_{(i)}$. Thus by the lemma, we have the theorem.

Example. Spearman's footrule: $F(\pi, \sigma) = N \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\pi(i) - \sigma(i)}{N} \right|$ and Spearman's rho: $R(\pi, \sigma) = N(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\pi(i) - \sigma(i)}{N}\right)^2)^{1/2}$, where $\pi, \sigma \in S_N$, are convex sum distances. Note that the test statistic induced by F is equivalent to Wilcoxon test statistic, and that the test statistic induced by R is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (m+j)s_{(j)}$. Fueda (1993) showed that this test statistic is asymptotically normal and superior to Wilcoxon test statistic for a wide class of asymmetric underlying distributions.

3. Limiting normality of the test statistic

The following theorem states the limiting normality of the test statistic induced by a convex sum distance.

We use the following assumption.

(a) There exists λ_0 such that for all N, $0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_N \leq 1 - \lambda_0 < 1$, where $\lambda_N = \frac{m}{N}$.

(b) There exist K and δ such that for all $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 - \lambda_0$, i = 0, 1 and 0 < x < 1, $|\lambda^i f^{(i)}(\lambda x)| \leq K(x(1-x))^{-i-1/2+\delta}$.

THEOREM 3.1. Under assumptions (a) and (b), $(T_N - \mu_{T_N})/\sigma_{T_N}$ converges to the standard normal distribution.

We put $h_1(x) = f((1 - \lambda_N)x)$ and $h_2(x) = f(\lambda_N(1 - x))$, then μ_{T_N} and σ_{T_N} are given as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mu_{T_N} &= m \int h_1(G(x)) dF(x) + n \int h_2(F(x)) dG(x), \\ \sigma_{T_N}^2 &= \frac{2}{m} \left(m^2 \iint_{-\infty < x < y < \infty} F(x)(1 - F(y)) h_1'(G(x)) h_1'(G(y)) dG(x) dG(y) \\ &\quad - mn \iint_{-\infty < x < y < \infty} F(x)(1 - F(y)) h_1'(G(x)) h_2'(F(y)) dG(x) dG(y) \\ &\quad - mn \iint_{-\infty < y < x < \infty} (1 - F(x)) F(y) h_1'(G(x)) h_2'(F(y)) dG(x) dG(y) \\ &\quad + n^2 \iint_{-\infty < x < y < \infty} F(x)(1 - F(y)) h_2'(F(x)) h_2'(F(y)) dG(x) dG(y) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{n} \left(m^2 \iint_{-\infty < x < y < \infty} G(x)(1 - G(y)) h_1'(G(x)) h_1'(G(y)) dF(x) dF(y) \\ &\quad - mn \iint_{-\infty < x < y < \infty} G(x)(1 - G(y)) h_1'(G(x)) h_2'(F(y)) dF(x) dF(y) \\ &\quad - mn \iint_{-\infty < y < x < \infty} (1 - G(x)) G(y) h_1'(G(x)) h_2'(F(y)) dF(x) dF(y) \\ &\quad + n^2 \iint_{-\infty < x < y < \infty} G(x)(1 - G(y)) h_2'(F(x)) h_2'(F(y)) dF(x) dF(y) \\ &\quad + n^2 \iint_{-\infty < x < y < \infty} G(x)(1 - G(y)) h_2'(F(x)) h_2'(F(y)) dF(x) dF(y) \right), \end{split}$$

and these are the asymptotic expectation and variance of T_N .

PROOF. The statistics $r_{(i)}$ and $s_{(j)}$ may be represented as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} r_{(i)} &= \#\{k; X_k \leq X_{(i)}\} + \#\{l; Y_l < X_{(i)}\} \\ &= i + \#\{l; Y_l < X_{(i)}\}, \\ s_{(j)} &= j + \#\{k; X_k < Y_{(j)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} f\left(\frac{|i-r_{(i)}|}{N}\right) &= f\left(\frac{\#\{l;Y_l < X_{(i)}\}}{N}\right) = f\left(\frac{n}{N}G_n(X_{(i)})\right),\\ f\left(\frac{|m+j-s_{(j)}|}{N}\right) &= f\left(\frac{m-\#\{k;X_k < Y_{(j)}\}}{N}\right) = f\left(\frac{m}{N}(1-F_m(Y_{(j)}))\right), \end{split}$$

where F_m and G_n are the empirical distribution functions of X_1, \ldots, X_m and Y_1, \ldots, Y_n , respectively. Let $h_1(x) = f((1 - \lambda_N)x)$, $h_2(x) = f(\lambda_N(1 - x))$, then

Let
$$h_1(x) = f((1 - \lambda_N)x), h_2(x) = f(\lambda_N(1 - x)),$$
 then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}T_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m h_1(G_n(X_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^n h_2(F_m(Y_j)) \right)$$

$$= \lambda_N \sqrt{N} \int h_1(G_n(x)) dF_m(x) + (1 - \lambda_N) \sqrt{N} \int h_2(F_m(y)) dG_n(y).$$

Similar to Chernoff-Savage, we may expand T_N as follows:

$$T_N = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mu_{iN} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} B_{iN} + \sum_{i=1}^{4} C_{iN},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mu_{1N} &= \lambda_N \sqrt{N} \int h_1(G(x)) dF(x), \\ B_{1N} &= \lambda_N \sqrt{N} \int h_1(G(x)) d(F_m(x) - F(x)), \\ B_{2N} &= \lambda_N \sqrt{N} \int (G_n(x) - G(x)) h_1'(G(x)) dF(x), \\ C_{1N} &= \lambda_N \sqrt{N} \int (G_n(x) - G(x)) h_1'(G(x)) d(F_m(x) - F(x)), \\ C_{2N} &= \lambda_N \sqrt{N} \int (h_1(G_n(x)) - h_1(G(x)) - (G_n(x) - G(x)) h_1'(G(x))) dF_m(x), \\ \mu_{2N} &= (1 - \lambda_N) \sqrt{N} \int h_2(F(x)) dG(x), \\ B_{3N} &= (1 - \lambda_N) \sqrt{N} \int h_2(F(x)) d(G_n(x) - G(x)), \end{split}$$

$$B_{4N} = (1 - \lambda_N)\sqrt{N} \int (F_m(x) - F(x))h'_2(F(x))dG(x),$$

$$C_{3N} = (1 - \lambda_N)\sqrt{N} \int (F_m(x) - F(x))h'_2(F(x))d(G_n(x) - G(x)),$$

$$C_{4N} = (1 - \lambda_N)\sqrt{N} \int (h_2(F_m(x)) - h_2(F(x))) - h_2(F(x))) dG_n(x).$$

Obviously μ_{1N} and μ_{2N} are non-random. We show the theorem in the following two steps.

Step 1. We show C_{1N}, \ldots, C_{4N} are all $o_p(1)$.

Step 1.1. To begin with, we show $C_{1N} = o_p(1)$. We use the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. (Puri and Sen (1971)) For all $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $0 < \delta' < \frac{1}{2}$, there exists $c(\varepsilon, \delta')$ such that

$$\Pr\left\{\sup_{x} \frac{\sqrt{n}|G_n(x) - G(x)|}{(G(x)(1 - G(x)))^{1/2 - \delta'}} \le c(\varepsilon, \delta')\right\} \ge 1 - \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 3.1 and assumption (b), we have for all x,

$$\sqrt{n}|G_n(x) - G(x)|h'(G(x)) \le c(\varepsilon, \delta')K(G(x)(1 - G(x)))^{\delta^* - 1}$$

with probability larger than $1 - \varepsilon$, where $\delta^* = \delta - \delta'$.

Because of arbitrariness of δ' , we can get δ' such that $\delta' < \frac{\delta}{4}$ and $\delta^* > 0$,

$$|C_{1N}| \leq \frac{\lambda_N}{\sqrt{1-\lambda_N}} Kc(\varepsilon,\delta') \int (G(x)(1-G(x)))^{\delta^*-1} dV_{(F_m-F)}(x),$$

where $V_{(F_m-F)}$ is the total variation of $F_m - F$. By the law of large numbers, $V_{(F_m-F)} \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. Therefore $C_{1N} = o_p(1)$.

Similarly, we prove $C_{3N} = o_p(1)$.

Step 1.2. Next we show $C_{2N} = o_p(1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} |C_{2N}| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} |h_1(G_n(X_i)) - h_1(G(X_i)) - (G_n(X_i) - G(X_i))h_1'(G(X_i))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |h_1(G_n(Z_i)) - h_1(G(Z_i)) - (G_n(Z_i) - G(Z_i))h_1'(G(Z_i))|, \end{aligned}$$

where Z_1, \ldots, Z_N are the order statistics for the pooled sample X_1, \ldots, X_m , Y_1, \ldots, Y_n .

Now let $K_N = \min\{\left[\frac{N}{2}\right], \left[N^{\delta'}\right]\}, \ 0 < 2\delta' < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$\begin{split} C_{2N}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{K_N} |h_1(G_n(Z_i)) - h_1(G(Z_i)) - (G_n(Z_i) - G(Z_i))h_1'(G(Z_i))|, \\ C_{2N}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=K_N+1}^{N-K_N} |h_1(G_n(Z_i)) - h_1(G(Z_i)) - (G_n(Z_i) - G(Z_i))h_1'(G(Z_i))|, \\ C_{2N}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=N-K_N+1}^{N} |h_1(G_n(Z_i)) - h_1(G(Z_i)) - (G_n(Z_i) - G(Z_i))h_1'(G(Z_i))|, \end{split}$$

We note that $|C_{2N}| \leq C_{2N}^{(1)} + C_{2N}^{(2)} + C_{2N}^{(3)}$. We consider $C_{2N}^{(1)}$. Because h_1 is strictly increasing and convex, we have

$$h_1(G(Z_i)) \le h_1(1)$$
, and $h'_1(G(Z_i)) \le h'_1(1-)$.

Then

$$\begin{split} C_{2N}^{(1)} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{K_N} (|h_1(G_n(Z_i))| + |h_1(G(Z_i))| + (|G_n(Z_i)| + |G(Z_i)|)|h_1'(G(Z_i))|) \\ &\leq N^{-1/2} K_N(2h_1(1) + 2h_1'(1-)) \\ &< N^{-1/4}(2h_1(1) + 2h_1'(1-)). \end{split}$$

The last inequality follows from $K_N \leq N^{\delta'}$ and $0 < \delta' < \frac{\delta}{4} < \frac{1}{4}$. Therefore $C_{2N}^{(1)} = o_p(1)$, similarly, $C_{2N}^{(3)} = o_p(1)$. Next we consider $C_{2N}^{(2)}$.

$$C_{2N}^{(2)} = \sqrt{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=K_N+1}^{N-K_N} |h_1(G_n(Z_i)) - h_1(G(Z_i)) - (G_n(Z_i) - G(Z_i))h_1'(G(Z_i))|.$$

Let

$$S_N^{(1)}(\tau) = \{x; G^{-1}(\tau) \le x \le G^{-1}(1-\tau)\},\$$

$$S_N^{(2)}(\tau) = \{x; Z_{K_N} < x < G^{-1}(\tau)\},\$$

$$S_N^{(3)}(\tau) = \{x; G^{-1}(1-\tau) < x < Z_{N-K_N+1}\}\$$

then

$$C_{2N}^{(2)} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{S_{N}^{(j)}(\tau)} |h_{1}(G_{n}(z)) - h_{1}(G(z)) - (G_{n}(z) - G(z))h_{1}'(G(z))|dG(z).$$

By definition of the derivative of h_1 , for $|v| \le \tau$, $\tau \le |u| \le 1 - \tau$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{N} \left| h_1 \left(u + \frac{v}{\sqrt{N}} \right) - h_1(u) - \frac{v}{\sqrt{N}} h_1'(u) \right| \\ &= \sqrt{N} o\left(\frac{v}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \\ &= o(1). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{|v| \le \tau} \sup_{\tau \le u \le 1-\tau} \sqrt{N} \left| h_1\left(u + \frac{v}{\sqrt{N}}\right) - h_1(u) - \frac{v}{\sqrt{N}} h_1'(u) \right| \to 0 \quad (N \to \infty).$$

Hence, we have with probability larger than $1-\varepsilon,$

$$\begin{split} \int_{S_N^{(1)}(\tau)} \sqrt{N} |h_1(G_n(z)) - h_1(G(z)) - (G_n(z) - G(z))h'_1(G(z))| dG(z) \\ &= \int_{S_N^{(1)}(\tau)} \sqrt{N} \left| h_1 \left(G(z) + \frac{\sqrt{N}(G_n(z) - G(z))}{\sqrt{N}} \right) \right. \\ &\left. - h_1(G(z)) - \frac{\sqrt{N}(G_n(z) - G(z))}{\sqrt{N}} h'_1(G(z)) \right| dG(z) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{split}$$

There exists $0 < \phi < 1$ for j = 2, 3, such that

$$\begin{split} \int_{S_N^{(j)}(\tau)} \sqrt{N} |h_1(G_n(z)) - h_1(G(z)) - (G_n(z) - G(z))h_1'(G(z))| dG(z) \\ &= \int_{S_N^{(j)}(\tau)} \sqrt{N} |G_n(z) - G(z)| \\ &\quad \cdot |h_1'(\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi)G_n(z)) - h_1'(G(z))| dG(z). \end{split}$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \int_{S_N^{(j)}(\tau)} \sqrt{N} |G_n(z) - G(z)| |h_1'(\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi)G_n(z)) - h_1'(G(z))| dG(z) \\ & \leq \int_{S_N^{(j)}(\tau)} \sqrt{N} |G_n(z) - G(z)| \\ & \cdot (|h_1'(\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi)G_n(z))| + |h_1'(G(z))|) dG(z). \end{split}$$

Now by Lemma 3.1, we have with probability larger than $1 - \varepsilon$,

$$\sqrt{N}|G_n(z) - G(z)| \le c(\varepsilon, \delta')(G(z)(1 - G(z)))^{1/2 - \delta'},$$

and by assumption (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h_1'(\phi G(z) + (1-\phi)G_n(z))| \\ &\leq K((\phi G(z) + (1-\phi)G_n(z))(1-(\phi G(z) + (1-\phi)G_n(z))))^{-1-1/2+\delta}, \end{aligned}$$
 and

$$|h_1'(G(z))| \leq K(G(z)(1-G(z)))^{-1-1/2+\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

 \mathbf{So}

$$\begin{split} &\int_{S_{N}^{(j)}(\tau)} \sqrt{N} |G_{n}(z) - G(z)| (|h_{1}^{\prime}(\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi)G_{n}(z))| + |h_{1}^{\prime}(G(z))|) dG(z) \\ &\leq \int_{S_{N}^{(j)}(\tau)} c(\varepsilon, \delta^{\prime}) (G(z)(1 - G(z)))^{1/2 - \delta^{\prime}} \\ &\times (K((\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi)G_{n}(z))(1 - (\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi)G_{n}(z))))^{-1 - 1/2 + \delta} \\ &+ K(G(z)(1 - G(z)))^{-1 - 1/2 + \delta}) dG(z) \\ &= \int_{S_{N}^{(j)}(\tau)} c(\varepsilon, \delta^{\prime}) K(G(z)(1 - G(z)))^{-1 + \delta - \delta^{\prime}} \\ &\times \left(\left(\frac{(\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi)G_{n}(z))(1 - (\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi)G_{n}(z)))}{G(z)(1 - G(z))} \right)^{-1 - 1/2 + \delta} \\ &+ 1 \right) dG(z). \end{split}$$

Since

$$\frac{\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi)G_n(z)}{G(z)} = \phi + (1 - \phi)\frac{G_n(z)}{G(z)}$$

and

$$\frac{1 - (\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi)G_n(z))}{1 - G(z)} = \phi + (1 - \phi)\frac{1 - G_n(z)}{1 - G(z)},$$

there exists β such that

$$\frac{\inf_{z \in S_N^{(j)}(\tau)} (\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi) G_n(z))(1 - (\phi G(z) + (1 - \phi) G_n(z)))}{G(z)(1 - G(z))} > \beta^2 \left(\frac{N}{N+1}\right)^2.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{(\phi G(z) + (1-\phi)G_n(z))(1 - (\phi G(z) + (1-\phi)G_n(z)))}{G(z)(1 - G(z))}\right)^{-1 - 1/2 + \delta} + 1 \\ < \left(\beta_1 \frac{N}{N+1}\right)^{-3 + 2\delta} + 1. \end{split}$$

Now, it follows that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=2}^{3} \int_{S_{N}^{(j)}(\tau)} (G(z)(1-G(z)))^{\delta-\delta'-1} dG_{n}(z) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{0}^{\tau} + \int_{1-\tau}^{1}\right) (G(z)(1-G(z)))^{\delta-\delta'-1} dG_{n}(z) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2^{1-\delta-\delta'}}{\delta-\delta'}\right) \tau^{\delta-\delta'}. \end{split}$$

Since for all $\varepsilon > 0, \delta'$ and τ may be so chosen that

$$c(\varepsilon,\delta')K\left(\frac{2^{1-\delta-\delta'}}{\delta-\delta'}\right)\tau^{\delta-\delta'}\left(\left(\beta_1\frac{N}{N+1}\right)^{-3+2\delta}+1\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

we have $C_{2N}^{(2)} = o_p(1)$, and $C_{2N} = o_p(1)$.

 $C_{4N} = o_p(1)$ can be shown similarly.

Step 2. Finally, we show that $B_{1N} + B_{2N} + B_{3N} + B_{4N}$ has the normal distribution in the limit. We obtain

$$egin{aligned} B_{1N} &= \lambda_N \sqrt{N} \int h_1(G(x)) d(F_m(x) - F(x)) \ &= \lambda_N \sqrt{N} \int B_1(x) d(F_m(x) - F(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B_{2N} = \lambda_N \sqrt{N} \int (G_n(x) - G(x)) h'_1(G(x)) dF(x)$$

= $\lambda_N \sqrt{N} \left(\left[(G_n(x) - G(x)) \int_{x_0}^x h'_1(G(y)) dF(y) \right]_{-\infty}^\infty - \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{x_0}^x h'_1(G(x)) dF(y) d(G_n(x) - G(x)) \right)$
= $-\lambda_N \sqrt{N} \int_{-\infty}^\infty B_2(x) d(G_n(x) - G(x)),$

where

$$B_1(x) = h_1(G(x))$$
 and $B_2(x) = \int_{x_0}^x h'_1(G(y)) dF(y).$

Thus $B_{1N} + B_{2N}$ is represented by

$$\lambda_N \sqrt{N} \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (B_1(X_i) - E[B_1(X_i)]) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (B_2(Y_j) - E[B_2(Y_j)]) \right).$$

Similarly we obtain

$$B_{3N} + B_{4N}$$

= $(1 - \lambda_N)\sqrt{N} \left(-\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (B_3(X_i) - E[B_1(X_i)]) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (B_4(Y_j) - E[B_2(Y_j)]) \right),$

where

$$B_{3}(x) = \int_{x_{0}}^{x} h'_{2}(F(y)) dG(y),$$

$$B_{4}(x) = h_{2}(F(x)) = \int_{x_{0}}^{x} h'_{2}(F(y)) dF(y).$$

Therefore,

$$B_{1N} + B_{2N} + B_{3N} + B_{4N}$$

= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left((B_1(X_i) - E[B_1(X_i)]) - \frac{n}{m} (B_3(X_i) - E[B_3(X_i)]) \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{m}{n} (B_2(Y_j) - E[B_2(Y_j)]) + (B_4(Y_j) - E[B_4(Y_j)]) \right) \right)$

We shall show that the variances of

$$(B_1(X) - E[B_1(X)]) - \frac{n}{m}(B_3(X) - E[B_3(X)])$$

 and

$$-\frac{m}{n}(B_2(Y) - E[B_2(Y)]) + (B_4(Y) - E[B_4(Y)])$$

are finite. Since

$$B_{1}(X) - E[B_{1}(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{1}(G(x))d(F_{1}(x) - F(x))$$

$$= -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F_{1}(x) - F(x))h'_{1}(G(x))dG(x),$$

$$B_{3}(X) - E[B_{3}(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{x_{0}}^{x} h'_{2}(F(y))dG(y)d(F_{1}(x) - F(x))$$

$$= -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F_{1}(x) - F(x))h'_{2}(F(x))dG(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}(B_{1}(X)) &= E\left[\iint (F_{1}(x) - F(x))(F_{1}(y) - F(y))h_{1}'(G(x))h_{1}'(G(y))dG(x)dG(y)\right] \\ &= 2\iint_{-\infty < x < y < \infty} F(x)(1 - F(y))h_{1}'(G(x))h_{1}'(G(y))dG(x)dG(y), \\ \operatorname{Cov}(B_{1}(X), B_{3}(X)) &= \iint_{-\infty < x < y < \infty} F(x)(1 - F(y))h_{1}'(G(x))h_{2}'(F(y))dG(x)dG(y) \\ &+ \iint_{-\infty < y < x < \infty} (1 - F(x))F(y)h_{1}'(G(x))h_{2}'(F(y))dG(x)dG(y), \end{aligned}$$
and

346

$$\operatorname{Var}(B_3(X)) = 2 \iint_{-\infty < x < y < \infty} F(x)(1 - F(y))h'_2(F(x))h'_2(F(y))dG(x)dG(y).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var} \left((B_1(X) - E[B_1(X)]) - \frac{n}{m} (B_3(X) - E[B_3(X)]) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Var} (B_1(X)) - 2\frac{n}{m} \operatorname{Cov} (B_1(X), B_3(X)) + \frac{n^2}{m^2} \operatorname{Var} (B_3(X)) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(-\frac{m}{n}(B_{2}(Y) - E[B_{2}(Y)]) + (B_{4}(Y) - E[B_{4}(Y)])\right) < \infty.$$

Thus we complete the proof.

Example. (continued) Let F_N^* and R_N^* be the test statistics induced by Spearman's footrule and Spearman's rho. Under null hypothesis $H: F(x) \equiv G(x)$, we get

$$\begin{split} \mu_{F_N^*} &= \frac{mn}{2N}, \\ \sigma_{F_N^*}^2 &= \frac{mn}{3N}, \\ \mu_{R_N^{*2}} &= \frac{m^2n + mn^2}{3N^2}, \\ \sigma_{R_N^{*2}}^2 &= \frac{mn(4m^2 + 7mn + 4n^2)}{45N^3}. \end{split}$$

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Professor T. Yanagawa for the useful advice. I would also like to thank the referees for their comments on the earlier version of this paper.

References

- Critchlow, D. E. (1985). Metric methods for analyzing partially ranked data, *Lecture Notes in Statist.*, **34**, Springer, New York.
- Critchlow, D. E. (1992). On rank statistics: an approach via metrics on the permutation group, J. Statist. Plann. Inference, 32, 325-346.
- Fueda, K. (1993). Spearman's rank correlation type two-sample test, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A, 47(1), 27–39.
- Puri, M. L. and Sen, P. K. (1971). Nonparametric Methods in Multivariate Analysis, Wiley, New York.