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#### Abstract

Yang (1982, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 10(2), 197-204) proved that the variance of the sample median cannot exceed the population variance. In this paper, the upper bound for the variance of order statistics is derived, and it is shown that this is attained by Bernoulli variates only. The proof is based on Hoeffding's identity for the covariance.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $X_{1: n} \leq X_{2: n} \leq \cdots \leq X_{n: n}$ be the order statistics corresponding to $n$ iid rv's $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ with df $F(x)$ and finite variance $\sigma^{2}$.

The purpose of this paper is to find the maximum variance of the $k$-th order statistic $X_{k: n}$ given a fixed population variance $\sigma^{2}$. Several authors have studied non-parametric bounds for the moments of order statistics. The earliest results in that direction are by Plackett (1947) and Moriguti (1951), generalized by Hartley and David (1954) and Gumbel (1954). More general results are obtained by a method due to Moriguti (1953). Furthermore, moment inequalities for order statistics are given by Sugiura (1962), David and Groeneveld (1982), Terrell (1983), Székely and Móri (1985), Papathanasiou (1990), Balakrishnan (1990) and Gajek and Gather (1991).

Yang (1982) proved that,
(i) for $n=2 k-1, \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k: n}\right) \leq \sigma^{2}$,
(ii) for $n=2 k, \operatorname{Var}\left[\left(X_{k: n}+X_{k+1: n}\right) / 2\right] \leq \sigma^{2}$,
(iii) for $k \neq(n+1) / 2$, there exists a continuous df $F(x)$ such that $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k: n}\right)>$ $\sigma^{2}$.

Recently Lin and Huang (1989) observed that equality is attained in (i) by the symmetric Bernoulli variate.

Here it will be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k: n}\right) \leq \sigma_{n}^{2}(k) \cdot \sigma^{2}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)$ in a constant depending only on $k$ and $n$. Equality in (1.1) is attained if and only if $1<k<n$ and $X$ is a two-valued (Bernoulli) distribution:

$$
P\left[X=x_{2}\right]=p=1-P\left[X=x_{1}\right], \quad x_{1}<x_{2},
$$

where the parameter $p=p_{n}(k)$ depends on $k$ and $n$ only. Cases $k=1$ and $k=n$ lead to strict inequality, but for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists a df $F(x)$ such that $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1: n}\right)>\sigma_{n}^{2}(1) \cdot \sigma^{2}-\epsilon$ for $k=1$ and similarly for $k=n$. The key role to the derivation of these upper bounds is played by Hoeffding's identity for the covariance (see (3.2)) in combination with the unimodal property of the special function $t(x)$ (see notations (2.1)), proved in Lemma 2.1(iv).

Unfortunately, the $\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)$-values, given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)=\sup _{0<x<1}\left\{\frac{I_{x}(k, n+1-k) \cdot\left(1-I_{x}(k, n+1-k)\right)}{x(1-x)}\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

do not have a simple form; $I_{x}(a, b)$ denotes, as usual, the incomplete beta function:

$$
I_{x}(a, b)=\frac{1}{B(a, b)} \int_{0}^{x} u^{a-1}(1-u)^{b-1} d u, \quad 0<x<1
$$

However, tables and figures for $\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)$ are obtained for various $n$ and $k$.

## 2. An auxiliary lemma

Let $X_{k: n}$ be the $k$-th order statistic based on a sample of size $n \geq 2$, from an arbitrary df $F(x)$ with finite variance $\sigma^{2}$. Then (c.f., for example, David (1981), p. 34) $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k: n}\right)$ exists. We are interested in finding the maximum variance of $X_{k: n}$ among all df's $F$ having variance $\sigma^{2}$. Let us use the notations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(x)=I_{x}(k, n+1-k), \quad g(x)=G^{\prime}(x) \\
& t_{1}(x)=\frac{G(x)}{x}, \quad t_{2}(y)=\frac{1-G(y)}{1-y}  \tag{2.1}\\
& t(x, y)=t_{1}(x) \cdot t_{2}(y) \quad \text { and } \quad t(x)=t(x, x), \quad 0<x \leq y<1
\end{align*}
$$

The following lemma is required for the main result.
Lemma 2.1. Let $1<k<n$. Then, there exist unique numbers $\rho_{1}=\rho_{1}(k, n)$, $\rho_{2}=\rho_{2}(k, n)$ satisfying

$$
0<\rho_{1}<\frac{k-1}{n-1}<\rho_{2}<1
$$

such that, for $0<x<y<1$ :
(i) $t_{1}(x)=G(x) / x$ strictly increases in $\left(0, \rho_{2}\right)$ and strictly decreases in $\left(\rho_{2}, 1\right)$ and similarly $t_{2}(y)=(1-G(y)) /(1-y)$ strictly increases in $\left(0, \rho_{1}\right)$ and strictly decreases in $\left(\rho_{1}, 1\right)$.
(ii) If $x \geq \rho_{1}$ or $y \leq \rho_{2}$, then

$$
\frac{G(x) \cdot(1-G(y))}{x(1-y)}=t(x, y)<\max \{t(x), t(y)\}
$$

(iii) If $x<\rho_{1}$ and $y>\rho_{2}$, then

$$
t(x, y)<t\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)<\max \left\{t\left(\rho_{1}\right), t\left(\rho_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

(iv) There exists a unique $x_{0}=x_{0}(k, n) \in\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ such that the function $\frac{G(x) \cdot(1-G(x))}{x(1-x)}=t(x)$ strictly increases in $\left(0, x_{0}\right)$ and strictly decreases in $\left(x_{0}, 1\right)$.

Proof. (i) Obviously $t_{1}^{\prime}(x)=(x g(x)-G(x)) / x^{2}$. The function $x g(x)-G(x)$ has derivative $x g^{\prime}(x)$ which is positive if $x<(k-1) /(n-1)$ and negative if $x>(k-1) /(n-1)$. Since $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+}[x g(x)-G(x)]=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow 1-}[x g(x)-G(x)]=-1$ we conclude that the equation

$$
x g(x)-G(x)=0, \quad 0<x<1
$$

has a unique root $\rho_{2}=\rho_{2}(k, n) \in((k-1) /(n-1), 1)$.
Hence $x g(x)-G(x)>0$ for $x \in\left(0, \rho_{2}\right)$ and $x g(x)-G(x)<0$ for $x \in\left(\rho_{2}, 1\right)$ and the proof is complete for $t_{1}(x)$.

Similarly for $t_{2}(y)$, there exists a unique $\rho_{1}=\rho_{1}(k, n)$ satisfying

$$
0<\rho_{1}<\frac{k-1}{n-1}
$$

such that $t_{2}(y)$ strictly increases in $\left(0, \rho_{1}\right)$ and strictly decreases in $\left(\rho_{1}, 1\right)$.
Note that $\rho_{1}$ is the unique point in $(0,1)$ satisfying $1-G(y)=(1-y) g(y)$.
(ii) Let $x<y$. If $\rho_{1} \leq x$, we have

$$
t(x, y)=t_{1}(x) \cdot t_{2}(y)<t_{1}(x) \cdot t_{2}(x)=t(x)
$$

Similarly if $y \leq \rho_{2}, t(x, y)<t(y)$ and the proof is complete.
(iii) If $x<\rho_{1}$ and $y>\rho_{2}$, we have

$$
t(x, y)=t_{1}(x) \cdot t_{2}(y)<t_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \cdot t_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=t\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)
$$

The second inequality follows from (ii).
(iv) Obviously $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} t(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 1-} t(x)=0$.

Furthermore, it is clear from (i) that the function $t(x)$ strictly increases in $\left(0, \rho_{1}\right]$ and strictly decreases in $\left[\rho_{2}, 1\right)$. It suffices to study $t(x)$ in $\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$.

It is easy to verify that for $0<x<1, x^{2} g^{2}(x)-x g(x) G(x)-x^{2} g^{\prime}(x) G(x)>0$. Indeed, the function $n G(x)-x g(x)$ strictly increases (because $(n G(x)-x g(x))^{\prime}=$ $(n-k) g(x) /(1-x)>0)$, so that $n G(x)-x g(x)>\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+}[n G(x)-x g(x)]=0$. Hence, the function $x(1-x) g(x)-(k-n x) G(x)$ increases also (because $(x(1-$
x) $g(x)-(k-n x) G(x))^{\prime}=n G(x)-x g(x)>0$ by the above argument). Therefore, $x(1-x) g(x)-(k-n x) G(x)>\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+}[x(1-x) g(x)-(k-n x) G(x)]=0$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{2} g^{2}(x)-x g(x) G(x)-x^{2} g^{\prime}(x) G(x) \\
& \quad=\frac{x g(x)}{1-x}[x(1-x) g(x)-(k-n x) G(x)]>0, \quad 0<x<1
\end{aligned}
$$

But, for $0<x<\rho_{2}, G(x)<x g(x)$ (see (i)), so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} g^{2}(x)-G^{2}(x)-x^{2} g^{\prime}(x) G(x)>0, \quad 0<x<\rho_{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that

$$
\left[\log \frac{G(x)}{x}\right]^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-1}{x^{2} G^{2}(x)}\left(x^{2} g^{2}(x)-G^{2}(x)-x^{2} g^{\prime}(x) G(x)\right)
$$

thus, from $(2.2),\left(\log \frac{G(x)}{x}\right)^{\prime \prime}<0,0<x<\rho_{2}$, that is, $t_{1}(x)$ is strictly log-concave
in $\left(0, \rho_{2}\right)$.
By the same arguments it is proved that $t_{2}$ is strictly log-concave in $\left(\rho_{1}, 1\right)$.
Hence $t(x)=t_{1}(x) \cdot t_{2}(x)$ is a strictly log-concave function in $\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$, and the proof is complete.

Remark. The unique point $x_{0}=x_{0}(k, n)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g(x)(1-2 G(x))}{G(x)(1-G(x))}=\frac{1-2 x}{x(1-x)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G\left(x_{0}\right)\left(1-G\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}{x_{0}\left(1-x_{0}\right)}=\sup _{0<x<1}\left[\frac{G(x)(1-G(x))}{x(1-x)}\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main result

Definition 3.1. We define the maximum variance function $\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)$ by the relation

$$
\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)=\sup _{0<x<1}\left[\frac{G(x)(1-G(x))}{x(1-x)}\right], \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad n=2,3, \ldots
$$

(see (1.2)).
Clearly, $\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)$ is a function of $k$ for $n \geq 2$ fixed. Moreover, from (2.4)

$$
\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)=\frac{G\left(x_{0}\right)\left(1-G\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}{x_{0}\left(1-x_{0}\right)}=t\left(x_{0}\right), \quad 1<k<n
$$

while $\sigma_{n}^{2}(1)=\sigma_{n}^{2}(n)=n$.
We can now state the main result of
Theorem 3.1. (Maximum variance of order statistics)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k: n}\right) \leq \sigma_{n}^{2}(k) \sigma^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equality is attained if and only if $1<k<n$ and $F(x)$ is a Bernoulli distribution with probability of success $1-x_{0}(k, n)\left(x_{0}(k, n)\right.$ is defined in Lemma 2.1(iv)). Cases $k=1$ and $k=n$ yield strict inequality, though (3.1) yields the best upper bound for $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{1: n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n: n}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose $1<k<n$. Hoeffding's identity for the covariance of $X, Y$ is given by the relation (for a proof see Lehmann (1966), Lemma 2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}[H(x, y)-H(x, \infty) H(\infty, y)] d y d x \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(x, y)$ is the bivariate df of $(X, Y)^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(X)=2 \iint_{x \leq y} F(x)(1-F(y)) d y d x=\sigma^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k: n}\right)=2 \iint_{x \leq y} G(F(x))(1-G(F(y))) d y d x
$$

(the last relation follows from (3.3) and the fact that $G(F(x))$ is just the df of $X_{k: n}$ ).

From Lemma 2.1 we conclude that for all $x \leq y$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}\left(1-x_{0}\right) G(F(x))(1-G(F(y))) \leq G\left(x_{0}\right)\left(1-G\left(x_{0}\right)\right) F(x)(1-F(y)) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equality is attained if and only if either $F(x)(1-F(y))=0$ or $F(x)=F(y)=$ $x_{0}$.

Integrating (3.4) over $S=\{-\infty<x \leq y<+\infty\}$ we have the desired result. In order to hold (3.1) as an equality, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$
x_{0}\left(1-x_{0}\right) G(F(x))(1-G(F(y)))=G\left(x_{0}\right)\left(1-G\left(x_{0}\right)\right) F(x)(1-F(y))
$$

a.e. in $S$
or, equivalently

$$
F(x)(1-F(y))=0 \quad \text { or } \quad F(x)=F(y)=x_{0} \quad \text { a.e. in } S .
$$

Thus, the only nondegenerate (with variance $\sigma^{2}$ ) df which attains equality is of the form:

$$
F\left(x ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x<x_{1} \\ x_{0} & \text { if } x_{1} \leq x<x_{2} \\ 1 & \text { if } x_{2} \leq x\end{cases}
$$

where $x_{2}=x_{1}+\sigma / \sqrt{x_{0}\left(1-x_{0}\right)}, x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$, and the proof is complete for $1<k<n$.
For $k=n$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n: n}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i: n}\right)+2 \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \sum_{n} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i: n}, X_{j: n}\right)=n \sigma^{2},
$$

and obviously equality is attained iff $\sigma^{2}=0$.
Therefore, for nondegenerate $F$,

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n: n}\right)<n \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{n}^{2}(n) \sigma^{2}
$$

and this bound is the best possible (for example take $F$ to be a two-valued distribution with probability of success close to zero).

Case $k=1$ is similar to $k=n$ and is omitted.
Note that $\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)$ is symmetric about $(n+1) / 2$ :

$$
\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)=\sigma_{n}^{2}(n+1-k),
$$

decreases for $k \leq(n+1) / 2$ and increases for $k \geq(n+1) / 2$ taking the values $\sigma_{n}^{2}(1)=\sigma_{n}^{2}(n)=n, \sigma_{n}^{2}((n+1) / 2)=1$ (see Fig. 1$)$.

Remark. Figure 1 identifies the upper bound for each order statistic separately. Note that these upper bounds can never be achieved simultaneously. For a given (fixed) distribution from a lot of distribution families, the variances of order statistics have a bell-shaped curve, in contrast to the possible misunderstanding that the U-shaped curve, presented by Fig. 1, may creates.

Note that for $k>(n+1) / 2$, the values $\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)$ and $x_{0}(k, n)$ can be calculated from Table 1 using the relations:

$$
\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)=\sigma_{n}^{2}(n+1-k), \quad x_{0}(k, n)=1-x_{0}(n+1-k, n)
$$

and that $p=1-x_{0}(k, n)$ is the parameter of the Bernoulli rv which maximizes $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k: n}\right) / \operatorname{Var}(X)$. For example, if $n=10, k=2$ we find from Table 1:

$$
\sigma_{10}^{2}(2)=2.1608, \quad 1-x_{0}(2,10)=0.8958=p
$$

hence for any df we have

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{2: 10}\right) \leq 2.1608 \cdot \sigma^{2}
$$

Table 1. Values of $10^{4} \cdot \sigma_{n}^{2}(k)$ and $10^{4} \cdot\left(1-x_{0}(k, n)\right)$ for various $n$ and $k<(n+1) / 2$.



Fig. 1. The function $\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)=\sup \left\{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k: n}\right) / \operatorname{Var}(X)\right\}$.
with equality if and only if $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{10}$ are 10 independent copies of the rv $X$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left[X=x_{2}\right]=p=1-P\left[X=x_{1}\right], \quad x_{1}<x_{2} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same inequality is true for $X_{9: 10}$, but equality is attained if and only if $X$ is as in (3.5) with $x_{1}>x_{2}$.

Throughout this paper, $k$ was assumed to be an integer in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. However, all the results continue to hold also for non-integer $k$. In this case, $X_{k: n}$ denotes the $k$-th intermediate order statistic as defined by Papadatos (1994). Values of $\sigma_{n}^{2}(k)$ and $x_{0}(k, n)$ for integer and non-integer $k$ are given in Table 1 and Fig. 1.
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