

AN EFFICIENT ESTIMATOR FOR THE EXPECTATION OF A BOUNDED FUNCTION UNDER THE RESIDUAL DISTRIBUTION OF AN AUTOREGRESSIVE PROCESS

W. WEFELMEYER

Mathematical Institute, University of Cologne, Weyertal 86, 50931 Cologne, Germany

(Received December 18, 1992; revised August 18, 1993)

Abstract. Consider a stationary first-order autoregressive process, with i.i.d. residuals following an unknown mean zero distribution. The customary estimator for the expectation of a bounded function under the residual distribution is the empirical estimator based on the estimated residuals. We show that this estimator is not efficient, and construct a simple efficient estimator. It is adaptive with respect to the autoregression parameter.

Key words and phrases: Autoregressive model, efficient estimator, empirical estimator, residual distribution.

1. Introduction

Let Q be a distribution on the real line with zero mean and positive and finite variance. We observe realizations X_0, \dots, X_n from a stationary autoregressive process $X_i = \vartheta X_{i-1} + \varepsilon_i$, where the ε_i are i.i.d. with unknown distribution Q . A simple, but inefficient estimator for ϑ is the *least squares estimator*

$$\hat{\vartheta}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_{i-1} X_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_{i-1}^2}.$$

The unobserved residuals ε_i are estimated by $\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} = X_i - \hat{\vartheta}_n X_{i-1}$. A natural estimator for the expectation $Ef(\varepsilon)$ of a bounded function f is the *empirical estimator* based on the estimated residuals,

$$\hat{E}_n f = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}).$$

For fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f(x) = I(x \leq t)$ we obtain an estimator for the residual distribution function $F(t) = Q(-\infty, t]$,

$$\hat{F}_n(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n I(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} \leq t).$$

The estimator \hat{F}_n is well studied. For the stationary case, with $|\vartheta| < 1$, a functional central limit theorem is proved by Boldin (1982, 1983). For moving average models see Boldin (1989). He requires a uniformly bounded second derivative of F . His result is generalized by Kreiss (1991) to linear processes with coefficients depending on a finite-dimensional parameter. Koul and Leventhal (1989) treat the explosive autoregressive process, with $|\vartheta| > 1$. They get by with a uniformly bounded first derivative of F . For related results under weak smoothness assumptions on F we refer to Koul (1991, 1992).

Here we restrict attention to the stationary case, with $|\vartheta| < 1$. First we show that $\hat{E}_n f$ is not efficient. This is not due to the fact that an inefficient estimator, the least squares estimator, is used for ϑ . Rather, the reason is that $\hat{E}_n f$ ignores that Q has mean zero. The estimator $\hat{E}_n f$ can be improved by adding noise,

$$E_n^* f = \hat{E}_n f - \hat{a}_n n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} \quad \text{with}$$

$$\hat{a}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} f(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni})}{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}^2}.$$

Here \hat{a}_n estimates $a = E\varepsilon f(\varepsilon)/E\varepsilon^2$, and $n^{-1} \sum \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}$ estimates zero and may be considered as noise. The asymptotic distribution of $\hat{E}_n f$ has variance $E(f(\varepsilon) - Ef(\varepsilon))^2$. The asymptotic distribution of $E_n^* f$ has variance

$$E(f(\varepsilon) - Ef(\varepsilon))^2 - (E\varepsilon f(\varepsilon))^2/E\varepsilon^2.$$

This is strictly smaller than $E(f(\varepsilon) - Ef(\varepsilon))^2$ unless ε and $f(\varepsilon)$ are uncorrelated. In particular, an improved estimator for the distribution function $F(t)$ is

$$F_n^*(t) = \hat{F}_n(t) - \hat{a}_n(t) n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} \quad \text{with}$$

$$\hat{a}_n(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} I(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} \leq t)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}^2}.$$

It is easy to check that $E_n^* f$ is asymptotically optimal among estimators of the form $\hat{E}_n f - \hat{b}_n n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}$ with \hat{b}_n a consistent estimator of some functional of Q . In Section 2 we prove, for smooth f , that $E_n^* f$ is efficient in the much larger class of *regular* estimators.

If ϑ is known, observing the X_i is equivalent to observing the ε_i . Moreover, one can replace the estimated residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}$ by the true residuals ε_i . The problem then reduces to estimating $Ef(\varepsilon)$ efficiently on the basis of i.i.d. observations ε_i with $E\varepsilon = 0$. It can be solved with the methods described in Pfanzagl and Wefelmeyer (1982) and Bickel *et al.* (1993). The main technical difficulty in the present setting lies in showing that observing the $\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}$ is asymptotically equivalent to observing the ε_i .

Boldin (1982, 1983, 1989), Koul and Leventhal (1989), Koul (1991, 1992) and Kreiss (1991) consider the residual distribution function, i.e. step functions

$f(x) = I(x \leq t)$, and need smoothness of the distribution function. We consider smooth functions f and get by without smoothness of the distribution function. This version is appropriate for the application we have in mind; see Wefelmeyer (1992). Two questions remain open. Under which conditions is our improved estimator $F_n^*(t)$ efficient? Over which classes of functions f does one obtain a functional version of our result?

The present result is an example for efficient estimation in models with certain restrictions. Here the restriction is zero mean of the residual distribution. Such a restriction is also common in regression models. We note that E_n^*f is not a one-step improvement of $\hat{E}_n f$ as discussed, e.g., by Bickel (1982) and Schick (1987) for the i.i.d. case. For a general theory of one-step estimators under restrictions in the i.i.d. case see Koshevnik (1992).

2. Result

First we determine an asymptotic variance bound for estimators of $Ef(\varepsilon)$ when ϑ is *known*. Then we find an estimator which attains the bound for all ϑ . Such an estimator is called *adaptive*. Its existence implies that the variance bound for known ϑ equals the variance bound for unknown ϑ . We expect adaptivity to be symmetric in ϑ and Q . In fact, there exist estimators for ϑ which attain, for all Q , the asymptotic variance bound for the model in which ϑ varies and Q is known; see Kreiss (1987).

Fix ϑ with $|\vartheta| < 1$ and a distribution Q on the real line with zero mean and positive and finite variance. For known ϑ , a local model around Q is introduced as follows. Set

$$H = \{h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded, } Eh(\varepsilon) = 0, E\varepsilon h(\varepsilon) = 0\}.$$

For $h \in H$ define Q^{nh} by

$$Q^{nh}(dx) = (1 + n^{-1/2}h(x))Q(dx).$$

Then Q^{nh} is a probability measure for large n , and the residual has again mean zero under Q^{nh} ,

$$E^{nh}\varepsilon = E\varepsilon + n^{-1/2}E\varepsilon h(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

Let P_n denote the joint distribution of X_0, \dots, X_n if Q is true. Define P_n^{nh} accordingly. It is easy to check *local asymptotic normality*,

$$\log dP_n^{nh}/dP_n = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i - \vartheta X_{i-1}) - \frac{1}{2}Eh(\varepsilon)^2 + o_{P_n}(1) \quad \text{and}$$

$$n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n h(X_i - \vartheta X_{i-1}) \Rightarrow N_h \quad \text{under } P_n,$$

where N_h is normal with mean zero and variance $Eh(\varepsilon)^2$. For details see Huang (1986) or Kreiss (1987).

Now we describe an asymptotic variance bound for estimators of the expectation $Ef(\varepsilon)$ and characterize efficient estimators. The norm $(Eh(\varepsilon)^2)^{1/2}$ in local asymptotic normality provides an inner product $Eh(\varepsilon)k(\varepsilon)$ on $L_2(Q)$. We can express differences $E^{nh}f(\varepsilon) - Ef(\varepsilon)$ in terms of this inner product,

$$n^{1/2}(E^{nh}f(\varepsilon) - Ef(\varepsilon)) = Eh(\varepsilon)f(\varepsilon).$$

The right side is a linear functional on H ; the function f is a gradient. Let \bar{H} denote the closure of H in $L_2(Q)$. The gradient f may be replaced by its projection into \bar{H} , the *canonical gradient*. We show that the latter is given by the function

$$(2.1) \quad g(x) = f(x) - Ef(\varepsilon) - ax$$

with

$$a = E\varepsilon f(\varepsilon)/E\varepsilon^2.$$

To see this, note that g is a gradient since for $h \in H$,

$$Eh(\varepsilon)g(\varepsilon) = Eh(\varepsilon)f(\varepsilon) - Eh(\varepsilon)Ef(\varepsilon) - aE\varepsilon h(\varepsilon) = Eh(\varepsilon)f(\varepsilon),$$

and that $g \in \bar{H}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} Eg(\varepsilon) &= Ef(\varepsilon) - Ef(\varepsilon) - aE\varepsilon = 0, \\ E\varepsilon g(\varepsilon) &= E\varepsilon f(\varepsilon) - E\varepsilon Ef(\varepsilon) - aE\varepsilon^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

An estimator T_n for $Ef(\varepsilon)$ is called *regular* at Q with *limit* L if, for $h \in H$,

$$n^{1/2}(T_n - E^{nh}f(\varepsilon)) \Rightarrow L \quad \text{under } P_n^{nh}.$$

By the convolution theorem, an asymptotic variance bound for such an estimator is given by the squared length of the canonical gradient. More precisely, $L = M + N$ in distribution, where M is independent of N , and N is normal with mean zero and variance equal to

$$Eg(\varepsilon)^2 = E(f(\varepsilon) - Ef(\varepsilon))^2 - (E\varepsilon f(\varepsilon))^2/E\varepsilon^2.$$

The convolution theorem justifies calling an estimator *efficient* for $Ef(\varepsilon)$ at Q if its limit distribution under P_n is N . It is well known that an estimator T_n for $Ef(\varepsilon)$ is regular and efficient at Q if and only if it is asymptotically linear with influence function equal to the canonical gradient,

$$(2.2) \quad n^{1/2}(T_n - Ef(\varepsilon)) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i - \vartheta X_{i-1}) + o_{P_n}(1).$$

We use this characterization to prove our main result. A convenient reference for the characterization is Greenwood and Wefelmeyer (1990).

A different local model is introduced in Huang (1986) and Kreiss (1987). It does not take into account that the residuals have mean zero. Hence the condition

$E\varepsilon h(\varepsilon) = 0$ is missing in the definition of H , the relation $E^{nh}\varepsilon = 0$ does not hold, and the local model is not contained in the given model. With this local model the canonical gradient is $f(x) - Ef(\varepsilon)$, and the empirical estimator $\hat{E}_n f$ would be declared efficient. We have seen that it can be improved. The mistake is harmless for estimating ϑ . In fact, because of adaptivity, it suffices then to consider local models with Q fixed and ϑ varying. Local asymptotic normality for such models is proved in Akahira (1976) and Akritas and Johnson (1982).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let f be bounded with uniformly continuous and bounded derivative. Then the estimator*

$$E_n^* f = \hat{E}_n f - \hat{a}_n n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}, \quad \text{with}$$

$$\hat{a}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} f(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni})}{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}^2},$$

is regular and efficient for $Ef(\varepsilon)$ at Q .

3. Proof

In this section, all sums extend over i from 1 to n , as before. We must show that (2.2), with g given in (2.1), holds for $T_n = E_n^* f$. This follows if we prove

$$(3.1) \quad n^{1/2}(\hat{E}_n f - Ef(\varepsilon)) = n^{-1/2} \sum (f(\varepsilon_i) - Ef(\varepsilon)) + o_{P_n}(1),$$

$$(3.2) \quad n^{-1/2} \sum \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} = n^{-1/2} \sum \varepsilon_i + o_{P_n}(1),$$

$$(3.3) \quad \hat{a}_n = a + o_{P_n}(1).$$

Fix $\delta > 0$. Since $\hat{\vartheta}_n$ is $n^{1/2}$ -consistent, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$P_n\{|\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta| > n^{-1/2}c\} \leq \delta.$$

Since X_i is square-integrable,

$$P_n \left\{ \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |X_i| > \delta c^{-1} n^{1/2} \right\} = o(1).$$

Hence we may restrict attention to X_0, \dots, X_n with

$$|\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta| \leq n^{-1/2}c, \quad |X_i| \leq \delta c^{-1} n^{1/2}.$$

(i) To prove (3.1), choose $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ni}$ between ε_i and $\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad f(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}) = f(\varepsilon_i) + (\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} - \varepsilon_i) f'(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ni}).$$

Write

$$n^{1/2}(\hat{E}_n f - Ef(\varepsilon)) = n^{-1/2} \sum (f(\varepsilon_i) - Ef(\varepsilon)) \\ - n^{1/2}(\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta)n^{-1} \sum X_{i-1} f(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ni}).$$

The least squares estimator is $n^{1/2}$ -consistent, $n^{1/2}(\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta) = o_{P_n}(1)$. Use (3.4) to write

$$n^{-1} \sum X_{i-1} f'(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ni}) = n^{-1} \sum X_{i-1} f'(\varepsilon_i) + n^{-1} \sum (f'(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ni}) - f'(\varepsilon_i)).$$

Relation (3.1) follows if this is of order $o_{P_n}(1)$. The second right-hand term is $o_{P_n}(1)$ since f' is uniformly continuous and

$$|\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ni} - \varepsilon_i| \leq |\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} - \varepsilon_i| \leq |\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta| |X_{i-1}| \leq \delta,$$

with δ arbitrarily small. The first right-hand term is $o_{P_n}(1)$ by the ergodic theorem since

$$EX_0 f'(X_1 - \vartheta X_0) = EX_0 E f'(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

(ii) To prove (3.2), write

$$n^{-1/2} \sum (\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} - \varepsilon_i) = -n^{1/2}(\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta)n^{-1} \sum X_{i-1}.$$

The least squares estimator is $n^{1/2}$ -consistent, and the ergodic theorem and $EX_0 = 0$ imply $n^{-1} \sum X_{i-1} = o_{P_n}(1)$. Hence (3.2) holds.

(iii) To prove (3.3), note that \hat{a}_n is a ratio of two averages. Consider first the numerator. Write

$$(3.5) \quad n^{-1} \sum (\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} f(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}) - \varepsilon_i f(\varepsilon_i)) \\ = n^{-1} \sum (\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} - \varepsilon_i) f(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}) + n^{-1} \sum \varepsilon_i (f(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}) - f(\varepsilon_i)).$$

The function f is bounded, say by c . Hence the first right-hand term in (3.5) is bounded by $c|\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta|n^{-1} \sum |X_{i-1}|$. This is of order $o_{P_n}(1)$ since $\hat{\vartheta}_n$ is consistent and $n^{-1} \sum |X_{i-1}| = E|X_0| + o_{P_n}(1)$ by the ergodic theorem. Use (3.4) to write the second right-hand term in (3.5) as

$$(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} - \varepsilon_i)n^{-1} \sum \varepsilon_i f'(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{ni}).$$

The function f' is bounded, say by c . Hence the second right-hand term in (3.5) is bounded by $\delta cn^{-1} \sum |\varepsilon_i|$ and is therefore of order $o_{P_n}(1)$ by the ergodic theorem since δ is arbitrarily small. We obtain that (3.5) is $o_{P_n}(1)$, and by the ergodic theorem,

$$(3.6) \quad n^{-1} \sum \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} f(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}) = n^{-1} \sum \varepsilon_i f(\varepsilon_i) + o_{P_n}(1) \\ = Ef(\varepsilon) + o_{P_n}(1).$$

Consider now the denominator of \hat{a}_n . Write

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-1} \sum (\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}^2 - \varepsilon_i^2) &= n^{-1} \sum (\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} - \varepsilon_i)(\hat{\varepsilon}_{ni} + \varepsilon_i) \\ &= -(\hat{\vartheta}_n - \vartheta)n^{-1} \sum X_{i-1}(2X_i + (\hat{\vartheta}_n + \vartheta)X_{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This is bounded by $\delta 2(1 + |\vartheta|)n^{-1} \sum |X_{i-1}|$ and hence is of order $o_{P_n}(1)$ by the ergodic theorem since δ is arbitrarily small. Again by the ergodic theorem,

$$(3.7) \quad n^{-1} \sum \hat{\varepsilon}_{ni}^2 = n^{-1} \sum \varepsilon_i^2 + o_{P_n}(1) = E\varepsilon^2 + o_{P_n}(1).$$

Since $E\varepsilon^2$ is positive, relations (3.6) and (3.7) imply (3.3), and we are done.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the referees for several corrections and additional references.

REFERENCES

- Akahira, M. (1976). On the asymptotic efficiency of estimators in an autoregressive process, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **28**, 35–48.
- Akritas, M. G. and Johnson, R. A. (1982). Efficiencies of tests and estimators for p -order autoregressive processes when the error distribution is nonnormal, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **34**, 579–589.
- Bickel, P. J. (1982). On adaptive estimation, *Ann. Statist.*, **10**, 647–671.
- Bickel, P. J., Klaassen, C. A. J., Ritov, Y. and Wellner, J. A. (1993). *Efficient and Adaptive Estimation in Semiparametric Models*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- Boldin, M. V. (1982). Estimation of the distribution of noise in an autoregression scheme, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **27**, 866–871.
- Boldin, M. V. (1983). Testing hypotheses in autoregression schemes by the Kolmogorov and ω^2 criteria, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **28**, 550–553.
- Boldin, M. V. (1989). On testing hypotheses in the sliding average scheme by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and ω^2 tests, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **27**, 866–871.
- Greenwood, P. E. and Wefelmeyer, W. (1990). Efficiency of estimators for partially specified filtered models, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **36**, 353–370.
- Huang, W.-M. (1986). A characterization of limiting distributions of estimators in an autoregressive process, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **38**, 137–144.
- Koshevnik, Y. (1992). Efficient estimation for restricted nonparametric models, preprint.
- Koul, H. L. (1991). A weak convergence result useful in robust autoregression, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **29**, 291–308.
- Koul, H. L. (1992). *Weighted Empirical and Linear Models*, IMS Lecture Notes—Monograph Series, Vol. 21, Hayward, California.
- Koul, H. L. and Leventhal, S. (1989). Weak convergence of the residual empirical process in explosive autoregression, *Ann. Statist.*, **17**, 1784–1794.
- Kreiss, J.-P. (1987). On adaptive estimation in autoregressive models when there are nuisance parameters, *Statist. Decisions*, **5**, 59–76.
- Kreiss, J.-P. (1991). Estimation of the distribution function of noise in stationary processes, *Metrika*, **38**, 285–297.
- Pfanzagl, J. and Wefelmeyer, W. (1982). *Contributions to a General Asymptotic Statistical Theory*, *Lecture Notes in Statistics*, **13**, Springer, New York.
- Schick, A. (1987). A note on the construction of asymptotically linear estimators, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **16**, 89–105 (correction: *ibid.* (1989). **22**, 269–270).
- Wefelmeyer, W. (1992). Quasi-likelihood models and optimal inference, preprint.