# A GENERALIZATION OF THE RESULTS OF PILLAI

### YASUHIRO FUJITA

Department of Mathematics, Toyama University, Toyama 930, Japan

(Received April 20, 1992; revised August 3, 1992)

Abstract. In a recent article Pillai (1990, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 42, 157–161) showed that the distribution  $1 - E_{\alpha}(-x^{\alpha})$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ;  $0 \leq x$ , where  $E_{\alpha}(x)$  is the Mittag-Leffler function, is infinitely divisible and geometrically infinitely divisible. He also clarified the relation between this distribution and a stable distribution. In the present paper, we generalize his results by using Bernstein functions. In statistics, this generalization is important, because it gives a new characterization of geometrically infinitely divisible distributions with support in  $[0, \infty)$ .

Key words and phrases: Bernstein function, Laplace-Stieltjes transform, infinite divisibility, geometric infinite divisibility, Lévy process.

#### 1. Introduction and results

Pillai (1990) showed that the distribution  $1 - E_{\alpha}(-x^{\alpha})$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ;  $0 \leq x$ , where  $E_{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n / \Gamma(1 + n\alpha)$  is the Mittag-Leffler function, is infinitely divisible and geometrically infinitely divisible (for the definition of geometric infinite divisibility, see below). He also showed that this distribution is equal to the distribution of  $Z_{\alpha}(S(1))$ , where  $Z_{\alpha}(t)$  is the stable process with  $\mathbf{E} \exp\{-uZ_{\alpha}(t)\} =$  $\exp\{-tu^{\alpha}\}, u \geq 0$ , and S(t) is the gamma process with the density  $x^{t-1}e^{-x}dx/$  $\Gamma(t), x > 0$ .

The aim of the present paper is to generalize his results by using Bernstein functions. In statistics, this generalization is important, because it gives a new characterization of geometrically infinitely divisible distributions with support in  $[0, \infty)$ .

A  $C^{\infty}$ -function f from  $(0, \infty)$  to  $\mathbf{R}$  is said to be a *Bernstein function*, if  $f(x) \geq 0, x > 0$ , and  $(-1)^p d^p f/dx^p \leq 0, x > 0$ , for all integers  $p \geq 1$  (cf. Def. 9.1 of Berg and Forst (1975)). Thus df/dx becomes a completely monotone function. Such a function f is characterized by

$$f(x) = a + bx + \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-sx})\mu(ds), \quad x > 0,$$

where a, b are non-negative constants and  $\mu(ds)$  is a positive measure on  $(0,\infty)$ 

such that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{s}{1+s} \mu(ds) < \infty$$

(see Theorem 9.8 of Berg and Forst (1975)). In the present paper we assume that

(1.1) 
$$\lim_{x\downarrow 0} f(x) = 0, \quad \lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty.$$

It is easy to see that  $\lim_{x\downarrow 0} f(x) = 0$  if and only if a = 0, and that  $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty$  if and only if b > 0 or  $\mu((0,\infty)) = \infty$ . Then, since f is a non-zero Bernstein function, f(x) > 0, x > 0, and 1/f is completely monotone (cf. Exercise 9.9 of Berg and Forst (1975)). Thus, there exists a unique positive measure W(dx) on  $[0,\infty)$  such that

(1.2) 
$$\frac{1}{f(x)} = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} W(ds), \quad x > 0.$$

We denote by  $W^{n*}(dx)$  the *n*-times convolution measure of W(dx). For  $\lambda > 0$  define the function  $U_{\lambda}(x)$  on **R** by

(1.3) 
$$U_{\lambda}(x) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\lambda)^n W^{n*}([0,x]), & x \ge 0, \\ 0, & x < 0. \end{cases}$$

We shall show that  $U_{\lambda}(x)$  is a distribution function and it is a generalization of the distribution function  $1 - E_{\alpha}(-x^{\alpha})$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ;  $0 \leq x$ . Remark that the function  $f(x) = x^{\alpha}$  is a Bernstein function. In this case  $W^{n*}([0, x]) = \{1/\Gamma(1 + n\alpha)\}x^{n\alpha}$  and  $U_1(x) = 1 - E_{\alpha}(-x^{\alpha})$ .

Now, we state the main results of the present paper. All theorems of this section are proved in Section 2. The first theorem shows that  $U_{\lambda}$  is infinitely divisible.

THEOREM 1.1. Let f be a Bernstein function with (1.1). Then, for every  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $U_{\lambda}$  is an infinitely divisible distribution with the Laplace-Stieltjes transform  $\lambda \ (\lambda + f(\cdot))^{-1}$ .

In Theorem 1.2 below, we construct the Lévy process which has the distribution  $U_{\lambda}$  for t = 1. This theorem corresponds to Theorem 4.3 of Pillai (1990), which clarifies the relation between the distribution  $1 - E_{\alpha}(-x^{\alpha})$  and a stable process.

THEOREM 1.2. Let f be a Bernstein function with (1.1). Then, the Lévy process with the distribution function  $U_{\lambda}$  for t = 1 is  $Z(S_{\lambda}(\cdot))$ . Here Z(t) is the non-negative and non-decreasing Lévy process such that  $\mathbf{E} \exp\{-uZ(t)\} =$  $\exp\{-tf(u)\}$  for  $u \geq 0$ ;  $S_{\lambda}(t)$  is the gamma process with the probability density  $\lambda^{t}s^{t-1}e^{-\lambda s}ds/\Gamma(t), s > 0$ ; Z and  $S_{\lambda}$  are independent.

Next, we show that  $U_{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , is geometrically infinitely divisible. A distribution function G with G(0) = 0 is said to be geometrically infinitely divisible if for

362

every  $p, 0 , there exists a distribution function <math>H_p$  with  $H_p(0) = 0$  such that

$$G(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p(1-p)^{j-1} H_p^{j*}(x), \quad x > 0,$$

where  $H_p^{j*}$  is the *j*-times convolution of  $H_p$ . For more details, see Klebanov *et al.* (1984).

THEOREM 1.3. Let f be a Bernstein function with (1.1). Then, for every  $\lambda > 0$  and 0 ,

(1.4) 
$$U_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p(1-p)^{j-1} U_{\lambda/p}^{j*}(x), \quad x > 0.$$

Thus  $U_{\lambda}$  is geometrically infinitely divisible.

Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 are a generalization of the corresponding results of Pillai (1990). As shown in Theorem 1.4 below, this generalization is important in statistics, because it gives a new characterization of geometrically infinitely divisible distributions with support in  $[0, \infty)$ .

THEOREM 1.4. A distribution function G with G(0) = 0 is geometrically infinitely divisible, if and only if G is expressed by

(1.5) 
$$G(x) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n W^{n*}([0,x]), \quad x > 0,$$

where W(dx) is the positive measure satisfying (1.2) for some Bernstein function f with property (1.1).

## 2. Proofs

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Choose  $z_0 > 0$  so that  $f(z) > \lambda$  for  $z > z_0$ . This is possible, since f is non-decreasing and  $f(z) \to \infty$  as  $z \to \infty$ . For  $z > z_0$ , we have

$$0 \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zx} W^{n*}(dx) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda/f(z))^n = \frac{\lambda}{f(z) - \lambda} < \infty.$$

Thus the dominated convergence theorem yields

(2.1) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{-zx} dU_\lambda(x) = -\sum_{n=1}^\infty (-\lambda)^n \int_0^\infty e^{-zx} W^{n*}(dx)$$
$$= -\sum_{n=1}^\infty (-\lambda/f(z))^n$$
$$= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + f(z)} = \exp\left\{-\log\left(1 + \frac{f(z)}{\lambda}\right)\right\}.$$

### YASUHIRO FUJITA

Let  $g(z) = \log(1 + f(z)/\lambda)$ . In order to complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that  $g'(z) = f'(z)/[\lambda + f(z)]$  is completely monotone (see Theorem 1 of XIII 7 of Feller (1971)). Since f is a Bernstein function, Criteria 1 and 2 of XIII 4 of Feller (1971) shows that both f' and  $1/[\lambda + f(z)]$  are completely monotone so g' is also completely monotone. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. The existence of Z(t) follows from Theorem 9.18 of Berg and Forst (1975). Then the theorem is clear, since

$$\boldsymbol{E} \exp\{-uZ(S_{\lambda}(1))\} = \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{E} \exp\{-uZ(s)\}e^{-\lambda s}ds$$
$$= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + f(u)} = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-ux}dU_{\lambda}(x), \quad u \ge 0.$$

In the last equality we used Theorem 1.1. This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. An easy calculation and Theorem 1.1 show that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-zx} dx \left[ \sum_{j=1}^\infty p(1-p)^{j-1} U_{\lambda/p}^{j*}(x) \right] = \sum_{j=1}^\infty p(1-p)^{j-1} \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{-zx} dU_{\lambda/p}(x) \right]^j$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^\infty p(1-p)^{j-1} \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + pf(z)} \right]^j$$
$$= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + f(z)}, \quad z \ge 0.$$

Thus (1.4) follows from the uniqueness of the Laplace-Stieltjes transforms. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4. First, we show "if part". Suppose that G is expressed by (1.5). Here W(dx) is the positive measure satisfying (1.2) for some Bernstein function f with property (1.1). Letting x tend to  $\infty$  in (1.2), we have by (1.1)  $W(\{0\}) = 0$ . Thus G(0) = 0. Then "if part" follows from Theorem 1.3. Second we show "only if part". Suppose that G is geometrically infinitely divisible distribution with G(0) = 0. By Theorem 2 of Klebanov *et al.* (1984), there exists a Lévy process X(t) such that

(2.2) 
$$\mathbf{E}e^{-zX(t)} = \exp\left\{-t\left(\left[\int_0^\infty e^{-zx}dG(x)\right]^{-1} - 1\right)\right\}, \quad \text{Re}z = 0.$$

For  $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ , let

(2.3) 
$$f(z) = \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-zx} dG(x)\right]^{-1} - 1.$$

364

We shall show that f is extended to the domain  $\text{Re}z \ge 0$  and it is a Bernstein function on  $(0, \infty)$ . We have by (2.2) and (2.3)

(2.4) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{-zx} dG(x) = \frac{1}{1+f(z)} = \exp\{-\log(1+f(z))\}$$
$$= \exp\{\int_0^\infty (e^{-sf(z)} - 1)s^{-1}e^{-s}ds\}$$
$$= \exp\{\int_R (e^{-zx} - 1)\rho(dx)\}, \quad \text{Re}z = 0$$

where  $\rho(dx) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}(X(s) \in dx) s^{-1} e^{-s} ds$ . Thus G is infinitely divisible. Since the support of G is in  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $\rho$  must satisfy  $\rho((-\infty, 0)) = 0$ . Then the support of  $X(t), t \ge 0$ , is also in  $[0, \infty)$ , and (2.2) is extended to the domain  $\operatorname{Re} z \ge 0$ . This means that the function  $e^{-tf(z)} = \mathbf{E}e^{-zX(t)}, z > 0$ , is completely monotone for each t > 0. By Proposition 9.2 of Berg and Forst (1975), f is a Bernstein function. By (2.3) and G(0) = 0, f satisfies (1.1). Then we find a positive measure W(dx)on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying (1.2). By Theorem 1.1,  $H(x) = -\sum_{n=1}^\infty (-1)^n W^{n*}([0, x])$ satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-zx} dH(x) = \frac{1}{1+f(z)}, \quad z > 0.$$

By (2.4), the uniqueness of the Laplace-Stieltjes transforms shows that G = H. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

### Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank the referees who have carefully read the manuscript and whose constructive criticisms have been most helpful.

### References

- Berg, C. and Forst, G. (1975). Potential Theory on Locally Compact Abelian Groups, Springer, Berlin.
- Feller, W. (1971). An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 2, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York.
- Klebanov, L. B., Maniya, G. M. and Melamed, I. A. (1984). A problem of Zolotarev and analogs of infinitely divisible and stable distributions in a scheme for summing a random number of random variables, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **29**, 791–794.

Pillai, R. N. (1990). On Mittag-Leffler functions and related distributions, Ann. Inst. Statist. Math., 42, 157–161.