

SEARCH DESIGNS FOR SEARCHING FOR ONE AMONG THE TWO- AND THREE-FACTOR INTERACTION EFFECTS IN THE GENERAL SYMMETRIC AND ASYMMETRIC FACTORIALS

KASHINATH CHATTERJEE

Department of Statistics, Asutosh College, 92, S.P. Mukherjee Road, Calcutta-700 026, India

(Received August 18, 1988; revised July 17, 1989)

Abstract. This paper describes the construction of search designs which permit the estimation of the general mean and main-effects, and allow the search for and estimation of one possibly unknown non-zero effect among the two- and three-factor interactions in the general symmetric and asymmetric factorial set-up.

Key words and phrases: Main-effect, two- and three-factor interaction.

1. Introduction

The notion of search designs is originally due to Srivastava (1975) who gave the basic mathematical formulation of the problem. Srivastava (1975, 1976a) and Ghosh (1975) gave comprehensive construction procedures for the 2^n series. Srivastava (1976b) also considered optimality criteria, bias and some interesting applications of search models. A good number of construction procedures for the 2^n series were suggested by Srivastava and Ghosh (1977) and Srivastava and Gupta (1979). Anderson and Thomas (1980) suggested construction procedures for search designs for the p^n series, where p is a prime or a prime power. The problems of constructing search designs were investigated by Gupta (1977, 1981, 1984), Ghosh (1980, 1981), Gupta and Ramirez Carvajal (1981) and Ohnishi and Shirakura (1985). Chatterjee and Mukerjee (1986) obtained some results on search designs which permit the estimation of the general mean and main-effects, and allow the search and estimation of one possibly unknown non-zero effect among the two-factor interactions in the general symmetric and asymmetric factorial set-up.

The present paper deals with the construction of search designs for a general factorial set-up with m factors that allow estimation of the general

mean and main-effects, and detect and estimate at most one among two- and three-factor interactions. This problem was earlier considered by Ghosh (1981) and he gave construction procedures for the above problem in the 2^n factorial set-up. Here our primary objective is the construction in the general asymmetric factorial set-up. To motivate, we begin with the symmetric factorial set-up and then extend the same to the general asymmetric factorials. The suggested designs keep the number of runs considerably low.

The linear model considered here is similar to that of Srivastava (1975). Let $Y^{(N \times 1)}$ be the vector of observations with $E(Y) = X\tau$, $\text{Disp}(Y) = \sigma^2 I$, where

$$(1.1) \quad X = [X_1^{(N \times n_1)}, X_2^{(N \times n_2)}]$$

is the known design matrix and $\tau = (\tau'_1, \tau'_2)'$, τ_1 being an $n_1 \times 1$ vector of unknown fixed parameters and τ_2 being an $n_2 \times 1$ vector of fixed parameters, which may be partitioned as:

$$(1.2) \quad \tau_2 = (\beta'_1, \beta'_2, \dots, \beta'_\eta)'$$

Here τ_2 is not completely unknown. It is known that at most z among $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_\eta$ are non-null where z is small relative to " η ". The problem here is to search for the non-null vectors of (1.2) and to draw inference regarding them and τ_1 . In the general symmetric and asymmetric factorial set-up, at least one of $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_\eta$ involves more than a single component, for in such settings a factorial effect may be represented by more than one independent parameters; on the other hand, Srivastava (1975) considered a set-up where each $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_\eta$ involves a single component. In the present paper we take $z = 1$.

Let the partitioning of X_2 corresponding to (1.2) be $X_2 = [X_{21}, X_{22}, \dots, X_{2\eta}]$ and assume that the error variance is negligible (i.e., $\sigma^2 = 0$). Then the following theorem holds along with that of Srivastava (1975) (see also Chatterjee and Mukerjee (1986)).

THEOREM 1.1. *A necessary and sufficient condition that the above search design problem will be completely solved is that for arbitrary g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{2z} ($1 \leq g_1 < g_2 < \dots < g_{2z} \leq \eta$), the matrix $[X_1, X_{2g_1}, X_{2g_2}, \dots, X_{2g_{2z}}]$ has full column rank.*

2. Notation and preliminaries

Consider a factorial set-up involving m (≥ 3) factors F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m at s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m levels, respectively, ($s_j \geq 2$, $1 \leq j \leq m$) where,

$$(2.1) \quad s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_m .$$

A typical level combination would be (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) ($0 \leq i_j \leq s_j - 1$; $1 \leq j \leq m$). Throughout this paper, the $v = \prod s_j$ level combinations will be assumed to be lexicographically ordered. The main-effect of factor F_i carrying $(s_i - 1)$ degrees of freedom will also be denoted by F_i , while $F_i F_j$ and $F_i F_j F_t$ will represent typical two- and three-factor interactions carrying $(s_i - 1)(s_j - 1)$ and $(s_i - 1)(s_j - 1)(s_t - 1)$ degrees of freedom, respectively ($1 \leq i, j, t \leq m$, $i < j < t$).

Suppose prior information is available regarding the absence of all interactions involving four or more factors (these interactions will be assumed to be absent throughout). The following notation will be helpful in this context. Let for $1 \leq j \leq m$, $\mathbf{1}_j^{(s_j \times 1)} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)'$ and P_j be an $s_j \times (s_j - 1)$ matrix of full column rank such that $[s_j^{-1/2} \mathbf{1}_j, P_j]$ is orthogonal. Define for $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$P_j(x_j) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}_j & \text{if } x_j = 0 ; \\ P_j & \text{if } x_j = 1 . \end{cases}$$

Let for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $Z_i = \times_{u=1}^m P_u(\delta_{ui})$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, $Z_{ij} = \times_{u=1}^m P_u(\delta_{ui} + \delta_{uj})$ and for $1 \leq i < j < t \leq m$, $Z_{ijt} = \times_{u=1}^m P_u(\delta_{ui} + \delta_{uj} + \delta_{ut})$ and $\mathbf{1} = \times_{u=1}^m \mathbf{1}_u$ where \times denotes the Kronecker product and δ 's are Kronecker deltas. It is easy to observe that if one observation is made for each of the v level combinations of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m , the resulting design matrix will be of the form

$$(2.2) \quad [\mathbf{1}, Z_1, \dots, Z_m, Z_{12}, Z_{13}, \dots, Z_{m-1,m}, Z_{123}, Z_{124}, \dots, Z_{m-2,m-1,m}] ,$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ corresponds to the general mean effect and the columns of Z_i, Z_{ij}, Z_{ijt} correspond to the main-effect F_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$), interaction effects $F_i F_j$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq m$) and $F_i F_j F_t$ ($1 \leq i < j < t \leq m$), respectively. Of course, (2.2) incorporates Z_{ij} and Z_{ijt} only when the associated interaction effects $F_i F_j$ and $F_i F_j F_t$ are included in the model. Let $H_1 = [\mathbf{1}, Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_m]$; $H_2 = [Z_{12}, Z_{13}, \dots, Z_{m-1,m}]$ and $H_3 = [Z_{123}, Z_{124}, \dots, Z_{m-2,m-1,m}]$.

Let S be a subset of N level combinations of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m with the corresponding submatrices of H_1, H_2 and H_3 as, say, $T_1 = [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m]$, $T_2 = [G_{12}, G_{13}, \dots, G_{m-1,m}]$ and $T_3 = [V_{123}, V_{124}, \dots, V_{m-2,m-1,m}]$, respectively. Then from Theorem 1.1, one observes that S represents a search design of the above problem if and only if the following matrices

- i) $[T_1, G_{g_1 g_3}, G_{g_2 g_4}]$ where $1 \leq g_1 < g_3 \leq m$, $1 \leq g_2 < g_4 \leq m$, $g_3 \leq g_4$, $(g_1, g_3) \neq (g_2, g_4)$,
- ii) $[T_1, G_{g_1 g_3}, V_{h_1 h_2 h_3}]$ where $1 \leq g_1 < g_2 \leq m$, $1 \leq h_1 < h_2 < h_3 \leq m$ and

iii) $[T_1, V_{h_1h_3h_5}, V_{h_2h_4h_6}]$ where $1 \leq h_1 < h_3 < h_5 \leq m$, $1 \leq h_2 < h_4 < h_6 \leq m$, $h_5 \leq h_6$ and $(h_1, h_3, h_5) \neq (h_2, h_4, h_6)$, have full column rank.

3. Main result in the symmetric case

In order to construct search designs for the above set-up, first consider the case where $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_m = s$, say. The following notation will be helpful in describing the search designs considered here.

Define the $m \times 1$ vectors \mathbf{a}_j ($0 \leq j \leq s-1$) such that in \mathbf{a}_j , all the elements are j ; let A be an $m \times s$ array whose columns are given by \mathbf{a}_j for all possible j .

For $0 \leq j \leq s-1$, define the $m \times 1$ vectors \mathbf{b}_{j,u,i_u} ($1 \leq u \leq m$, $0 \leq i_u \leq s-1$, $j \neq i_u$) such that in \mathbf{b}_{j,u,i_u} , the u -th element is i_u and the remaining elements all equal j ; let B_j be an $m \times m(s-1)$ array whose columns are \mathbf{b}_{j,u,i_u} for all possible u and i_u .

Define the $m \times 1$ vectors \mathbf{c}_{j,u_1,u_2} ($1 \leq j \leq s-1$, $1 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m$) such that in \mathbf{c}_{j,u_1,u_2} , the u_1 -th and u_2 -th elements are zero and the remaining elements all equal j ; let C be an $m \times m(m-1)(s-1)/2$ array whose columns are given by \mathbf{c}_{j,u_1,u_2} for all possible j , u_1 and u_2 .

For $1 \leq j \leq s-2$, define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{d}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}$ ($1 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m$, $0 \leq \min(i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}) < j < \max(i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}) \leq s-1$) such that in $\mathbf{d}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}$, the u_1 -th element is i_{u_1} , the u_2 -th element is i_{u_2} and the remaining elements equal j ; let D_j be an $m \times [m(m-1)j(s-j-1)]$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{d}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}$ for all possible u_1 , u_2 , i_{u_1} and i_{u_2} .

For $1 \leq j \leq s-2$, define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{e}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}$ ($1 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m$, $j+1 \leq i_{u_1}, i_{u_2} \leq s-1$) such that in $\mathbf{e}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}$, the u_1 -th and u_2 -th elements are i_{u_1} and i_{u_2} and the remaining elements equal j ; let E_j be an $m \times [m(m-1)(s-j-1)^2/2]$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{e}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}$ for all possible u_1 , u_2 , i_{u_1} and i_{u_2} .

Let

$n =$ total number of runs

$$\begin{aligned} &= s + ms(s-1) + m(m-1)(s-1)/2 + m(m-1)s(s-1)(s-2)/6 \\ &\quad + m(m-1)(s-1)(s-2)(2s-3)/12 \end{aligned}$$

and define the following $m \times n$ array:

$$(3.1) \quad Q = [A, B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{s-1}, C, D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{s-2}, E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{s-2}].$$

Example 3.1. Let $m = 6$ and $s = 4$.

PROOF. If $(\mathbf{p}'_r - \mathbf{p}'_0)\boldsymbol{\varphi} = 0$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, s-1$, then it is easy to see that $B\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \mathbf{0}$, where the $(s-1) \times s$ matrix B is given by

$$B = [-\mathbf{1}_{s-1} \quad I_{s-1}].$$

Now by the definition of B and P , $BPP'B' = I_{s-1} + J_{s-1, s-1}$, which is non-singular, where I_{s-1} is an identity matrix of order $(s-1)$ and $J_{s-1, s-1}$ is an $(s-1) \times (s-1)$ matrix with all elements unity. So the square matrix BP is non-singular and hence the result is immediate.

It also follows from Lemma 3.1, that the columns of P^* with rows $(\mathbf{p}'_r - \mathbf{p}'_0)$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, s-1$, are linearly independent.

LEMMA 3.2. *The columns of the matrix Q , interpreted as the level combinations of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m , is a subset of n level combinations of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m for which the matrix $[T_1, G_{g_1g_2}, G_{g_2g_3}]$, where $1 \leq g_1 < g_2 \leq m$, $1 \leq g_2 < g_3 \leq m$, $g_3 \leq g_4$ and $(g_1, g_3) \neq (g_2, g_4)$, has full column rank.*

LEMMA 3.3. *The columns of the matrix Q , interpreted as the level combinations of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m , are a subset of n level combinations of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m for which the matrix $[T_1, G_{g_1g_2}, V_{h_1h_2h_3}]$, where $1 \leq g_1 < g_2 \leq m$ and $1 \leq h_1 < h_2 < h_3 \leq m$, has full column rank.*

PROOF. Under the notation of Section 2, consider the matrix $X(g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2, h_3) = [T_1, G_{g_1g_2}, V_{h_1h_2h_3}] = [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m, G_{g_1g_2}, V_{h_1h_2h_3}]$, where

$$(3.2) \quad 1 \leq g_1 < g_2 \leq m, \quad 1 \leq h_1 < h_2 < h_3 \leq m.$$

To prove the lemma, consider

$$(3.3) \quad X(g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2, h_3)\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{0}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_0, \theta'_1, \dots, \theta'_m, \alpha'_1, \alpha'_2)'$, say. Recalling the definitions of $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m, G_{g_1g_2}, V_{h_1h_2h_3}$, (3.3) together with (3.1) gives the equations (3.4) to (3.8). For example, (3.4) corresponds to the subarray A of Q .

$$(3.4) \quad \theta_0 + \sum_{v=1}^m \mathbf{p}'_{vj}\boldsymbol{\theta}_v + (\mathbf{p}'_{g_1j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{g_2j})\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 + (\mathbf{p}'_{h_1j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3j})\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = 0$$

where $0 \leq j \leq s-1$ and \mathbf{p}'_{vj} ($1 \leq v \leq m$, $0 \leq j \leq s-1$) denote the j -th row of P_v .

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad \theta_0 + \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq u}}^m \mathbf{p}'_{vj} \boldsymbol{\theta}_v + \mathbf{p}'_{ui} \boldsymbol{\theta}_u \\
+ [\delta_{ug_1}(\mathbf{p}'_{g_1 i_e} \times \mathbf{p}'_{g_2 j}) + \delta_{ug_2}(\mathbf{p}'_{g_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{g_2 i_e}) \\
+ (1 - \delta_{ug_1} - \delta_{ug_2})(\mathbf{p}'_{g_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{g_2 j})] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 \\
+ [\delta_{uh_1}(\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 i_h} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 j}) + \delta_{uh_2}(\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 i_h} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 j}) \\
+ \delta_{uh_3}(\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 i_h}) \\
+ (1 - \delta_{uh_1} - \delta_{uh_2} - \delta_{uh_3})(\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 j})] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = 0, \\
0 \leq j \leq s-1, \quad 1 \leq u \leq m, \quad 0 \leq i_u \leq s-1, \quad j \neq i_u.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_0 + \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq u_1, u_2}}^m \mathbf{p}'_{vj} \boldsymbol{\theta}_v + \mathbf{p}'_{u_1 i_1} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{u_1} + \mathbf{p}'_{u_2 i_2} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{u_2} \\
+ [\delta_{u_1 g_1} \{ \delta_{u_2 g_2} (\mathbf{p}'_{g_1 i_e} \times \mathbf{p}'_{g_2 i_e}) + (1 - \delta_{u_2 g_2})(\mathbf{p}'_{g_1 i_e} \times \mathbf{p}'_{g_1 j}) \} \\
+ (1 - \delta_{u_1 g_1}) \{ \delta_{u_1 g_2} (\mathbf{p}'_{g_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{g_2 i_e}) \\
+ (1 - \delta_{u_1 g_2}) \{ \delta_{u_2 g_1} (\mathbf{p}'_{g_1 i_e} \times \mathbf{p}'_{g_2 j}) + \delta_{u_2 g_2} (\mathbf{p}'_{g_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{g_2 i_e}) \\
+ (1 - \delta_{u_2 g_1} - \delta_{u_2 g_2})(\mathbf{p}'_{g_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{g_2 j}) \} \}] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 \\
+ [\delta_{u_1 h_1} \{ \delta_{u_2 h_2} (\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 i_h} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 i_h} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 j}) \\
+ \delta_{u_2 h_3} (\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 i_h} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 i_h}) \\
+ (1 - \delta_{u_2 h_2} - \delta_{u_2 h_3})(\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 i_h} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 j}) \} \\
+ (1 - \delta_{u_1 h_1}) \{ \delta_{u_1 h_2} \{ \delta_{u_2 h_3} (\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 i_h} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 i_h}) \\
+ (1 - \delta_{u_2 h_3})(\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 i_h} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 j}) \} \\
+ (1 - \delta_{u_1 h_2}) \{ \delta_{u_1 h_3} (\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 i_h}) \\
+ (1 - \delta_{u_1 h_3}) \{ \delta_{u_2 h_1} (\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 i_h} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 j}) \\
+ \delta_{u_2 h_2} (\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 i_h} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 j}) + \delta_{u_2 h_3} (\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 i_h}) \\
+ (1 - \delta_{u_2 h_1} - \delta_{u_2 h_2} - \delta_{u_2 h_3})(\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2 j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 j}) \} \}] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2,
\end{aligned}$$

then,

$$(3.6) \quad \varphi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m, \quad i_{u_1} = 0, \quad i_{u_2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \\
1 \leq j \leq s-1,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s-2, \\ 0 \leq \min(i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}) < j < \max(i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}) \leq s-1,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \varphi(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s-2, \\ j+1 \leq i_{u_1}, i_{u_2} \leq s-1.$$

Now in view of (3.2), a number of cases may arise. In the above setting, first suppose $g_1 = h_1 < g_2 = h_2 < h_3$. We have to prove that (3.3) has the only solution $\theta = \mathbf{0}$.

For any fixed t ($1 \leq t \leq m$, $t \neq h_1, h_2, h_3$), subtracting (3.4) with $j = 0$, from (3.5) with $u = t$ and $j = 0$, one gets

$$(3.9) \quad (p'_{ti} - p'_{i0})\theta_i = 0, \quad 1 \leq i_t \leq s-1.$$

From Lemma 3.1 and (3.9), it follows that

$$(3.10) \quad \theta_t = \mathbf{0}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq m, \quad t \neq h_1, h_2, h_3.$$

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.4) with $j = 0$ from (3.5) with $u = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = r$ and $j = 0$, one gets

$$(3.11) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_10} \times p'_{h_20} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0.$$

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.6) with $u_1 = h_1$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $j = r$ from (3.5) with $j = r$, $u = h_1$ and $i_{h_1} = 0$, one gets

$$(3.12) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_10} \times p'_{h_2r} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0.$$

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.6) with $u_1 = h_2$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $j = r$ from (3.5) with $j = r$, $u = h_2$ and $i_{h_2} = 0$, one gets

$$(3.13) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_1r} \times p'_{h_20} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0.$$

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.6) with $u_1 = h_1$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $j = q$ from (3.7) with $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = 0$, $u_2 = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = r$ and $j = q$, one gets

$$(3.14) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_10} \times p'_{h_2q} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0$$

where $1 \leq q < r \leq s-1$.

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.6) with $u_1 = h_2$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $j = k$ from (3.7) with $u_1 = h_2$, $i_{h_2} = 0$, $u_2 = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = r$ and $j = k$, one gets

$$(3.15) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_1k} \times p'_{h_20} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0$$

where $1 \leq k < r \leq s - 1$.

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.5) with $u = h_2$, $i_{h_2} = q$ and $j = 0$ from (3.7) with $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = 0$, $u_2 = h_2$, $i_{h_2} = q$ and $j = r$, one gets

$$(3.16) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_10} \times p'_{h_2q} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0$$

where $1 \leq r < q \leq s - 1$.

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.5) with $u = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$ and $j = 0$ from (3.7) with $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_2$, $i_{h_2} = 0$ and $j = r$, one gets

$$(3.17) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_1k} \times p'_{h_20} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0$$

where $1 \leq r < k \leq s - 1$.

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.5) with $u = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = 0$ and $j = r$ from (3.4) with $j = r$, one gets

$$(3.18) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_1r} \times p'_{h_2r} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0.$$

For any fixed q, r ($1 \leq q, r \leq s - 1$, $q \neq r$), subtracting (3.5) with $u = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = 0$ and $j = q$ from (3.5) with $u = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = r$ and $j = q$, one gets

$$(3.19) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_1q} \times p'_{h_2q} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0.$$

For any fixed k, r ($1 \leq r < k \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.7) with $j = r$, $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = 0$ from (3.5) with $j = r$, $u = h_1$ and $i_{h_1} = k$, one gets

$$(3.20) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_1k} \times p'_{h_2r} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0.$$

For any fixed q, r ($1 \leq r < q \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.7) with $j = r$, $u_1 = h_2$, $i_{h_2} = q$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = 0$ from (3.5) with $j = r$, $u = h_2$ and $i_{h_2} = q$, one gets

$$(3.21) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_1r} \times p'_{h_2q} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0.$$

For any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq r < q < k \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.7) with $j = q$, $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = 0$ from (3.7) with $j = q$, $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = r$, one gets

$$(3.22) \quad (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})\theta_{h_3} + [p'_{h_1k} \times p'_{h_2q} \times (p'_{h_3r} - p'_{h_30})]\alpha_2 = 0.$$

For any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq r < k < q \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.7) with $j = k$, $u_1 = h_2$, $i_{h_2} = q$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = 0$ from (3.7) with $j = k$, $u_1 = h_2$, $i_{h_2} = q$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = r$, one gets

$$(3.23) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_3} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1k} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2q} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

For any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq q \leq s-2$, $q < k, r \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.7) with $j = q$, $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = 0$ from (3.8) with $j = q$, $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = r$, one gets

$$(3.24) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_3} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1k} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2q} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

For any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq k \leq s-2$, $k < q, r \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.7) with $j = k$, $u_1 = h_2$, $i_{h_2} = q$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = 0$ from (3.8) with $j = k$, $u_1 = h_2$, $i_{h_2} = q$, $u_2 = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = r$, one gets

$$(3.25) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_3} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1k} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2q} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

Now combining the equations (3.11) to (3.25), one gets for any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq r \leq s-1$, $0 \leq k, q \leq s-1$),

$$(3.26) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_3} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1k} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2q} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

Summing the s equations in (3.26), for fixed q, r ($1 \leq r \leq s-1$, $0 \leq q \leq s-1$), one gets $(\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_3} = \mathbf{0}$. From Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$(3.27) \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_3} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Using (3.27), one gets from (3.26), for any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq r \leq s-1$, $0 \leq k, q \leq s-1$),

$$(3.28) \quad [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1k} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_2q} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

Equation (3.28) implies that $[P_{h_1} \times P_{h_2} \times P_{h_3}^*]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ where $P_{h_3}^*$ is an $(s-1) \times (s-1)$ matrix with rows $(\mathbf{p}'_{h_3r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_30})$, $1 \leq r \leq s-1$. Since P_{h_1} , P_{h_2} and $P_{h_3}^*$ are of full column rank, one obtains $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{0}$. Proceeding in a similar manner, one can obtain $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_1} = \mathbf{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_2} = \mathbf{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0 = \mathbf{0}$. Hence $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{0}$.

The proof of other cases follows in a similar way through considerable algebra. The details of such cases are omitted here to save space.

LEMMA 3.4. *The columns of the matrix Q , interpreted as the level combinations of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m , are a subset of n level combinations of F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m for which the matrix $[T_1, V_{h_1h_3h_5}, V_{h_2h_4h_6}]$, where $1 \leq h_1 < h_3 < h_5 \leq m$, $1 \leq h_2 < h_4 < h_6 \leq m$, $h_5 \leq h_6$ and $(h_1, h_3, h_5) \neq (h_2, h_4, h_6)$, has full column rank.*

PROOF. Under the notation of Section 2, consider the matrix

$X(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5, h_6) = [T_1, V_{h_1 h_3 h_5}, V_{h_2 h_4 h_6}] = [\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m, V_{h_1 h_3 h_5}, V_{h_2 h_4 h_6}]$
where

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 \leq h_1 < h_3 < h_5 \leq m, \quad 1 \leq h_2 < h_4 < h_6 \leq m, \\ h_5 \leq h_6 \quad \text{and} \quad (h_1, h_3, h_5) \neq (h_2, h_4, h_6). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the lemma, consider

$$(3.30) \quad X(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5, h_6) \boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{0}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_0, \boldsymbol{\theta}'_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}'_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\theta}'_m, \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_1, \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_2)'$. Recalling the definitions of $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m, V_{h_1 h_3 h_5}$ and $V_{h_2 h_4 h_6}$, one gets from (3.30) together with (3.1) the equations (3.31) to (3.35). For example, (3.31) corresponds to the subarray A of Q .

$$(3.31) \quad \theta_0 + \sum_{v=1}^m \boldsymbol{p}'_{vj} \boldsymbol{\theta}_v + (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_1 j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_3 j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_5 j}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 + (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_2 j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_4 j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_6 j}) \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = 0$$

where $0 \leq j \leq s-1$ and \boldsymbol{p}'_{vj} are the j -th row of P_v ($1 \leq v \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq s-1$).

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta_0 + \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq u}}^m \boldsymbol{p}'_{vj} \boldsymbol{\theta}_v + \boldsymbol{p}'_{ui} \boldsymbol{\theta}_u \\ + \sum_{w=1}^2 [\delta_{uh_w} (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w i_h} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4j}) + \delta_{uh_{w+2}} (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2i_{h_{w+2}}} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4j}) \\ + \delta_{uh_{w+4}} (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4i_{h_{w+4}}}) \\ + (1 - \delta_{uh_w} - \delta_{uh_{w+2}} - \delta_{uh_{w+4}}) (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4j})] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_w = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq j \leq s-1, 1 \leq u \leq m, 0 \leq i_u \leq s-1, j \neq i_u$. Next, let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \theta_0 + \sum_{\substack{v=1 \\ v \neq u_1, u_2}}^m \boldsymbol{p}'_{vj} \boldsymbol{\theta}_v + \boldsymbol{p}'_{u_1 i_{u_1}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{u_1} + \boldsymbol{p}'_{u_2 i_{u_2}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{u_2} \\ + \sum_{w=1}^2 [\delta_{u_1 h_w} \{ \delta_{u_2 h_{w+2}} (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w i_{h_w}} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2i_{h_{w+2}}} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4j}) \\ + \delta_{uh_{w+4}} (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w i_{h_w}} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4i_{h_{w+4}}}) \\ + (1 - \delta_{u_2 h_{w+2}} - \delta_{u_2 h_{w+4}}) (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w i_{h_w}} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4j}) \} \\ + (1 - \delta_{u_1 h_w}) \{ \delta_{u_1 h_{w+2}} \{ \delta_{u_2 h_{w+4}} (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2i_{h_{w+2}}} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4i_{h_{w+4}}}) \\ + (1 - \delta_{u_2 h_{w+4}}) (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2i_{h_{w+2}}} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4j}) \} \\ + (1 - \delta_{u_1 h_{w+2}}) \{ \delta_{u_1 h_{w+4}} (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4i_{h_{w+4}}}) \\ + (1 - \delta_{u_1 h_{w+4}}) \{ \delta_{u_2 h_w} (\boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w i_{h_w}} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+2j} \times \boldsymbol{p}'_{h_w+4j}) \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \delta_{u_2 h_{w+2}} (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{wj}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{w+2} h_{w+2}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{w+4} j}) \\
& + \delta_{u_2 h_{w+4}} (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{wj}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{w+2} j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{w+4} h_{w+4}}) \\
& + (1 - \delta_{u_2 h_w} - \delta_{u_2 h_{w+2}} - \delta_{u_2 h_{w+4}}) (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{wj}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{w+2} j} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{w+4} j}) \} \} \} \alpha_w .
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$(3.33) \quad \gamma(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m, \quad i_{u_1} = 0, \quad i_{u_2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \\ 1 \leq j \leq s - 1 ,$$

$$(3.34) \quad \gamma(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s - 2 , \\ 0 \leq \min(i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}) < j < \max(i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}) \leq s - 1 ,$$

$$(3.35) \quad \gamma(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s - 2 , \\ j + 1 \leq i_{u_1}, i_{u_2} \leq s - 1 .$$

Now in view of (3.29), a number of cases may arise. In the above setting, first suppose that $h_1 = h_2 < h_3 = h_4 < h_5 < h_6$. Then (3.30) has the only solution $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{0}$.

For any fixed t ($1 \leq t \leq m$, $t \neq h_1, h_3, h_5, h_6$), subtracting (3.31) with $j = 0$ from (3.32) with $j = 0$ and $u = t$, one gets

$$(3.36) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{it} - \mathbf{p}'_{i0}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_t = 0, \quad 1 \leq i_t \leq s - 1 .$$

From Lemma 3.1 and (3.36), it follows that

$$(3.36') \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_t = \mathbf{0}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq m, \quad t \neq h_1, h_3, h_5, h_6 .$$

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.31) with $j = 0$ from (3.32) with $j = 0$, $u = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = r$, one gets

$$(3.37) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{5r}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{50}}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_5} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{10}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{30}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{5r}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{50}})] \alpha_1 = 0 .$$

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.33) with $u_1 = h_1$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $j = r$ from (3.32) with $u = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = 0$ and $j = r$, one gets

$$(3.38) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{5r}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{50}}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_5} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{10}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3r}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{5r}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{50}})] \alpha_1 = 0 .$$

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.33) with $u_1 = h_3$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $j = r$ from (3.32) with $u = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = 0$ and $j = r$, one gets

$$(3.39) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{5r}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{50}}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_5} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{3r}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{30}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{5r}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{50}})] \alpha_1 = 0 .$$

For any fixed q, r ($1 \leq q < r \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.33) with $u_1 = h_1$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $j = q$ from (3.34) with $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = 0$, $u_2 = h_5$, $i_{h_5} = r$ and $j = q$, one gets

$$(3.40) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{10}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3q}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

For any fixed k, r ($1 \leq k < r \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.33) with $u_1 = h_3$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $j = k$ from (3.34) with $u_1 = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = 0$, $u_2 = h_5$, $i_{h_5} = r$ and $j = k$, one gets

$$(3.41) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1k}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{30}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

For any fixed q, r ($1 \leq r < q \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.32) with $u = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = q$ and $j = 0$ from (3.34) with $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = 0$, $u_2 = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = q$ and $j = r$, one gets

$$(3.42) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{10}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3q}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 \\ + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{10}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3q}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = 0.$$

For any fixed k, r ($1 \leq r < k \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.32) with $u = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$ and $j = 0$ from (3.34) with $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = 0$ and $j = r$, one gets

$$(3.43) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1k}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{30}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 \\ + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1k}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{30}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = 0.$$

For any fixed r ($1 \leq r \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.32) with $u = h_5$, $i_{h_5} = 0$ and $j = r$ from (3.31) with $j = r$, one gets

$$(3.44) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1r}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3r}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

For any fixed q, r ($1 \leq q, r \leq s - 1$, $q \neq r$), subtracting (3.32) with $u = h_5$, $i_{h_5} = 0$ and $j = q$ from (3.32) with $u = h_5$, $i_{h_5} = r$ and $j = q$, one gets

$$(3.45) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1q}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3q}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

For any fixed k, r ($1 \leq r < k \leq s - 1$), subtracting (3.34) with $j = r$, $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = 0$ from (3.32) with $j = r$, $u_1 = h_1$ and $i_{h_1} = k$, one gets

$$(3.46) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1k}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3r}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

For any fixed q, r ($1 \leq r < q \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.34) with $j = r$, $u_1 = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = q$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = 0$ from (3.32) with $j = r$, $u = h_3$ and $i_{h_3} = q$, one gets

$$(3.47) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1r}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3q}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

For any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq r < q < k \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.34) with $j = q$, $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = 0$ from (3.34) with $j = q$, $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = r$, one gets

$$(3.48) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1k}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3q}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

For any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq r < k < q \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.34) with $j = k$, $u_1 = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = q$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = 0$ from (3.34) with $j = k$, $u_1 = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = q$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = r$, one gets

$$(3.49) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1k}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3q}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

For any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq q \leq s-2$, $q < k$, $r \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.34) with $j = q$, $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = 0$ from (3.35) with $j = q$, $u_1 = h_1$, $i_{h_1} = k$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = r$, one gets

$$(3.50) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1k}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3q}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

For any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq k \leq s-2$, $k < q$, $r \leq s-1$), subtracting (3.34) with $j = k$, $u_1 = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = q$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = 0$ from (3.35) with $j = k$, $u_1 = h_3$, $i_{h_3} = q$, $u_2 = h_5$ and $i_{h_5} = r$, one gets

$$(3.51) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1k}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3q}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

Now combining the equations (3.37) to (3.41) and the equations (3.44) to (3.51), one gets for any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq r \leq s-1$, $0 \leq k, q \leq s-1$), $(k, q, r) \neq (0, q, r)$ for $1 \leq r < q \leq s-1$ and $(k, q, r) \neq (k, 0, r)$ for $1 \leq r < k \leq s-1$,

$$(3.52) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_{1k}} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_{3q}} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})]\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

Summing the s equations in (3.52) one gets, for any fixed q, r ($1 \leq q \leq s-1$, $1 \leq r \leq s-1$, $q \leq r$), $(\mathbf{p}'_{h_{sr}} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_{s0}})\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} = 0$. Then from Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$(3.53) \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Using (3.53), one gets from (3.42), for any fixed r, q ($1 \leq r < q \leq s-1$),

$$(3.54) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_s r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_s 0}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 0} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 q} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_s r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_s 0})] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 \\ + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 0} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 q} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_s r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_s 0})] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{0} .$$

Using (3.53), one gets from (3.43), for any fixed r, k ($1 \leq r < k \leq s - 1$),

$$(3.55) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_{h_s r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_s 0}) \boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 k} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 0} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_s r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_s 0})] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 \\ + [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 k} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 0} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_s r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_s 0})] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{0} .$$

Using (3.53), one gets from (3.52), for any fixed k, q, r ($1 \leq r \leq s - 1$, $0 \leq k, q \leq s - 1$), $(k, q, r) \neq (0, q, r)$ for $1 \leq r < q \leq s - 1$ and $(k, q, r) \neq (k, 0, r)$ for $1 \leq r < k \leq s - 1$,

$$(3.56) \quad [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 k} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 q} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_s r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_s 0})] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = \mathbf{0} .$$

For any fixed r, q ($1 \leq r < q \leq s - 1$), summing the s equations that (3.54) and (3.56), and then subtracting from (3.54), one gets

$$(3.57) \quad [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 0} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 q} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_s r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_s 0})] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = \mathbf{0} .$$

For any fixed r, k ($1 \leq r < k \leq s - 1$), summing the s equations that (3.55) and (3.56), and then subtracting from (3.55), one gets

$$(3.58) \quad [\mathbf{p}'_{h_1 k} \times \mathbf{p}'_{h_3 0} \times (\mathbf{p}'_{h_s r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_s 0})] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = \mathbf{0} .$$

Equations (3.56) to (3.58) implies that $[P_{h_1} \times P_{h_3} \times P_{h_s}^*] \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = \mathbf{0}$, where $P_{h_s}^*$ is an $(s - 1) \times (s - 1)$ matrix with rows $(\mathbf{p}'_{h_s r} - \mathbf{p}'_{h_s 0})$, $1 \leq r \leq s - 1$. Since P_{h_1} , P_{h_3} and $P_{h_s}^*$ are of full column rank, one obtains $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = \mathbf{0}$. Proceeding in a similar way, one can obtain $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_1} = \mathbf{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_3} = \mathbf{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2 = \mathbf{0}$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{h_s} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\theta_0 = 0$. Hence $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{0}$.

The proofs of other cases follow in a similar manner through considerable algebra. The details of such cases are omitted here to save space but may be obtained from the author on request.

4. Main result in the asymmetric case

The following notation will be helpful in describing the search designs considered here. Admittedly, the notation is somewhat involved, but it is expected that the examples stated later in this section would be helpful in illustrating the plan under consideration. Let $s_0 = 0$.

For $1 \leq l \leq m - 1$, if $s_{l-1} < s_l$, then define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_j^{(1,l)}$ ($s_{l-1} \leq j \leq s_l - 1$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_j^{(1,l)}$, the first $(l - 1)$ elements equal 0 and the remaining elements are j ; let $A^{(1,l)}$ be an $m \times (s_l - s_{l-1})$ array whose

columns are given by $\mathbf{a}_j^{(1,l)}$ for all possible j . Note that $A^{(1,l)}$ is defined only when $s_{l-1} < s_l$.

For $1 \leq l \leq m-2$, if $s_l < s_{l+1}$, then define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_{j,u,i_u}^{(2,l)}$ ($s_l \leq j \leq s_{l+1}-1$, $1 \leq u \leq l$, $1 \leq i_u \leq s_u-1$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_{j,u,i_u}^{(2,l)}$, the u -th element is i_u , the last $(m-l)$ elements equal j and the remaining elements equal 0; let $A^{(2,l)}$ be an $m \times \sum_{u=1}^l (s_u-1)(s_{l+1}-s_l)$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{j,u,i_u}^{(2,l)}$ for all possible j , u and i_u . Note that $A^{(2,l)}$ is defined only when $s_l < s_{l+1}$.

For $1 \leq l \leq m-2$, if $s_{l-1} < s_l$, then define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_{j,u,i_u}^{(3,l)}$ ($s_{l-1} \leq j \leq s_l-1$, $l \leq u \leq m$, $0 \leq i_u \leq s_u-1$, $i_u \neq j$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_{j,u,i_u}^{(3,l)}$, the first $(l-1)$ elements equal 0, the u -th element is i_u and the remaining elements equal j ; let $A^{(3,l)}$ be an $m \times \sum_{u=l}^m (s_l-s_{l-1})(s_u-1)$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{j,u,i_u}^{(3,l)}$ for all possible j , u and i_u . Note that $A^{(3,l)}$ is defined only when $s_{l-1} < s_l$.

For $1 \leq l \leq m-3$, if $s_l < s_{l+1}$, define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}^{(4,l)}$ ($s_l \leq j \leq s_{l+1}-1$, $1 \leq u_1 \leq l$, $1 \leq i_{u_1} \leq s_{u_1}-1$, $l+1 \leq u_2 \leq m$, $j+1 \leq i_{u_2} \leq s_{u_2}-1$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}^{(4,l)}$, the u_1 -th element is i_{u_1} , the remaining of the first l elements equal 0, the u_2 -th element is i_{u_2} and the remaining elements equal j ; let $A^{(4,l)}$ be an $m \times \left[\sum_{u_1=1}^l (s_{u_1}-1) \right] \left[\sum_{j=s_l}^{s_{l+1}-1} \sum_{u_2=l+1}^m (s_{u_2}-j-1) \right]$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}^{(4,l)}$ for all possible j , u_1 , u_2 , i_{u_1} and i_{u_2} . Note that $A^{(4,l)}$ is defined only when $s_l < s_{l+1}$.

For $1 \leq l \leq m-3$, if $s_{l-1} < s_l$, then define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2}^{(5,l)}$ ($s_{l-1} \leq j \leq s_l-1$, $j \neq 0$, $l \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2}^{(5,l)}$, the first $(l-1)$ elements equal 0, the u_1 -th and u_2 -th elements equal 0 and the remaining elements equal j ; let $A^{(5,l)}$ be an $m \times [((m-l+1)(m-l)/2)(s_l-s_{l-1}-\delta_{1l})]$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2}^{(5,l)}$ for all possible j , u_1 and u_2 . Note that $A^{(5,l)}$ is defined only when $s_{l-1} < s_l$.

For $1 \leq l \leq m-3$, if $s_{l-1} < s_l$, then define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}^{(6,l)}$ ($s_{l-1} \leq j \leq s_l-1$, $j \neq 0$, $l \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m$, $j+1 \leq i_{u_1} \leq s_{u_1}-1$, $j+1 \leq i_{u_2} \leq s_{u_2}-1$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}^{(6,l)}$, the first $(l-1)$ elements equal 0, the u_1 -th element is i_{u_1} , the u_2 -th element is i_{u_2} and the remaining elements equal j ; let $A^{(6,l)}$ be an $m \times \left[\sum_{\substack{j=s_{l-1} \\ j \neq 0}}^{s_l-1} \sum_{u_1=1}^m \sum_{\substack{u_2=l \\ u_1 < u_2}}^m (s_{u_1}-j-1)(s_{u_2}-j-1) \right]$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}^{(6,l)}$ for all possible j , u_1 , u_2 , i_{u_1} and i_{u_2} . Note that $A^{(6,l)}$ is defined only when $s_{l-1} < s_l$.

For $1 \leq l \leq m-3$, if $s_{l-1} < s_l$, then define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}^{(7,l)}$ ($s_{l-1} \leq j \leq s_l-1$, $j \neq 0$, $l \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m$, $0 \leq i_{u_1} \leq s_{u_1}-1$, $0 \leq i_{u_2} \leq s_{u_2}-1$, $\min(i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}) < j$ and $\max(i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}) > j$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_{j,u_1,u_2,i_{u_1},i_{u_2}}^{(7,l)}$, the first $(l-1)$ elements equal 0, the u_1 -th element is i_{u_1} , the u_2 -th element is i_{u_2} and the remaining elements equal j ; let $A^{(7,l)}$ be an $m \times \left[\sum_{\substack{j=s_{l-1} \\ j \neq 0}}^{s_l-1} \sum_{u_1=1}^m \sum_{\substack{u_2=l \\ u_1 < u_2}}^m j(s_{u_1}+s_{u_2}- \right.$

$2j - 2)$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{j, u_1, u_2, i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}}^{(7, l)}$ for all possible j, u_1, u_2, i_{u_1} and i_{u_2} . Note that $A^{(7, l)}$ is defined only when $s_{l-1} < s_l$.

If $s_{m-3} < s_{m-2}$, then define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_{j, u_1, u_2, i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}}^{(8)}$ ($s_{m-3} \leq j \leq s_{m-2} - 1, m - 2 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq m, 0 \leq i_{u_1} \leq s_{u_1} - 1, 0 \leq i_{u_2} \leq s_{u_2} - 1, \min(i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}) = 0, \max(i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}) > j$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_{j, u_1, u_2, i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}}^{(8)}$, the first $(m - 3)$ elements equal 0, the u_1 -th element is i_{u_1} , the u_2 -th element is i_{u_2} and the remaining elements are j ; let $A^{(8)}$ be an $m \times \left[\sum_{j=s_{m-3}}^{s_{m-2}-1} \sum_{\substack{u_1=m-2 \\ u_1 < u_2}}^m \sum_{u_2=m-2}^m (s_{u_1} + s_{u_2} - 2j - 2) \right]$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{j, u_1, u_2, i_{u_1}, i_{u_2}}^{(8)}$ for all possible j, u_1, u_2, i_{u_1} and i_{u_2} . Note that $A^{(8)}$ is defined only when $s_{m-3} < s_{m-2}$.

If $s_{m-2} < s_{m-1}$, then define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_{j, k, u, i_u}^{(9)}$ ($s_{m-2} \leq j \leq s_{m-1} - 1, s_{m-2} \leq k \leq s_{m-1}, j \neq k, 1 \leq u \leq m - 2, 1 \leq i_u \leq s_u - 1$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_{j, k, u, i_u}^{(9)}$, the u -th element is i_u , the last two elements are j and k and the remaining elements equal 0; let $A^{(9)}$ be an $m \times \left[\left(\sum_{u=1}^{m-2} (s_u - 1) \right) (s_{m-1} - s_{m-2})(s_{m-1} - s_{m-2} - 1) \right]$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{j, k, u, i_u}^{(9)}$ for all possible j, k, u and i_u . Note that $A^{(9)}$ is defined only when $s_{m-2} < s_{m-1}$.

If $s_{m-2} < s_{m-1} - 1$, then define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_{j, k}^{(10)}$ ($s_{m-2} \leq j < s_{m-1} - 1, s_{m-2} \leq k \leq s_{m-1}, j \neq k$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_{j, k}^{(10)}$, the last two elements are j and k and all other elements equal 0; let $A^{(10)}$ be an $m \times [(s_{m-1} - s_{m-2} - 1)(s_{m-1} - s_{m-2} - 1)]$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_{j, k}^{(10)}$ for all possible j and k . Note that $A^{(10)}$ is defined only when $s_{m-2} < s_{m-1} - 1$.

Finally, if $s_{m-2} < s_m$, then define the $m \times 1$ vectors $\mathbf{a}_k^{(11)}$ ($s_{m-2} \leq k \leq s_{m-1}, k \neq s_{m-1} - 1$) such that in $\mathbf{a}_k^{(11)}$, the first $(m - 2)$ elements equal 0, the $(m - 1)$ -th element is $s_{m-1} - 1$ and the m -th element is k ; let $A^{(11)}$ be an $m \times (s_m - s_{m-2} - 1 + \delta_{s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}})$ array whose columns are $\mathbf{a}_k^{(11)}$ for all possible k , where $\delta_{s_{m-1}, s_{m-2}}$ is the Kronecker delta. Note that $A^{(11)}$ is defined only when $s_{m-2} < s_m$.

Let

$n =$ total number of runs

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} (s_l - s_{l-1}) + \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} \sum_{u=1}^l (s_u - 1)(s_{l+1} - s_l) + \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} \sum_{u=l}^m (s_l - s_{l-1})(s_u - 1) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{m-3} \left[\sum_{u_1=1}^l (s_{u_1} - 1) \left(\sum_{j=s_l}^{s_{l+1}-1} \sum_{u_2=l+1}^m (s_{u_2} - j - 1) \right) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{m-3} \sum_{\substack{j=s_{l-1} \\ j \neq 0}}^{s_l-1} \sum_{\substack{u_1=l \\ u_1 < u_2}}^m \sum_{u_2=l}^m (s_{u_1} - j - 1)(s_{u_2} - j - 1) \\ &+ \sum_{l=1}^{m-3} [((m - l - 1)(m - l)(s_l - s_{l-1} - \delta_{1l})/2)] \end{aligned}$$

asymmetric factorial set-up in n runs.

The proof of the above theorem which follows essentially along the same line of the symmetric case but involves more complicated algebraic manipulations, is omitted here to save space. The details of the proof may be obtained from the author on request.

5. Discussion

The paper presented here describes a method for constructing search designs for general asymmetric factorials that allow the estimation of the general mean and main-effects, and search for and estimate at most one among two- and three-factor interactions. The proposed design is worthwhile when $m \geq 5$, where m is the number of factors. This design also usually requires a small number of runs compared to the corresponding regular fractions. The search designs presented in the following examples require considerably smaller numbers of runs compared to the corresponding regular plans (see Table 1). These regular plans are, indeed, capable of estimating many more parameters than those considered in this paper. Anyway, if prior knowledge is available that at most one among two- and three-factor interactions is present, then such elaborate estimation is not required and, in such situations, the designs presented in this paper appear to be economical.

Table 1. Some examples illustrating the number of runs in our plan and in the regular plan.

Serial No.	Set-up	Number of runs	
		Our plan	Regular plan
1	3^6	114	233
2	3^7	150	379
3	3^8	191	577
4	$3^3 \times 4^4$	295	741
5	$3^7 \times 4$	268	788
6	$3^5 \times 4^3$	310	914
7	4^5	224	376
8	4^7	424	1,156
9	$2^3 \times 3^3 \times 4^2$	187	454
10	$2 \times 3 \times 4 \times 5 \times 6 \times 7 \times 8 \times 9$	2,171	5,119

Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to Dr. Rahul Mukerjee, Indian Statistical Institute, for his guidance and valuable suggestions. The author is also thankful to the referee for his highly constructive suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, D. A. and Thomas, A. M. (1980). Weakly resolution IV.3 search designs for the p^n factorial experiment, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **4**, 299–312.
- Chatterjee, K. and Mukerjee, R. (1986). Some search designs for symmetric and asymmetric factorials, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **13**, 357–363.
- Ghosh, S. (1975). Search designs, Ph. D. Thesis, Colorado State University (unpublished).
- Ghosh, S. (1980). On main effect plus one plans for 2^m factorials, *Ann. Statist.*, **8**, 922–930.
- Ghosh, S. (1981). On some new search designs for 2^m factorial experiments, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **5**, 381–389.
- Gupta, B. C. (1977). A series of balanced 2^m factorial designs of resolution III plus one plan, *Proc. 11th Brazilian Biannual Mathematical Meeting, Pocos de Caldas, Brazil*, 331–344.
- Gupta, B. C. (1981). Main effect plus one plans for 2^m factorial designs, A.M.S. Abstracts, 783-62-10, *Memorias de Mathematica*, 127, IM-UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Gupta, B. C. (1984). A necessary condition for the existence of main effect plus one plans for 2^m factorials, *Comm. Statist. A—Theory Methods*, **13**, 567–580.
- Gupta, B. C. and Ramirez Carvajal, S. S. (1981). Optimum main effect plus one plans for 2^m ($m = 4, 5$) factorial designs, A.M.S. Abstracts, 2(2), 317.
- Ohnishi, T. and Shirakura, T. (1985). Search designs for 2^m factorial experiments, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **11**, 241–245.
- Srivastava, J. N. (1975). Designs for searching non-negligible effects, *A Survey of Statistical Design and Linear Models*, (ed. J. N. Srivastava), 507–519, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- Srivastava, J. N. (1976a). Some further theory of search linear models, *Contributions to Applied Statistics*, (ed. W. G. Ziegler), Birkhauser, Basel-Stuttgart.
- Srivastava, J. N. (1976b). Optimal search designs, or designs optimal under bias free optimality criteria, *Proceedings of Symposium on Statistical Decision Theory and Related Topics*, 375–409, Academic Press, New York.
- Srivastava, J. N. and Ghosh, S. (1977). Balanced 2^m factorial designs of resolution V which allow search and estimation of one extra unknown effect, $4 \leq m \leq 8$, *Comm. Statist. A—Theory Methods*, **6**, 141–166.
- Srivastava, J. N. and Gupta, B. C. (1979). Main effect plan for 2^m factorials which allow search and estimation of one unknown effect, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **3**, 259–265.