

## LOWER BOUND OF RISK IN LINEAR UNBIASED ESTIMATION AND ITS APPLICATION

CZESŁAW STĘPNIAK

(Received Apr. 27, 1981; revised Oct. 13, 1982)

### Summary

Lower bound of risk in linear unbiased estimation and its connection with the existence of a uniformly minimum variance linear unbiased estimator is considered.

### 1. Introduction

In a linear model with a known covariance the lower bound of squared risk for unbiased estimation of a parametric function coincides with the variance of a best linear unbiased estimator. For further results see Rao [5].

In general linear model the lower bound is a function of unknown variance components. Some properties of the function will be established and its connection with the existence of a uniformly minimum variance linear unbiased estimator will be given.

### 2. Definitions and notations

Let  $X$  be a random vector taking values in a finite-dimensional inner product space  $\{H, (\cdot, \cdot)\}$ , and having a finite second order moment  $E\|X\|^2$ . Then there exists a vector  $E_x$  and a self-adjoint non-negative definite (n.n.d.) operator  $\Sigma_x$  such that  $E(h, X) = (h, E_x)$  and  $\text{Cov}\{(h, X), (h', X)\} = (h, \Sigma_x h')$  for all  $h, h' \in H$  (cf. Kruskal [1]).

Our assumptions are:

$$(1) \quad E_x = T\beta$$

and

---

AMS 1970 subject classifications: Primary 62J05, 62F10; Secondary 47D15, 47D20.

Keywords and phrases: Linear model, linear unbiased estimation, lower bound of risk, uniformly minimum variance linear unbiased estimator.

$$(2) \quad \Sigma_x = V\gamma$$

where  $T$  and  $V$  are given linear operators:  $T$  from an inner product space  $\{K, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$  in  $H$  and  $V$  from an inner product space  $\{L, [\cdot, \cdot]\}$  in the space of self-adjoint operators on  $H$ , while  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are unknown parameters.

It is not assumed that  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  vary independently of each other and that  $V\gamma$  is non-singular. The only assumption is that the span of possible values of  $\beta$  fills the whole space  $K$ , while  $\gamma$  is an element of a given set  $\Gamma$  in  $L$ . The reasons of the assumptions are similar as in Olsen, Seely and Birkes [4].

Let us consider the sets:

$$R_+(V) = \{V\gamma : V\gamma \text{ is n.n.d., } \gamma \in L\}$$

and

$$\Gamma(V) = \{\gamma \in L : V\gamma \in R_+(V)\}.$$

We note that  $R_+(V)$  is a closed convex cone in the space of self-adjoint operators on  $H$ , provided  $R_+(V)$  is non-empty. Thus  $\Gamma(V)$  is a closed convex cone in  $L$  as an inverse image of  $R_+(V)$  by a linear transformation.

It is assumed that the set  $\Gamma$ , i.e. the set of possible values of  $\gamma$ , is a subset of  $\Gamma(V)$ .

We shall say that a random vector  $X$  is subject to a linear model  $L(T\beta, V\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma)$  if the conditions (1) and (2) are satisfied. Note that any variance components model may be written in such a form.

Throughout this paper, the usual operator notation will be used. Among others, the symbols  $T^*$ ,  $R(T)$  and  $T^+$  will denote, respectively, the adjoint, the range and the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse of the operator  $T$ . It will be convenient to denote by  $V_\gamma$  the value of the operator  $V$  at the point  $\gamma$ .

### 3. Lower bound of risk in linear unbiased estimation

Consider an experiment where the observed vector  $X$  is subject to a linear model  $L(T\beta, V\gamma; \gamma \in \Gamma)$ . We are interested in estimation of a parameter function  $\langle k, \beta \rangle$  by estimators of the form  $(h, X)$ . Problem of quadratic estimation for variance components may be reduced also to the form by a respective specification (cf. Seely [7]).

It is well known that  $(h, X)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\langle k, \beta \rangle$ , if and only if,  $T^*h = k$ . Thus  $\langle k, \beta \rangle$  possesses a linear unbiased estimator, if and only if,  $k \in R(T^*)$ .

A linear unbiased estimator of  $\langle k, \beta \rangle$  is said to be *locally best at*  $\gamma$

if it minimizes  $\text{Var}_\gamma(h, X) = (h, V_\gamma h)$  with respect to  $h \in H$ , under the condition  $T^*h = k$ . A linear unbiased estimator with minimal variance for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , providing it exists, is called a *uniformly minimum variance linear unbiased estimator* (UMVLUe).

It is known that a locally best estimator at  $\gamma$  always exists and is of the form  $(h_0, X)$ , where

$$(3) \quad h_0 = W_\gamma^+ T (T^* W_\gamma^+ T)^+ k$$

for  $W_\gamma = V_\gamma + T T^*$ .

Moreover, the variance of the estimator at the considered point  $\gamma$  is equal to

$$(4) \quad \text{Var}_\gamma(h_0, X) = \langle k, [(T^* W_\gamma^+ T)^+ - I] k \rangle$$

(cf. Rao [5], p. 300). If  $R(T) \subset R(V_\gamma)$  then the operator  $W_\gamma$  in the formula (3) may be replaced by  $V_\gamma$  and (4) reduces to

$$(4') \quad \text{Var}_\gamma(h_0, X) = \langle k, (T^* V_\gamma^+ T)^+ k \rangle.$$

The lower bound of risk in linear unbiased estimation of  $\langle k, \beta \rangle$  is defined by

$$\pi_k(\gamma) = \inf_{T^*h=k} (h, V_\gamma h)$$

provided that  $k \in R(T^*)$ . By (4)

$$\pi_k(\gamma) = \langle k, [(T^* W_\gamma^+ T)^+ - I] k \rangle.$$

Now we present some properties of the lower bound.

**THEOREM 1.** (a)  $\pi_k(\gamma)$  is a concave functional on  $\Gamma(V)$  and homogeneous, in the sense that  $\pi_k(c\gamma) = c\pi_k(\gamma)$  for every  $c > 0$ .

(b)  $\pi_k(\gamma)$  is differentiable (in the sense of Fréchet) in the relative interior of  $\Gamma(V)$ .

*Remark.* For all  $\gamma$  belonging to the relative interior of  $\Gamma(V)$  the operators  $V_\gamma$  have the same range (see LaMotte [3], Lemma 2).

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** Concavity follows from the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k(c\gamma + [1-c]\gamma') &= \inf_{T^*h=k} (h, V_{c\gamma + (1-c)\gamma'} h) \\ &\geq c \inf_{T^*h=k} (h, V_\gamma h) + (1-c) \inf_{T^*h=k} (h, V_{\gamma'} h) \\ &= c\pi_k(\gamma) + (1-c)\pi_k(\gamma') \end{aligned}$$

for every  $c \in [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma(V)$ . The property  $\pi_k(c\gamma) = c\pi_k(\gamma)$  is evident.

Differentiability follows from the fact that  $\pi_k$  is a superposition of

differentiable operators (linear, and Moore-Penrose generalized inverse on a set of operators having the same range).

Denote by  $\nabla\pi_k(\gamma_0)$  the gradient (Fréchet derivative) of  $\pi_k$  at a point  $\gamma_0$  belonging to the relative interior of  $\Gamma(V)$ . By Theorem 1(b) and Theorem 25.1 in Rockafellar [6] we obtain

**COROLLARY.** *An unbiased estimator  $(h, X)$  of  $\langle k, \beta \rangle$  is locally best at  $\gamma_0$ , if and only if,  $\text{Var}_\gamma(h, X) = \pi_k(\gamma_0) + [\nabla\pi_k(\gamma_0), \gamma - \gamma_0]$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *A UMVLUE of  $\langle k, \beta \rangle$  exists, if and only if, the functional  $\pi_k$  is additive on the minimal convex cone  $C$  spanned on  $\Gamma$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(h, X)$  be a UMVLUE of  $\langle k, \beta \rangle$ . Then  $\text{Var}_\gamma(h, X) = \pi_k(\gamma)$  for every  $\gamma \in C$ . Thus  $\pi_k$  is additive by additivity of  $\text{Var}_\gamma(h, X)$ .

On the other hand, if  $\pi_k$  is additive, then, by homogeneity of  $\pi_k$ , there exists a linear functional on  $L$  such that  $\pi_k$  is its restriction to  $C$ . Thus  $\pi_k$  is differentiable and its derivative does not depend on  $\gamma$ . Therefore any locally best estimator is UMVLUE.

The Theorem is a complement of a well known result of Kruskal [2] concerning the existence of UMVLUE's for all estimable functions in a linear model.

### Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank the referee for his careful reading and detailed comments.

AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, LUBLIN

### REFERENCES

- [1] Kruskal, W. (1961). The coordinate-free approach to Gauss-Markov estimation and its applications to missing and extra observations, *Proc. 4th Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob.*, 1, 431-451.
- [2] Kruskal, W. (1968). When are Gauss-Markov and least squares estimators identical? A coordinate-free approach, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 39, 70-75.
- [3] LaMotte, L. R. (1977). A canonical form for the general linear model, *Ann. Statist.*, 5, 787-789.
- [4] Olsen, A., Seely, J. and Birkes, D. (1976). Invariant quadratic unbiased estimation for two variance components, *Ann. Statist.*, 4, 878-890.
- [5] Rao, C. R. (1973). *Linear Statistical Inference and its Applications*, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York.
- [6] Rockafellar, R. T. (1970). *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Univ. Press.
- [7] Seely, J. (1970). Linear spaces and unbiased estimation—application to the mixed linear model, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 41, 1735-1748.