

DESIGNS OF Φ -OPTIMAL CONTROL FOR SECOND-ORDER PROCESSES

DER-SHIN CHANG AND YUANG-CHIN CHIANG

(Received Nov. 6, 1979)

Abstract

Consider a realization of the process $y(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k f_k(t) + \xi(t)$ on the interval $T = [0, 1]$ for functions $f_1(t), f_2(t), \dots, f_n(t)$ in $H(R)$, the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $R(s, t)$ on $T \times T$, where $R(s, t) = E[\hat{\xi}(s)\hat{\xi}(t)]$ is assumed to be continuous and known. Problems of the selection of functions $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ to be Φ -optimal design are given, and an unified approach to the solutions of D -, A -, E - and D_s -optimal design problems are discussed.

1. Introduction

If a stochastic process

$$(1) \quad y(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k f_k(t) + \xi(t), \quad t \in T = [0, 1]$$

is given with the noise process $\xi(t)$ having zero mean and known continuous covariance kernel $R(s, t) = E[\hat{\xi}(s)\hat{\xi}(t)]$, $(s, t) \in T \times T$. Let $H(R)$ be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) with reproducing kernel (RK) $R(s, t)$ on $T \times T$, and let $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ be a linearly independent set of functions in $H(R)$. Then, by the Gauss-Markov theory of continuous time series, we obtain for $f = (f_1(t), f_2(t), \dots, f_n(t))'$ (throughout this paper primes will denote transposes) the minimum variance unbiased estimate $\hat{\theta} = M^{-1}(f)$ ($\langle y, f_1 \rangle \sim, \dots, \langle y, f_n \rangle \sim$)', and its covariance matrix $\text{Cov}[\hat{\theta}] = M^{-1}(f)$, where $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_n)'$, $M(f) = [m_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$, $m_{ij} = \langle f_i, f_j \rangle_R$ if $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n \in H(R)$, and $\langle y, f_k \rangle \sim$, $k=1, 2, \dots, n$ are defined as if $y(t)$ were an element in $H(R)$. In [1], the author has given the definition of D -optimal, A -optimal and weighted optimal designs of the functions $\{f_k \cdot$

AMS 1970 subject classifications: Primary 62K05; secondary 93E20.

Key words and phrases: Second-order process, continuous sense of Gauss-Markov theory, reproducing kernel Hilbert space, Φ -optimal designs.

$(t)_{k=1}^n$ in some set $X \subset H(R)$, and give the analytic expression of optimal solution of $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ in $H(R)$. But they were treated separately and the methods were tedious also. In this paper, we use a result in [5] as a tool for obtaining an unified approach to these problems, and hopefully we can reach a more general results than [1] and make D -, A -, E -, D_s -optimal design to be our special cases. In Section 2, the criterion of Φ -optimal design of second-order process (1) will be given. In Section 3, we prove the tool theorem and use this theorem to describe the solution of D -, A - and E -optimal design problems. In Section 4, we will do the same approach to D_s -optimal design.

2. Design criterion

Suppose that (1) is given, then it is well known (see [6], [7]) that the space of functions generated by $\{R_t(\cdot), t \in T \mid R_t(t') = R(t', t)\}$ is an RKHS denoted by $H(R)$, with RK $R(s, t)$ on $T \times T$. Since $R(s, t)$ is symmetric and positive definite (p.d.), then, by Mercer's theorem (see [8], pp. 242-246), we know that there exists a set of orthonormal functions $\{\phi_v(t)\}_{v=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{L}^2[T]$ and corresponding sequence of positive real numbers $\{\eta_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$ such that

$$R(s, t) = \sum_{v=1}^\infty \eta_v \phi_v(s) \phi_v(t)$$

is uniformly convergent in $T \times T$ if $R(s, t)$ is continuous. Also that the inner product in $H(R)$ is

$$\langle g, h \rangle_R = \sum_{v=1}^\infty g_v h_v / \eta_v,$$

where $g_v = (g, \phi_v)_{\mathcal{L}^2}$ and $h_v = (h, \phi_v)_{\mathcal{L}^2}$, for any $g, h \in H(R)$. That is,

$$H(R) = \left\{ h \mid \sum_{v=1}^\infty h_v^2 / \eta_v < \infty, h_v = (h, \phi_v)_{\mathcal{L}^2} \right\}.$$

Assume further that a set of linear independent functions $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ in $H(R)$ is given. Then, by [6] and [7], we have for $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)'$ and $f = (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))'$ the minimum variance unbiased estimate

$$\hat{\theta} = M^{-1}(f) \cdot (\langle y, f_1 \rangle \sim, \dots, \langle y, f_n \rangle \sim)'$$

with $\text{Cov}[\hat{\theta}] = M^{-1}(f)$, where

$$(2) \quad M(f) = [m_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n, \quad m_{ij} = \langle f_i, f_j \rangle_R, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

and $\langle y, f_k \rangle \sim = \sum_{v=1}^\infty (f_{kv} y_v) / \eta_v, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, with $y_v = (y, \phi_v)_{\mathcal{L}^2}$, the stochastic integral of $y(t)$ with respect to weight function $\phi_v(t) \in \mathcal{L}^2[T], v = 1,$

2, ...

Since the Gauss-Markov estimate of θ needs the invertibility of $M(f)$, our discussion of designs will be restricted in the class of invertible matrices $M(f)$'s and in addition, since $M(f)$ is nonnegative definite (n.n.d.) (see [3]), we will furtherly restrict our discussion in p.d. matrices $M(f)$'s.

Now, following [1], [2], and [5], we can give our design criterion in following

DEFINITION. Let Φ be an real-valued function on some subset of p.d. matrices. A matrix C^* in this subset is called Φ -optimal if C^* minimizes $\Phi(C)$ for all C in this subset.

DEFINITION. Suppose that (1) and some set X in $H(R)$ is given. An experiment with $\{f_k^*(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ in X is said to be Φ -optimal (or Φ -optimal design) if $M(f^*)$ minimizes $\Phi(M(f))$ for all possible choices $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ from X .

Examples. Suppose Φ_i be given as in the following.

- (i) $\Phi_0(M(f)) = |M^{-1}(f)|$; an experiment with $\{f_k^*(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ which is Φ_0 -optimal is also called D -optimal.
- (ii) $\Phi_1(M(f)) = \text{tr } M^{-1}(f)$; an experiment with $\{f_k^*(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ which is Φ_1 -optimal is also called A -optimal.
- (iii) $\Phi_\infty(M(f)) = \lambda_1(M^{-1}(f))$, where $\lambda_1(M^{-1}(f))$ is the largest eigenvalue of $M^{-1}(f)$; an experiment with $\{f_k^*(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ which is Φ_∞ -optimal is also called E -optimal.
- (iv) Let $M_s^*(f)$ be defined by

$$M_s^*(f) = [I_s \mid 0] M^{-1}(f) \begin{pmatrix} I_s \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_s is $s \times s$ identity matrix, 0 is zero matrix with appropriate size. Consider

$$\phi(M_s^*(f)) = \Phi_0(M_s^*(f));$$

then an experiment with $\{f_k^*(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ which is ϕ -optimal is also called D_s -optimal.

3. Optimality tool

In view of the examples of Section 2, we may extract the characteristics of Φ which guarantee the existence of Φ -optimal. Let \mathcal{B}_n consist of the $n \times n$ p.d. matrices, and

$$\Phi: \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$$

satisfies

- (a) Φ is convex,
 (3) (b) $\Phi(bC)$ is nondecreasing in the scalar $b > 0$,
 (c) Φ is orthogonal invariant, i.e. for orthogonal matrix P , $\Phi(P'CP) = \Phi(C)$.

Let \mathcal{D} be some index set, then, similarly as in [5], we have the following optimality tool, which is slightly different from [5] owing to the condition (c) being changed.

THEOREM 1. *If the class $C = \{C_d; d \in \mathcal{D}\} \subset \mathcal{B}_n$ contains a C_{d^*} which is a multiple of I_n , and maximizing $\text{tr } C_d$ for $d \in \mathcal{D}$, then C_{d^*} is Φ -optimal for every Φ satisfying (3).*

PROOF. Suppose that there exists a $C_{d'}$ in C such that $\Phi(C_{d'}) < \Phi(C_{d^*})$ and $P'C_{d'}P = [\lambda_i \delta_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$, where $P'P = I_n$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of $C_{d'}$. If σP is obtained from P by permuting the columns of P according to the permutation σ of the first n integers of natural numbers, then clearly $(\sigma P)'C_{d'}(\sigma P) = [\lambda_{\sigma_i} \delta_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$, where σ_i is the integer obtained from i moved by σ . Let $\overline{C_{d'}} = \sum_{\sigma} (\sigma P)'C_{d'}(\sigma P)/n!$. Since there are $(n-1)!$ σ 's among $n!$ mapping i unchanged for every i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, we easily have

$$(4) \quad \overline{C_{d'}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i I_n.$$

Although $\overline{C_{d'}}$ is not necessarily in C (but indeed in \mathcal{B}_n), we have, by (3) (c) and (a),

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(C_{d^*}) &> \Phi(C_{d'}) = \sum_{\sigma} \Phi((\sigma P)'C_{d'}(\sigma P))/n! \\ &\geq \Phi(\sum_{\sigma} (\sigma P)'C_{d'}(\sigma P)/n!) \\ &= \Phi(\overline{C_{d'}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since C_{d^*} is multiple of I_n , then, by (4), $\overline{C_{d'}}$ is of the form bC_{d^*} for some $b > 0$. More since $\text{tr } \overline{C_{d'}} = \text{tr } C_{d'} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = b \cdot \text{tr } C_{d^*}$, $\text{tr } C_{d^*}$ maximizing $\text{tr } C_d$ for $d \in \mathcal{D}$ implies $b \leq 1$. But then, by (3) (b), $\Phi(C_{d^*}) \geq \Phi(\overline{C_{d'}}) \geq \Phi(C_{d'})$, which contradicts $\Phi(C_{d'}) < \Phi(C_{d^*})$. This completes the proof.

Now rather than treat D - and A -optimal designs separately as in [1], we may use the theorem stated above to solve them as well as E - and D_s -optimal designs in an unified way. Because of the length of introducing the definition of D_s -optimal design, we will separate it for

discussion in Section 4.

LEMMA 1. Φ_0 , Φ_1 and Φ_∞ all satisfies (3).

This result is well known, and incidentally we can assert the convexity of $\log |A^{-1}|$ instead of $|A^{-1}|$ for proving Φ_0 .

Application. Suppose we are given model (1), and $X = \{g | g \in H(R), \|g\|_R^2 \leq L\}$, where L is a positive number. Then $\text{tr } M(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle f_i, f_i \rangle_R = \sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_R^2 \leq nL$ for $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n \subset X$. Now let $f_k^*(t) = \sqrt{L/n} \phi_k(t)$, $k=1, \dots, n$ as in [1], then $M(f^*) = LI_n$, and $\text{tr } M(f^*) = nL$ reaches the maximum value of $\text{tr } M(f)$ in X . Therefore, by Theorem 1 and Lemma 1, we know that $\{f_k^*(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ is Φ_0 -, Φ_1 - and Φ_∞ -optimal simultaneously in X with

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\{f_k\} \subset X} |M^{-1}(f)| &= |M^{-1}(f^*)| = L^{-n}, \\ \min_{\{f_k\} \subset X} \text{tr } M^{-1}(f) &= \text{tr } M^{-1}(f^*) = n/L \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\min_{\{f_k\} \subset X} \lambda_1(M^{-1}(f)) = \lambda_1(M^{-1}(f^*)) = 1/L.$$

Remark. The above solutions of Φ_0 - and Φ_1 -optimal designs of model (1) are same as in [1]. But the weighted optimal design treated there is not a criterion satisfying (3) because it is not invariant under orthogonal transformation.

4. D_s -optimal

Although every parameter of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ exert influence upon the investigated stochastic process $y(t)$ of (1), an experimenter frequently is interested in only some of the parameters, say, $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s$, $s < n$. In such a case, it turns out that D -optimum (Φ_0 -optimum) is somewhat inappropriate and does not reflect the needs of the experimenter. This leads us to the consideration of the "generalized variance" of the estimates of the first s parameters $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s$. Since the "generalized variance" of the estimates of the first s parameters is also influenced by the estimates of the rest parameters $\theta_{s+1}, \dots, \theta_n$, thus it serves as a different criterion of optimality as discussed in [4] and [2]. We call such a criterion of " D_s -optimal".

Consider the class of p.d. matrices $M(f)$, $f = (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))'$ such that $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n \subset X$. Let

$$(5) \quad M(f) = \begin{bmatrix} M_1(f) & M_2'(f) \\ M_2(f) & M_3(f) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $M_1(f)$ is an $s \times s$ matrix, $M_3(f)$ is an $(n-s) \times (n-s)$ matrix. Then, following the well known Frobenius formula (see [3], p. 16), we can define the following.

DEFINITION. For any $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ contained in X , let its information matrix be $M(f)$ as in (2) and be partitioned as in (5). Let

$$(6) \quad M_s^*(f) = [I_s | 0] M^{-1}(f) \begin{pmatrix} I_s \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = M_1(f) - M_2'(f) M_3^{-1}(f) M_2(f).$$

Then a design $\{f_k^*(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ in X is called D_s -optimal if $\{f_k^*(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ minimizes $\phi(M_s^*(f)) = \phi_0(M_s^*(f)) = |M_s^{*-1}(f)|$ for all possible choices $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ in X .

Similarly as in Lemma 1, we have

LEMMA 2. ϕ satisfies (3).

Now by Lemma 2 and apply Theorem 1 to ϕ , we have following.

THEOREM 2. Suppose (1) is given, $X = \{g(t), t \in T | g \in H(R), \|g\|_R \leq L\}$ and $M^{-1}(f)$ the dispersion matrix of the Gauss-Markov estimate of θ as in Section 1. Then, we have

$$\min_{\{f_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset X} |M_s^{*-1}(f)| = L^{-s},$$

which is attainable at $f_k^*(t) = \sqrt{L} \eta_k \phi_k(t)$, $k=1, \dots, s$ and any linearly independent set of functions $\{f_{s+v}^*(t)\}_{v=1}^{n-s}$ orthogonal to $\{f_k^*(t)\}_{k=1}^s$.

PROOF. By direct computations of $\langle f_i^*, f_j^* \rangle_R$, $i, j=1, 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$M(f^*) = \begin{bmatrix} LI_s & 0 \\ 0 & M_3(f^*) \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies

$$(7) \quad M_s^*(f^*) = LI_s.$$

Furtherly, by (6) and same arguments stated in [4] (see Lemma 6.3, p. 801), we know that for any $\{f_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n \subset X$,

$$(8) \quad M_s^*(f) \leq M_1(f).$$

Thus, by (7) and (8), we have

$$(9) \quad sL = \text{tr } M_1(f^*) = \text{tr } M_s^*(f^*) \leq \max_{\{f_k\} \subset X} \text{tr } M_1(f) = sL,$$

the last equality follows from $\text{tr } M_1(f) = \sum_{i=1}^s m_{ii} \leq sL$ and the maximum attained as $f_k^*(t) = \sqrt{L\eta_k} \phi_k(t)$, $k=1, \dots, s$, which says that $\text{tr } M_s^*(f^*)$ maximizing $\text{tr } M_s^*(f)$ in X . Therefore, by (7), (9), Lemma 2 and Theorem 1, we justify the validity of the result, which is another approach to [2].

Acknowledgement

We wish to thank Professor C. S. Cheng for suggesting this approach.

NATIONAL TSING HUA UNIVERSITY

REFERENCES

- [1] Chang, D. S. (1979). Designs of optimal control for a regression problem, *Ann. Statist.*, **7**, 1078-1085.
- [2] Chang, D. S. (1981). Design of D_s - and E -optimal control for a regression problem, to appear in *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica*.
- [3] Federov, V. V. (1972). *Theory of Optimal Experiments*, Academic Press, New York.
- [4] Karlin, S. and Studden, W. J. (1966). Optimal experimental design, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **37**, 783-815.
- [5] Kiefer, J. (1975). Construction and optimality of generalized Youden designs, *A Survey of Statistical Design and Linear Models* (ed. Srivastava, J. N.), North-Holland.
- [6] Parzen, E. (1959). Statistical inference on time series by Hilbert space methods, I, *Technical Report No. 23*, Department of Statistics, Stanford University.
- [7] Parzen, E. (1961). An approach to time series analysis, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **32**, 951-989.
- [8] Riesz, F. and Nagy, B. Sz. (1956). *Functional Analysis*, Ungar, New York.