

NOTE ON AN INEQUALITY FOR TACTICAL CONFIGURATIONS

SANPEI KAGEYAMA¹⁾

(Received July 20, 1974; revised May 21, 1975)

1. Introduction and summary

Raghavarao [3] proved that if b is the number of blocks in a t -(v, k, λ_t) design with $k \neq v-1$, then $b \geq (t-1)(v-t+2)$. Furthermore, Dey and Saha [1] has recently shown that for a t -(v, k, λ_t) design with $v \geq k+t-1$, an inequality $b \geq 2^{t-2}(v-t+2)$ holds. Moreover, they stated that for $t > 3$, whenever $v \geq k+t-1$ ²⁾, Dey and Saha's inequality is an improvement of Raghavarao's one, and that when $v < k+t-1$, Raghavarao's inequality appears to be the best.

In this note we enlighten the existence of a more stringent inequality than Dey and Saha's one in almost all cases, and make a comparison of these inequalities from a combinatorial point of view of a design.

2. Statement

Wilson and Ray-Chaudhuri [4] demonstrated that for a t -(v, k, λ_t) design with $t=2s$ and $v \geq k+s$, an inequality $b \geq \binom{v}{s}$ holds. Furthermore, it is easily seen (cf. [2]) that for a t -(v, k, λ_t) design with $t=2s+1$ and $v \geq k+s$, an inequality $b \geq (v-s) \binom{v}{s} / k$ holds. Then we can prove the following:

THEOREM. *For a t -(v, k, λ_t) design with $v \geq k+t-1$, if $t=2s$, then*

$$(1) \quad b \geq \binom{v}{s} \geq 2^{2(s-1)}(v-2s+2),$$

and if $t=2s+1$, then

$$(2) \quad b \geq \max \left\{ \frac{v-s}{k} \binom{v}{s}, 2^{2s-1}(v-2s+1) \right\}.$$

¹⁾ Now at Hiroshima University, Shinnome, Hiroshima, Japan.

²⁾ In the paper of Dey and Saha [1], the equality sign of $v \geq k+t-1$ is carelessly omitted.

Consider $\binom{v}{s} - 2^{2(s-1)}(v-2s+2) = \{v(v-1)\cdots(v-s+1) - 2^{2(s-1)}(v-2s+2)s!\}/s!$. Some combinatorial calculations lead to $\{v(v-1)\cdots(v-s+1) - 2^{2(s-1)}(v-2s+2)s!\} \geq 0$. Since $v \geq k+t-1 \geq k+s$, (1) follows from Wilson and Ray-Chaudhuri's inequality [4]. As examples of (2), take a 3-(10, 6, 5) design [2] and a 3-(17, 5, 1) design [2]. The inequalities, $b \geq (v-s) \cdot \binom{v}{s}/k$ and $b \geq 2^{2s-1}(v-2s+1)$ become $30 \geq 15$ and $30 \geq 18$, respectively, for the former design, and $68 \geq 54.5$ and $68 \geq 32$, respectively, for the latter design. A 3-(20, 10, 4) design [2] attains the same value for the both bounds of (2). Note that the relation $b \geq (v-s) \binom{v}{s}/k \geq 2^{2s-1}(v-2s+1)$ holds for almost all $(2s+1)-(v, k, \lambda_{2s+1})$ designs.

Thus, for a $2s$ -design, Wilson and Ray-Chaudhuri's inequality is more stringent than Dey and Saha's one. Furthermore, in the statement, "when $v < k+t-1$, Raghavarao's inequality appears to be the best" ([1]), the condition $v < k+t-1$ should be changed into $k+2 \leq v < k+s$, since when $v \geq k+s$ for $t=2s$ or $t=2s+1$, Wilson and Ray-Chaudhuri's inequality is more stringent than Raghavarao's one [2]. Note that since no non-trivial t -designs are known for $t \geq 6$, the range, $k+2 \leq v < k+s$, may be essentially meaningless as yet. Further note that when $k+s \leq v < k+t-1$, Wilson and Ray-Chaudhuri's bound is the most stringent among the inequalities described above.

For a $t-(v, k, \lambda_i)$ design, when there exists the divisibility between v and k , or when there exists one block which appears many times, or when $\lambda_i=1$, we can have further improvements on the above inequalities. However, when there are no restrictions described above, we believe the inequalities given in Theorem to be the best for $t \geq 3$. Furthermore, similar discussions for $t=2$ will appear in a later paper.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

REFERENCES

- [1] Dey, A. and Saha, G. M. (1974). An inequality for tactical configurations, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **26**, 171-173.
- [2] Kageyama, S. (1974). On μ -resolvable and affine μ -resolvable t -designs, to appear in *Essays in Probability and Statistics*, Birthday Volume in honor of Prof. J. Ogawa (S. Ikeda et al., ed.).
- [3] Raghavarao, D. (1970). Some results on tactical configurations and non-existence of difference set solutions for certain symmetrical PBIB designs, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **22**, 501-506.
- [4] Wilson, R. M. and Ray-Chaudhuri, D. K. (1971). Generalization of Fisher's inequality to t -designs, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, **18**, 805.