

# ASYMPTOTIC FORMULAS FOR THE NON-NULL DISTRIBUTIONS OF THREE STATISTICS FOR MULTIVARIATE LINEAR HYPOTHESIS\*

YASUNORI FUJIKOSHI

(Received Nov. 16, 1972; revised Jan. 24, 1974)

## Summary

Asymptotic formulas for the distributions of the likelihood ratio statistic, Hotelling's statistic and Pillai's statistic for multivariate linear hypothesis are derived under the assumption of  $n_e=ne$ ,  $n_h=nh$  ( $e>0$ ,  $h>0$ ,  $e+h=1$ ) and  $\Omega=O(n)$ , where  $n_e$  and  $n_h$  are the degrees of freedom for the error and for the hypothesis, respectively and  $\Omega$  is the non-centrality matrix. New asymptotic formulas are given in terms of normal distribution function and its derivatives up to the order  $n^{-1}$ . We give also some numerical results of our asymptotic approximations.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $S_e$  and  $S_h$  denote the independent  $p \times p$  matrices with the central Wishart distribution  $W_p(n_e, \Sigma)$  and the non-central Wishart distribution  $W_p(n_h, \Sigma, \Omega)$ , respectively. The likelihood ratio statistic, Hotelling's statistic and Pillai's statistic for multivariate linear hypothesis are expressed by  $W = -\{n_e + (n_h - p - 1)/2\} \log |S_e(S_h + S_e)^{-1}|$ ,  $T_0^2 = n_e \text{tr} S_h S_e^{-1}$  and  $V = (n_e + n_h) \text{tr} S_h(S_h + S_e)^{-1}$ , respectively. Then  $n_e$  and  $n_h$  mean the degrees of freedom for the error and the hypothesis. The asymptotic expansions for the non-null distributions of  $W$ ,  $T_0^2$  and  $V$  with respect to  $n_e$  up to the order  $n_e^{-2}$ , assuming that  $n_h$  is a fixed number and  $\Omega$  is a fixed matrix, are available in the literature (Sugiura and Fujikoshi [11], Siotani [9], Fujikoshi [3], Muirhead [6] and Lee [4]). Sugiura [12] has obtained the asymptotic expansions of the non-null distributions of these statistics under the assumption that  $\Omega=O(n_e)$  and  $n_h$  is a fixed number.

In this paper we study the asymptotic distributions of these statistics in the situation that  $n_h$  is also relatively large. We derive the asymptotic expansions for the distributions of  $W$ ,  $T_0^2$  and  $V$  assuming that  $n_e=ne$ ,  $n_h=nh$  ( $e>0$ ,  $h>0$ ,  $e+h=1$ ) and  $\Omega=n\Theta$  where  $\Theta$  is a fixed

---

\* This research was partially supported by the Sakko-Kai Foundation.

matrix. New asymptotic formulas are given in terms of normal distribution function and its derivatives up to the order  $n^{-1}$  by using the similar line as in Sugiura [12]. Here we note that it is realistic to assume that  $n_n$  is relatively large, in some multivariate linear hypothesis, e.g., in testing the interactions in multivariate multi-way classification design with relatively large levels and small cell frequencies. In Section 4 we also attempt to test numerically our asymptotic approximations. In the following we may assume  $\Sigma=I$  and  $\Omega=n\Theta=n \text{diag}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_p)$  since we treat the distributions of invariant tests for multivariate linear hypothesis.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

Let  $T_e$  and  $T_h$  be the statistics defined by

$$(2.1) \quad T_e = \sqrt{m} (S_e/m - \mu e I) \quad \text{and} \quad T_h = \sqrt{m} \{S_h/m - \mu(hI + 2\Theta)\},$$

for  $m = \mu^{-1}n - r$  where  $\mu$  and  $r$  are fixed numbers. Then, by the same technique as in Fujikoshi [2], it is easily seen that the statistics  $T_e$  and  $T_h$  converge in law to  $p(p+1)/2$  variate normal distribution with mean 0 as  $m$  tends to infinity. Put

$$(2.2) \quad A_\beta^2 = \mu \text{tr} [e A^* \partial_e^\beta + \{hI + 2(\alpha + \beta)\Theta\} B^* \partial_h^\beta]$$

for any given diagonal matrices  $A$  and  $B$  where  $\partial_e$  and  $\partial_h$  are defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_e = \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 + \delta_{ij}) \partial / \partial \lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_h = \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 + \delta_{ij}) \partial / \partial \lambda_{ij}^{(h)} \right)$$

for any symmetric matrices  $A_e = (\lambda_{ij}^{(e)})$  and  $A_h = (\lambda_{ij}^{(h)})$  of order  $p$ . We write  $A_0^2$  as  $d_\alpha$  which does not depend on the operators  $\partial_e$  and  $\partial_h$ . We need the following lemma similar to Sugiura [12].

LEMMA 1. *Let  $S_e$  and  $S_h$  have  $W_p(n_e, I)$  and  $W_p(n_h, I, \Omega)$  respectively. Suppose  $f(A_e, A_h)$  is an analytic function of two positive definite matrices  $A_e$  and  $A_h$ . Then the following asymptotic formula holds:*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & E [f(S_e/m, S_h/m) \text{etr} \{\phi(AT_e + BT_h)\}] \\ &= \text{etr} (-d_2 t^2) [1 + m^{-1/2} \phi \{ \Gamma_1 + 4d_3 \phi^2 / 3 \} + m^{-1} \{ \Gamma_2 + (\Gamma_3 + \Gamma_1^2 / 2) \phi^2 \\ & \quad + 2(d_4 + 2d_3 \Gamma_1 / 3) \phi^4 + 8d_3^2 \phi^6 / 9 \} + m^{-3/2} \phi \{ \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 + (\Gamma_5 + \Gamma_1 \Gamma_3 \\ & \quad + 4d_3 \Gamma_2 / 3 + \Gamma_1^3 / 6) \phi^2 + 2(8d_5 / 5 + 2d_3 \Gamma_3 / 3 + d_4 \Gamma_1 + d_3 \Gamma_1^2 / 3) \phi^4 \\ & \quad + 8(d_3 d_4 / 3 + d_3^2 \Gamma_1 / 9) \phi^6 + 32d_3^2 \phi^8 / 81 \} + m^{-2} \{ (A_2^0)^2 / 2 + 2(A_1^0)^2 A_2^0 \phi^2 \\ & \quad + 2(A_1^0)^4 \phi^4 / 3 + \text{the lower order derivatives} \} \\ & \quad + O(m^{-5/2})] f(A_e, A_h) |_{A_e = \mu e I, A_h = \mu(hI + 2\Theta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi = it$  ( $i = \sqrt{-1}$ ) and  $\Gamma_j$  are defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= 2\mathcal{A}_1^1 + r d_1, & \Gamma_2 &= \mathcal{A}_2^0 + r \mathcal{A}_1^0, \\ \Gamma_3 &= 4\mathcal{A}_1^2 + r d_2, & \Gamma_4 &= 4\mu \operatorname{tr} \{eA\partial_e^2 + (hI + 4\Theta)B\partial_h^2\} + 8\mu \operatorname{tr} \Theta \partial_h B \partial_h + 2r \mathcal{A}_1^1, \\ \Gamma_5 &= 8\mathcal{A}_1^3 + 4r d_3/3. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By expanding  $f$  around  $S_e/m = \mu eI$ ,  $S_h/m = \mu(hI + 2\Theta)$  in Taylor's series and evaluating the expectations with respect to  $S_e$  and  $S_h$  by using the formula (Anderson [1])

$$(2.6) \quad E[\operatorname{etr}(iTS_h)] = |I - 2iT|^{-n_h/2} \operatorname{etr}\{2i\Omega T(I - 2iT)^{-1}\}$$

for any symmetric matrix  $T = ((1 + \delta_{ij})t_{ij}/2)$ , we can express the left-hand side of (2.4) as follows:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\exp\{-m^{-1/2}\phi d_1 - \mathcal{A}_1^0\} |I - 2m^{-1/2}(\phi A + m^{-1/2}\partial_e)|^{-\mu e(m+r)/2} \\ &\cdot |I - 2m^{-1/2}(\phi B + m^{-1/2}\partial_h)|^{-\mu h(m+r)/2} \operatorname{etr}[2\mu(m^{1/2} + r m^{-1/2})\Theta \\ &\cdot (\phi B + m^{-1/2}\partial_h)\{I - 2m^{-1/2}(\phi B + m^{-1/2}\partial_h)\}^{-1}] \\ &\cdot f(A_e, A_h)|_{A_e = \mu eI, A_h = \mu(hI + 2\Theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the asymptotic formulas,  $-\log |I - n^{-1}A| = \sum_{\alpha=1}^l n^{-\alpha} \operatorname{tr} A^\alpha/\alpha + O(n^{-(l+1)})$  and  $(I - n^{-1}A)^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^l n^{-\alpha} A^\alpha + O(n^{-(l+1)})$  which hold for large  $n$ , to (2.7), we obtain (2.4).

Let  $G[h(T_e, T_h)]$  be an abbreviated notation for

$$(2.8) \quad e^{d_2 t^2} E_{T_e, T_h} [h(T_e, T_h) \operatorname{etr}\{\phi(AT_e + BT_h)\}].$$

The following lemma is useful for our asymptotic expansions.

LEMMA 2. Let  $R, S, W$  and  $Z$  be any fixed diagonal matrices of order  $p$ . Then the following identities hold:

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} G[1] &= 1 + m^{-1/2}\phi(rd_1 + 4\phi^2 d_3/3) + m^{-1}\phi^2\{r(d_2 + rd_1^2/2) \\ &\quad + 2\phi^2(d_4 + 2rd_1 d_3/3) + 8\phi^4 d_3^2/9\} + O(m^{-3/2}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &G[\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_e](\mu e)^{-1} \\ &= a(R, S) + 4\phi^2 \mu e \alpha + m^{-1/2} \phi [2c(R, S; A) + r\{d_1 a(R, S) \\ &\quad + 4\mu e \operatorname{tr} RSA\} + 4\phi^2 \{d_3 a(R, S)/3 + 4\mu e \operatorname{tr} RSA^3 + rd_1 \mu e \alpha\} \\ &\quad + 16\phi^4 \mu e d_3 \alpha/3] + O(m^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &G[\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_h](\mu^2 e)^{-1} \\ &= 4\phi^2 \beta + 2m^{-1/2} \phi [r \operatorname{tr} RS(AL + BM) \\ &\quad + 2\phi^2 \{2 \operatorname{tr} RSAB(AM + BN) + rd_1 \beta\} + 8\phi^4 d_3 \beta/3] + O(m^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &G[\operatorname{tr} RT_h ST_h] \mu^{-1} \\ &= c(R, S; M)/2 + 4\phi^2 \mu \gamma + m^{-1/2} \phi [2\{c(R, S; BM) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2a(R\Theta, SB) + 2a(RB, S\Theta) \} + r \{d_1c(R, S; M)/2 \\
& + 4\mu \operatorname{tr} RSBLM \} + 4\phi^2 \{d_3c(R, S; M)/6 \\
& + 4\mu \operatorname{tr} RSB^3MN + rd_1\mu\gamma \} + 16\phi^4\mu d_3\gamma/3 + O(m^{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_e WT_e](\mu e)^{-2} \\
& = 2\phi b(R, S, W; A) + 8\phi^3\mu e \operatorname{tr} RSWA^3 + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_e WT_h](\mu^2 e)^{-1} \\
& = 2\phi a(RWBM, S) + 8\phi^3\mu e \operatorname{tr} RSWA^2BM + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_h WT_h](\mu^2 e)^{-1} \\
& = 2\phi c(RSA, W; M) + 8\phi^3\mu \operatorname{tr} RSWAB^2M^2 + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[\operatorname{tr} RT_h ST_h WT_h]\mu^{-2} \\
& = \phi \{b(R, S, W; BM^2) + c(RM, SM, WM; BM^{-1})\} \\
& + 8\phi^3\mu \operatorname{tr} RSWB^3M^3 + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[(\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_e)^2](\mu e)^{-2} \\
& = a(R, S)^2 + 2a(R^2, S^2) + 2a(RS, RS) + 8\phi^2\mu e \{4 \operatorname{tr} (RSA)^2 \\
& + \alpha a(R, S)\} + 16\phi^4(\mu e \alpha)^2 + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[\operatorname{tr} T_e^2 \operatorname{tr} (RT_e)^2](\mu e)^{-2} \\
& = (p^2 + p + 4)a(R, R) + 4\phi^2\mu e \{(p^2 + p + 8) \operatorname{tr} (RA)^2 \\
& + a(R, R) \operatorname{tr} A^2\} + 16\phi^4(\mu e)^2 \operatorname{tr} A^2 \operatorname{tr} (RA)^2 + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_e \operatorname{tr} WT_e ZT_h](\mu^3 e^2)^{-1} \\
& = 4\phi^2 \{4 \operatorname{tr} RSWZABM + \tilde{\beta} a(R, S)\} + 16\phi^4\mu e \alpha \tilde{\beta} + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[(\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_h)^2](\mu^2 e)^{-1} \\
& = c(R, S, R, S; M) + 8\phi^2\mu \operatorname{tr} R^2S^2(eA^2 + B^2M)M \\
& + 16\phi^4\mu^2 e \beta^2 + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_h \operatorname{tr} WT_e ZT_h](\mu^2 e)^{-1} \\
& = c(R, S, W, Z; M) + 8\phi^2\mu \operatorname{tr} RSWZ(eA^2 + B^2M)M \\
& + 16\phi^4\mu^2 e \beta \tilde{\beta} + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_e \operatorname{tr} WT_h ZT_h](\mu^2 e)^{-1} \\
& = a(R, S)c(W, Z; M)/2 + 2\phi^2\mu \{exc(W, Z; M) + 2a(R, S)\tilde{\gamma}\} \\
& + 16\phi^4\mu^2 e \alpha \tilde{\gamma} + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[\operatorname{tr} RT_e ST_h \operatorname{tr} WT_h ST_h](\mu^3 e)^{-1} \\
& = 2\phi^2 \{8 \operatorname{tr} RSWZABM^2 + \beta c(W, Z; M)\} + 16\phi^4\mu \beta \tilde{\gamma} + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& G[(\text{tr } RT_h ST_h)^2] \mu^{-2} \\
&= \{c(R, S; M)^2/2 + a(R^2 M^2, S^2) + a(R^2, S^2 M^2)\}/2 \\
&\quad + a(R^2 M, S^2 M) + a(RSM, RSM) + a(RSM^2, RS) \\
&\quad + 4\phi^2 \mu \{8 \text{tr } R^2 S^2 B^2 M^3 + \gamma c(R, S; M)\} + 16\phi^4 (\mu \gamma)^2 + O(m^{-1/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = \text{tr } RSA^2$ ,  $\beta = \text{tr } RSABM$ ,  $\tilde{\beta} = \text{tr } WZABM$ ,  $\gamma = \text{tr } RSB^2 M^2$ ,  $\tilde{\gamma} = \text{tr } WZB^2 M^2$ ,  $L = hI + 2\theta$ ,  $M = hI + 4\theta$ ,  $N = hI + 6\theta$ ,  $\alpha(R, S) = \text{tr } RS + \text{tr } R \cdot \text{tr } S$ ,  $b(R, S, W; Q) = 3 \text{tr } RSWQ + \text{tr } RSQ \text{tr } W + \text{tr } SWQ \text{tr } R + \text{tr } RWQ \text{tr } S$ ,  $c(R, S; Q) = c(R, S, I, I; Q)$  and  $c(R, S, W, Z; Q) = \{4 \text{tr } RSWZQ + \text{tr } RZQ \cdot \text{tr } SW + \text{tr } RWQ \text{tr } SZ + \text{tr } SZQ \text{tr } RW + \text{tr } SWQ \text{tr } RZ\}/2$ .

PROOF. The first identity is obtained by putting  $f(\Lambda_e, \Lambda_h) = 1$  in Lemma 1. Putting  $f(\Lambda_e, \Lambda_h) = \text{tr } R(\Lambda_e - \mu e I)S(\Lambda_e - \mu e I)$  in Lemma 1, we can reduce  $G[\text{tr } RT_e ST_e](\mu e)^{-1}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad & [\text{tr } \partial_e^2 + 2\phi^2 \mu e (\text{tr } A \partial_e)^2 + m^{-1/2} \phi \{4 \text{tr } A \partial_e^2 + r d_1 \text{tr } \partial_e^2 + 2r \mu e \text{tr } A \partial_e \text{tr } \partial_e \\
&\quad + 2\phi^2 (2d_3 \text{tr } \partial_e^2/3 + 4 \mu e \text{tr } A \partial_e \text{tr } A^2 \partial_e + r d_1 \mu e (\text{tr } A \partial_e)^2) \\
&\quad + 8\phi^4 d_3 \mu e (\text{tr } A \partial_e)^2\} + O(m^{-1})] \text{tr } R \Lambda_e S \Lambda_e.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $E_{ij}$  be the  $p \times p$  matrix defined by  $(1/2)(1 + \delta_{ij})(\partial/\partial \lambda_{ij}^{(e)}) \Lambda_e$ . We have

$$(2.11) \quad \text{tr } \theta \partial_e^2 \text{tr } R \Lambda_e S \Lambda_e = 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^p \theta_i \text{tr } R E_{ij} S E_{ij} = c(R, S; \theta)/2,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \text{tr } \theta \partial_e \text{tr } \Gamma \partial_e \text{tr } R \Lambda_e S \Lambda_e = 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^p \theta_i \gamma_j \text{tr } R E_{ii} S E_{jj} = 2 \text{tr } R \theta S \Gamma,$$

where  $\theta = \text{diag}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_p)$  and  $\Gamma = \text{diag}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_p)$ . Hence we obtain the second identity. Similarly we can derive the other identities by using Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.2 in Sugiura [12].

### 3. Derivation of the asymptotic expansions

We shall obtain the asymptotic expansions for the distributions of (i)  $W = -m \log |S_e(S_h + S_e)^{-1}|$ , (ii)  $T_e^2 = m \text{tr } S_h S_e^{-1}$  and (iii)  $V = m \text{tr } S_h(S_h + S_e)^{-1}$  with respect to  $m = \mu^{-1}n - r$  assuming  $\Omega = n\theta$  where  $\theta$  is a fixed matrix. In derivation of expansions we assume only that the correction factors  $\mu$  and  $r$  are fixed numbers. The expansions for the distributions for traditional statistics are obtained by choosing (i)  $\mu^{-1} = (1+e)/2$ ,  $r = (p+1)/2$ , (ii)  $\mu^{-1} = e$ ,  $r = 0$  and (iii)  $\mu^{-1} = 1$ ,  $r = 0$ , respectively.

#### 3.1. Expansion for the distribution of $W$

From (2.1)  $S_e$  and  $S_h + S_e$  are expressed in terms of  $T_e$  and  $T_h$  as  $m\mu e \{I + m^{-1/2}(\mu e)^{-1} T_e\}$  and  $m\mu(I + 2\theta) \{I + m^{-1/2} \mu^{-1}(I + 2\theta)^{-1}(T_h + T_e)\}$  respectively. Hence we can express the characteristic function of  $\{W - m \cdot \log |e^{-1}(I + 2\theta)|\}/\sqrt{m}$  as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad E [\text{etr} \{ \phi(AT_e + BT_h) \} \{ 1 + m^{-1/2} \phi q_1(T) + m^{-1} \phi(q_2(T) + \phi q_1(T)^2/2) \}] + O(m^{-3/2}),$$

where  $A = B - (\mu e)^{-1}I$ ,  $B = \mu^{-1}(I + 2\Theta)^{-1}$  and

$$(3.2) \quad q_1(T) = \frac{1}{2} [(\mu e)^{-2} \text{tr} T_e^2 - \text{tr} \{ B(T_e + T_h) \}^2],$$

$$q_2(T) = -\frac{1}{3} [(\mu e)^{-3} \text{tr} T_e^3 - \text{tr} \{ B(T_e + T_h) \}^3].$$

With the help of Lemma 2 and noting  $d_1=0$ , we can simplify (3.1), getting

$$\exp(-\tau^2 t^2/2) [1 + m^{-1/2} \{ \phi v_1 + \phi^3 v_3 \} + m^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \phi^{2\alpha} w_{2\alpha} + O(m^{-3/2})],$$

where  $\tau^2 = 2\mu^{-1}(p/e - s_2)$  for  $s_j = \text{tr} (I + 2\Theta)^{-j}$  and

$$(3.3) \quad v_1 = (2\mu)^{-1} \{ p(p+1)/e - 2(p+1)s_1 + s_1^2 + s_2 \},$$

$$v_3 = 2(3\mu^2)^{-1} \{ p/e^2 + 2s_3 - 3s_4 \},$$

$$w_2 = v_1^2/2 + (2\mu^2)^{-1} \{ p(p+1)/e^2 + 2(p+1)s_2 - 4s_1s_2 - 4(p+2)s_3 + s_2^2 + 4s_1s_3 + 5s_4 \} + r\mu^{-1} \{ -p/e + s_2 \},$$

$$w_4 = v_1v_3 + 2(3\mu^3)^{-1} \{ p/e^3 - 3s_4 + 12s_5 - 10s_6 \},$$

$$w_6 = v_3^2/2.$$

Inverting this characteristic function, we have,

$$(3.4) \quad P(\{W - m \log |e^{-1}(I + 2\Theta)|\} / (\tau\sqrt{m}) < x)$$

$$= \Phi(x) - m^{-1/2} \{ v_1 \Phi^{(1)}(x)/\tau + v_3 \Phi^{(3)}(x)/\tau^3 \}$$

$$+ m^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 w_{2\alpha} \Phi^{(2\alpha)}(x)/\tau^{2\alpha} + O(m^{-3/2}),$$

where  $\Phi^{(j)}(x)$  denotes the  $j$ th derivative of the standard normal distribution function  $\Phi(x)$ . The coefficients  $v_j$  and  $w_j$  are given by (3.3).

The null distribution of  $W$  is obtained by putting  $\Theta=0$  in (3.4) and the result is

$$(3.5) \quad P(\{W + mp \log e\} / (\tilde{\tau}\sqrt{m}) < x)$$

$$= \Phi(x) - m^{-1/2} \{ \tilde{v}_1 \Phi^{(1)}(x)/\tilde{\tau} + \tilde{v}_3 \Phi^{(3)}(x)/\tilde{\tau}^3 \}$$

$$+ m^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \tilde{w}_{2\alpha} \Phi^{(2\alpha)}(x)/\tilde{\tau}^{2\alpha} + O(m^{-3/2}),$$

where  $\tilde{\tau} = 2ph(\mu e)^{-1}$  and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_1 &= p(p+1)h(2\mu e)^{-1}, & \tilde{v}_3 &= 2ph(1+e)(\mu e)^{-2}/3, \\ \tilde{w}_2 &= p(p+1)h\{p(p+1)+4(1+e)\}(\mu e)^{-2}/8 - rph(\mu e)^{-1}, \\ \tilde{w}_4 &= ph\{p(p+1)(1+e)h+2(1+e+e^2)\}(\mu e)^{-3}/3, \\ \tilde{w}_6 &= \tilde{v}_3^2/2. \end{aligned}$$

### 3.2. Expansion for the distribution of $T_0^2$

The characteristic function of  $\{T_0^2 - me^{-1} \text{tr}(hI + 2\Theta)\}/\sqrt{m}$  can be expressed by (3.1) for  $A = -(\mu e^2)^{-1}(hI + 2\Theta)$ ,  $B = (\mu e)^{-1}I$  and

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} q_1(T) &= -(\mu e)^{-2} \{\text{tr} T_h T_e + \mu e \text{tr} A T_e^2\}, \\ q_2(T) &= (\mu e)^{-3} \{\text{tr} T_h T_e^2 + \mu e \text{tr} A T_e^3\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 2, we have after inversion and arranging each term with respect to  $t_j = \text{tr}(I + 2\Theta)^j$

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &P(\{(T_0^2 - me^{-1} \text{tr}(hI + 2\Theta))/(\tau\sqrt{m}) < x\}) \\ &= \Phi(x) - m^{-1/2} \{v_1 \Phi^{(1)}(x)/\tau + v_3 \Phi^{(3)}(x)/\tau^3\} \\ &\quad + m^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 w_{2\alpha} \Phi^{(2\alpha)}(x)/\tau^{2\alpha} + O(m^{-3/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau^2 = 2(\mu e^3)^{-1} \{-pe + t_2\}$  and

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} v_1 &= (\mu e^2)^{-1}(p+1)(-pe + t_1), \\ v_3 &= 4(3\mu^2 e^5)^{-1} \{pe^2 - 3et_1 + 2t_3\}, \\ w_2 &= v_1^2/2 + (\mu^2 e^4)^{-1} \{p(p+1)e(e-3) - 2(p+1)et_1 + t_1^2 + (3p+4)t_2\} \\ &\quad + r(\mu e^3)^{-1} \{pe - t_2\}, \\ w_4 &= v_1 v_3 + 2(\mu^3 e^7)^{-1} \{pe^2(2-e) + 4e^2 t_1 - 10et_2 + 5t_4\}, \\ w_6 &= v_3^2/2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have under  $\Omega = 0$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &P(\{(T_0^2 - mphe^{-1})/(\tilde{\tau}\sqrt{m}) < x\}) \\ &= \Phi(x) - m^{-1/2} \{\tilde{v}_1 \Phi^{(1)}(x)/\tilde{\tau} + \tilde{v}_3 \Phi^{(3)}(x)/\tilde{\tau}^3\} \\ &\quad + m^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \tilde{w}_{2\alpha} \Phi^{(2\alpha)}(x)/\tilde{\tau}^{2\alpha} + O(m^{-3/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\tau}^2 = 2ph(\mu e^3)^{-1}$  and

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_1 &= p(p+1)h(\mu e^2)^{-1}, & \tilde{v}_3 &= 4ph(2-e)(3\mu^2 e^5)^{-1}, \\ \tilde{w}_2 &= p(p+1)\{(p^2 + p + 8)h^2/2 + 3he\}(\mu^2 e^4)^{-1} - rph(\mu e^3)^{-1}, \\ \tilde{w}_4 &= 2ph\{2p(p+1)h(2-e)/3 + e^2 - 5e + 5\}(\mu^3 e^7)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{w}_3 = \tilde{v}_3^2/2.$$

### 3.3. Expansion for the distribution of $V$

The characteristic function of  $\{V - m \operatorname{tr}(hI + 2\Theta)(I + 2\Theta)^{-1}\}/\sqrt{m}$  can be expressed by (3.1) for  $A = \mu^{-1}\{eC^2 - C\}$ ,  $B = \mu^{-1}eC^2$  and

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} q_1(T) &= -\mu^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(AT_e + BT_h)C(T_e + T_h), \\ q_2(T) &= \mu^{-2} \operatorname{tr}(AT_e + BT_h)\{C(T_e + T_h)\}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = (I + 2\Theta)^{-1}$ . By the same technique as in the case of  $W$  and  $T_0^2$  we have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} P(\{V - m \operatorname{tr}(hI + 2\Theta)(I + 2\Theta)^{-1}\}/(\tau\sqrt{m}) < x) \\ = \Phi(x) - m^{-1/2}\{v_1\Phi^{(1)}(x)/\tau + v_3\Phi^{(3)}(x)/\tau^3\} \\ + m^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 w_{2\alpha}\Phi^{(2\alpha)}(x)/\tau^{2\alpha} + O(m^{-3/2}) \end{aligned}$$

and in a particular case  $\Omega = 0$ ,

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} P(\{V - m \operatorname{tr} ph\}/(\tilde{\tau}\sqrt{m}) < x) \\ = \Phi(x) - m^{-1/2}\tilde{v}_3\Phi^{(3)}(x)/\tilde{\tau}^3 + m^{-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \tilde{w}_{2\alpha}\Phi^{(2\alpha)}(x)/\tilde{\tau}^{2\alpha} + O(m^{-3/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau^2 = 2e\mu^{-1}\{s_2 - es_1\}$  for  $s_j = \operatorname{tr} C^j$ ,  $\tilde{\tau}^2 = 2phe\mu^{-1}$  and

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} v_1 &= e\mu^{-1}\{-(p+1)s_2 + s_1s_2 + s_3\}, \\ v_3 &= (4/3)e\mu^{-2}\{-s_3 + 3es_3 + e^2s_6 - 3e^2s_7\}, \\ w_2 &= v_1^2/2 + e\mu^{-2}[es_1^2/2 - es_1s_2 + 2(p+1)s_3 - 2s_1s_3 - s_2^2 \\ &\quad + \{(p+1)e - 3\}s_4 - es_2s_3 - 4e(p+2)s_5 - 2es_1s_4 + 4es_1s_5 + 3es_2s_4 \\ &\quad + es_3^2/2 + 8es_6] - re\mu^{-1}(s_2 - es_1), \\ w_4 &= v_1v_3 + 2e\mu^{-3}[s_4 - 6es_6 - 4e^2s_7 - e^2(e-14)s_8 + 8e^3s_9 - 12e^3s_{10}], \\ w_6 &= v_3^2/2, \\ (3.16) \quad \tilde{v}_3 &= (4/3)phe\mu^{-2}(e-h), \\ \tilde{w}_2 &= -phe\mu^{-2}(p+1) - rpe\mu^{-1}, \\ \tilde{w}_4 &= 2phe\mu^{-3}(e^2 + h^2 - 3he), \quad \tilde{w}_6 = \tilde{v}_3^2/2. \end{aligned}$$

Sugiura [10] and Nagao [7] have given the expansions similar to (3.5), (3.10) and (3.14), which are obtained by putting  $\mu=1$ ,  $r=0$  in (3.5), (3.10) and (3.14), respectively, in connection with the expansions for the distributions of test criteria for equality of two covariance matrices.



4. Numerical accuracy of the approximations

In a special case of  $p=2$  Pillai and Jayachandran [8] have computed the exact 5% points of  $\lambda_1=|S_e(S_h+S_e)^{-1}|^{1/2}$ ,  $\lambda_2=\text{tr } S_h S_e^{-1}$  and  $\lambda_3=\text{tr } S_h(S_h+S_e)^{-1}$  and their powers under certain alternatives for some  $n_e$  and  $n_h$ . Hence it is possible to test the accuracies of our asymptotic results. Table 1 gives the numerical comparison between the exact and approximate 5% points. Our asymptotic percentage points were computed from the formulas (c.f. Sugiura [10]) obtained by applying the general inverse expansion formula to (3.5), (3.10) and (3.14) with the correction factors such that (i)  $\mu^{-1}=(1+e)/2$ ,  $r=(p+1)/2$  for  $W$ , (ii)  $\mu^{-1}=e$ ,  $r=p+1$  for  $T_0^2$  and (iii)  $\mu^{-1}=1$ ,  $r=0$  for  $V$ . The values in the brackets ( ) for  $\lambda_2$  mean the percentage points when the traditional correction factors  $\mu^{-1}=e$ ,  $r=0$  were used. Table 1 shows that to choose  $\mu^{-1}=e$ ,  $r=p+1$  for the correction factors of  $T_0^2$  can be recommended rather than the traditional correction factors. Table 2 gives the numerical comparison between the exact and approximate powers when  $p=2$ . Our approximate powers were computed from (3.4), (3.8) and (3.13) by choos-

Table 1 Comparison of approximations to the upper 5% points of  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$  for  $p=2$

$n_e$	$n_h$	$\lambda_1$		$\lambda_2$		$\lambda_3$	
		exact	approx.	exact	approx.	exact	approx.
13	7	.4460	.4458	2.880	2.851(2.614)	1.039	1.042
	13	.3194	.3196	4.941	4.874(4.475)	1.035	1.035
33	13	.5959	.5959	1.424	1.419(1.399)	.7863	.7871

Table 2 Comparison of approximations to the powers of  $W, T_0^2$  and  $V$  for  $p=2$  and significance level,  $\alpha=0.05$

$n_e$	$n_h$	$\omega_1$	$\omega_2$	$W$		$T_0^2$		$V$	
				exact	approx.	exact	approx.	exact	approx.
13	7	0	1	.0826	.0843	.081	.091	.0826	.0831
			.5	.5	.0839	.0857	.081	.089	.0858
	13	0	1	.0694	.0704	.068	.055	.0698	.0700
			.5	.5	.0699	.0710	.068	.054	.0710
33	13	.5	.5	.0784	.0787	.077	.081	.0788	.0785
			0	1.5	.0941	.0942	.094	.099	.0934
63	7	0	4	.3242	.3242	.330	.332	.3167	.3159
			2.5	2.5	.4241	.4241	.419	.422	.4279
	13	2	2	.231	.2328	.230	.233	.234	.2344
			0	5	.284	.2852	.292	.294	.265*

(\* It seems that this value is incorrect.)

ing the same correction factors as in Table 1, and using the exact significant points given in [8]. Exact powers for  $n_e=63$  and  $n_h=7$  in Table 2 were extracted from Lee [5]. From Tables 1 and 2 we can see that our approximations except for  $T_0^2$  are good still in the case of the small values of  $n_h$  and  $\omega_j$ . Further, it may be pointed that our approximations are excellent when  $n_e$  and  $n_h$  are both large and  $\omega_j$  are large.

### Acknowledgement

I wish to thank Professor N. Sugiura for pointing out an error in my original manuscript of  $T_4$  in E.Q. (2.5). This necessitated a correction of  $w_2$  in E.Q. (3.3) and (3.15).

KOBE UNIVERSITY

### REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, T. W. (1946). The non-central Wishart distribution and certain problems of multivariate statistics, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **17**, 409-431.
- [2] Fujikoshi, Y. (1968). Asymptotic expansion of the distribution of the generalized variance in the non-central case, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ.*, Ser. A-I, **32**, 293-299.
- [3] Fujikoshi, Y. (1970). Asymptotic expansions of the distributions of the test statistics in multivariate analysis, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ.*, Ser. A-I, **34**, 73-144.
- [4] Lee, Y. S. (1971). Distribution of the canonical correlations and asymptotic expansions for the distributions of certain independence test statistics, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **42**, 526-537.
- [5] Lee, Y. S. (1971). Asymptotic formulae for the distribution of a multivariate test statistic: power comparisons of certain multivariate tests, *Biometrika*, **58**, 647-651.
- [6] Muirhead, R. J. (1972). The asymptotic noncentral distribution Hotelling's generalized  $T_0^2$ , *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **43**, 1671-1677.
- [7] Nagao, H. (1970). Asymptotic expansions of some test criteria for homogeneity of variances and covariance matrices from normal populations, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ.*, Ser. A-I, **34**, 153-247.
- [8] Pillai, K. C. S. and Jayachandran, K. (1967). Power comparisons of tests of two multivariate hypotheses based on four criteria, *Biometrika*, **54**, 195-210.
- [9] Siotani, M. (1971). An asymptotic expansion of the non-null distribution of Hotelling's generalized  $T_0^2$ -statistic, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **42**, 560-571.
- [10] Sugiura, N. (1969). Asymptotic expansions of the distributions of  $|S_1 S_2^{-1}|$  and  $|S_2(S_1 + S_2)^{-1}|$  for testing the equality of two covariance matrices, *Mimeo Series*, No. 653, Univ. of North Carolina.
- [11] Sugiura, N. and Fujikoshi, Y. (1969). Asymptotic expansions of the non-null distributions of the likelihood ratio criteria for multivariate linear hypothesis and independence, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **40**, 942-952.
- [12] Sugiura, N. (1973). Further asymptotic formulas for the non-null distributions of three statistics for multivariate linear hypothesis, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.*, **25**, 153-163.