

# FINITE AND INFINITE INVARIANT MEASURES FOR A MEASURABLE TRANSFORMATION

YOSHIHIRO KUBOKAWA

(Received Nov. 8, 1968)

## 1. Introduction

Let  $T$  be a one-to-one non-singular bi-measurable transformation of a probability measure space  $(\Omega, B, m)$  onto itself. We consider the existence of measures which are invariant under the transformation  $T$  and equivalent to the measure  $m$ . Roughly speaking we show the following propositions. There exists a finite invariant measure if and only if the transformation is compact (Theorem 1). There exists a finite invariant measure if and only if the transformation is almost periodic (Theorem 2). Theorem 3 is a generalization of Theorem 1 to a  $\sigma$ -finite case. There exists a  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure if and only if the transformation is  $\sigma$ -compact. Theorem 4 is a stronger version of Theorem 3. The notion "Compact and  $\sigma$ -compact of the transformation" is introduced here (Definitions 1, 2). The last theorem is a decomposition theorem. The whole space  $\Omega$  is divided into two invariant sets such that in one of them we can construct a  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure and in any part of the other we cannot construct any  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure which is equivalent to  $m$  and not identically zero (Theorem 5). The proofs are based on the existence of Banach limit and so-called induced transformations.

## 2. Notations, definitions and lemma

Let  $(\Omega, B, m)$  be a measure space, i.e.,  $\Omega$  be an abstract space,  $B$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$  and  $m$  be a measure defined on  $B$ . We consider only a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space here. Let  $(\Omega, B, \mu)$  and  $(\Omega, B, \nu)$  be two measure spaces. The measure  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are said to be equivalent (notation;  $\mu \sim \nu$ ), if they are mutually absolutely continuous. If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are equivalent on a measurable set  $A$ , then we denote it by  $\mu \sim \nu(A)$ . The measure  $\nu$  is said to be uniformly absolutely continuous with respect to the measure  $\mu$ , if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\nu(E) < \varepsilon$  for any measurable set  $E$  with  $\mu(E) < \delta$  [3].

A one-to-one transformation of a probability measure space  $(\Omega, B, m)$

onto itself is said to be bi-measurable if both  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are measurable. The bi-measurable transformation  $T$  is said to be non-singular (with respect to  $m$ ), if  $m(TE) = m(T^{-1}E) = 0$  for any measurable set  $E$  with  $m(E) = 0$ . We call a non-singular bi-measurable transformation of  $(\Omega, B, m)$  onto itself an automorphism on  $(\Omega, B, m)$  for brevity. Let  $T$  be an automorphism on  $(\Omega, B, m)$ . The measure  $m$  is said to be  $(T)$ -invariant, if  $m(T^{-1}E) = m(TE) = m(E)$  for any measurable set  $E$ . A measurable set  $A$  is said to be  $(T)$ -equivalent to a measurable set  $B$  (notation;  $A \sim B$ ), if there exist two countable decompositions  $\{A_n\}$  and  $\{B_n\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively and a sequence  $\{i(n)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of integers such that  $T^{i(n)}A_n = B_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) ( $A_n$  can be empty for some natural number  $n$ ) ([1], [2]).

DEFINITION 1. From now on we fix a probability measure space  $(\Omega, B, m)$  and consider an automorphism  $T$  on  $\Omega$ . A measurable set  $A$  is said to be  $(T)$ -compact, if it satisfies the following: For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $m(B) < \delta$  and  $B \subset A$ , then  $m(B') < \varepsilon$  for any measurable set  $B'$  with  $B' \sim B$  and  $B \subset A$ . A measurable set  $A$  is said to be strongly  $(T)$ -compact, if it satisfies the following: For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $m(B) < \delta$  and  $B \subset A$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(T^{n_i}B_i) < \varepsilon$  for any countable decomposition  $\{B_i\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $B$  and any sequence of integers  $\{n_i\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} T^{n_i}B_i \subset A$ .

*Remark.* Let  $\Omega \sim \Omega'$  and denote this equivalence by  $\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\alpha = (\{\Omega_n\}, \{i(n)\})$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). We define a measure  $m_\alpha$  by

$$m_\alpha(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(T^{i(n)}(E \cap \Omega_n)) \quad \text{for any } E \in B.$$

We can show that the whole space  $\Omega$  is  $T$ -compact if and only if  $\{m_\alpha\}$  is weakly sequentially compact.

DEFINITION 2. The automorphism  $T$  is said to be (strongly) compact, if the whole space  $\Omega$  is (strongly)  $T$ -compact. A measurable set  $A$  is said to be (strongly)  $\sigma$ -compact (with respect to  $T$ ), if there exists a countable decomposition  $\{A_n\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $A$  such that every set  $A_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is (strongly)  $T$ -compact. The automorphism  $T$  is said to be (strongly)  $\sigma$ -compact, if the whole space  $\Omega$  is (strongly)  $\sigma$ -compact with respect to  $T$ .

*Remark.* If a measurable set  $A$  is compact, then it is bounded in the sense of Hopf [1]. If a measurable set  $A$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then it is  $\sigma$ -bounded in the sense of Halmos [2].

Here we explain so-called induced transformations ([2], [4]). Let  $A$

be a measurable set contained in the conservative part of  $\Omega$ . We define an integral-valued function  $p(\omega; A)$  on  $\Omega$  by

$$p(\omega; A) = \min \{n; T^n \omega \in A, n > 0\} \cdot \chi_A(\omega),$$

where we put  $p(\omega; A) = \infty$ , if  $\omega \in A$  and  $\{n; T^n \omega \in A, n > 0\} = \emptyset$ . Put  $A' = A - \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n \{\omega; p(\omega; A) = \infty\}$ . Then  $m(A') = m(A)$  and  $p(\omega; A') = p(\omega; A)$  for any  $\omega \in A'$ . We define a transformation  $S$  of  $A$  onto itself by

$$S\omega = \begin{cases} T^{p(\omega; A)}\omega & \text{if } \omega \in A', \\ \omega & \text{if } \omega \in A - A'. \end{cases}$$

The transformation  $S$  is a one-to-one non-singular bi-measurable transformation of  $A$  onto itself and called an induced automorphism on  $A$ .

We give a lemma which asserts existence of a Banach limit.

LEMMA 1. For a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) of real numbers there exists its Banach limit (notation;  $\text{LIM}_{n \rightarrow \infty}(x_n)$ ) of the following properties.

(1) For any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n) \leq \text{LIM}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n).$$

(2) For any two real numbers  $\alpha, \beta$  and two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) we have

$$\text{LIM}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) = \alpha \cdot \text{LIM}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n) + \beta \cdot \text{LIM}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y_n).$$

### 3. Finite invariant measures

In this section we consider an automorphism  $T$  on a fixed probability measure space  $(\Omega, B, m)$ . We prove two existence theorems of a finite  $T$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu \sim m$ .

THEOREM 1. There exists a finite  $T$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu \sim m$  if and only if  $T$  is compact.

PROOF. Necessity: Let  $\mu$  be a finite invariant measure on  $(\Omega, B)$  with  $\mu \sim m$ . Then  $\mu$  and  $m$  are mutually uniformly absolutely continuous. Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number. For this  $\varepsilon$ , there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that if  $\mu(B) < \eta$ , then  $m(B) < \varepsilon$ . For this  $\eta$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $m(A) < \delta$ , then  $\mu(A) < \eta$ . Therefore if  $m(A) < \delta$  and  $B \sim A$ , then, as  $\mu(B) = \mu(A)$ , we conclude  $m(B) < \varepsilon$ , which means compactness of  $T$ .

Sufficiency: Put  $\mu(A) = \text{LIM}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} m(T^k A) \right)$ . We show that  $\mu$  is a

finite invariant measure with  $\mu \sim m$ . We obtain the equality  $\mu(TA) = \mu(A)$  from

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} m(T^{k+1}A) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} m(T^k A) \right) = 0.$$

We show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$  for any decreasing sequence of sets  $\{A_n\}$  ( $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \phi$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number. From the assumption there exists a natural number  $q$  such that  $m(T^n A_p) < \varepsilon$  ( $p \geq q$ ,  $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ). Therefore we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} m(T^k A_p) \leq \varepsilon$$

for any natural number  $p$  with  $p \geq q$ , which proves that  $\mu$  is a measure. It is easy to see that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $m$ . If  $\mu(A) = 0$ , then  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n A\right) = 0$ . From this we have  $m(A) = 0$ .

We show two lemmas which are simple but useful to extend invariant measures.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $S$  be an induced automorphism on a measurable set  $A$  of positive measure which is contained in the conservative part of  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mu$  be an  $S$ -invariant finite measure on  $A$  with  $\mu \sim m(A)$ . Then there exists a unique  $T$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu$  on the minimum invariant set  $[A]$  including  $A$  such that  $\nu$  is equal to  $\mu$  on  $A$  and  $\nu \sim m([A])$ . In particular if  $\int p(\omega; A) d\mu(\omega) < \infty$ , then  $\nu$  is finite on  $[A]$ .*

**PROOF.** Put  $A_q = \{\omega; p(\omega; A) = q\}$  ( $q=1, 2, \dots$ ). The minimum invariant set  $[A]$  including  $A$  is represented as the form

$$\left( \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{q-1} T^k A_q \right) \cup N,$$

where  $N$  is a measurable set with  $m(N) = 0$ . We define a measure  $\nu$  on  $[A]$  by

$$\nu(B) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \mu(T^{-k} B \cap A_q)$$

for any measurable set  $B$  with  $B \subset [A]$ . If  $\int p(\omega; A) d\mu(\omega) < \infty$ , then  $\nu([A]) = \int p(\omega; A) d\mu(\omega) < \infty$ . We can verify that  $\nu$  satisfies all the conditions [2].

**LEMMA 3.** *If there exists a countable decomposition  $\{\Omega_n\}$  ( $\Omega_n \in B$ ,*

$n=1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $\Omega$  and a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $(\sigma)$ -finite  $T$ -invariant measures such that  $\mu_n$  is defined on the minimum  $T$ -invariant set  $[\Omega_n]$  including  $\Omega_n$  with  $\mu_n \sim m([\Omega_n])$ , then there exists a  $(\sigma)$ -finite  $T$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu \sim m$ .

PROOF. Put  $A_n = [\Omega_n] - \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} [\Omega_k]$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). It is obvious that  $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ ,  $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$  ( $n \neq m$ ) and  $TA_n = T^{-1}A_n = A_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). We define a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega, B)$  by

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n(E \cap A_n) \quad \text{for any measurable set } E.$$

As  $A_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) is an invariant set,  $\mu$  is an invariant measure. In particular if  $\mu_n([\Omega_n]) < \infty$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ), then we can choose a sequence of positive number  $\{\alpha_n\}$  ( $\alpha_n > 0$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \mu_n(A_n) < \infty$ . Putting  $\mu(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \mu_n(E \cap A_n)$ , we obtain a finite  $T$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu \sim m$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a finite  $T$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu \sim m$  is the following: For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a countable decomposition  $\{\Omega_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $\Omega$  satisfying (1) and (2).*

(1) *For each  $n$ , for any measurable set  $A$  and any integer  $k$  such that  $A \subset \Omega_n$ ,  $T^k A \subset \Omega_n$  we have*

$$m(A)/(1+\varepsilon) \leq m(T^k A) \leq (1+\varepsilon)m(A).$$

$$(2) \quad \int p(\omega; \Omega_n) dm(\omega) < \infty \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $p(\omega; A) = \min\{n; T^n \omega \in A, n > 0\} \cdot \chi_A(\omega)$ .

PROOF. Necessity: We assume that there exists a  $T$ -invariant finite measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega, B)$  with  $\mu \sim m$ . By Radon-Nikodym's theorem, there exists a non-negative measurable function  $f(\omega)$  such that

$$(*) \quad m(E) = \int_E f(\omega) d\mu(\omega) \quad (E \in B).$$

We put

$$\Omega_{2n} = \{\omega; (1+\varepsilon)^n \leq f(\omega) < (1+\varepsilon)^{n+1}\} \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

$$\Omega_{2n+1} = \{\omega; (1+\varepsilon)^{-n} \leq f(\omega) < (1+\varepsilon)^{-n+1}\} \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

We have  $m\left(\Omega - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n\right) = 0$ , since  $0 < f(\omega) < \infty$  (a.e.  $\mu$ ). Let  $A$  be any

measurable set with  $A \subset \Omega_{2n}$ . Let  $k$  be an arbitrary integer with  $T^k A \subset \Omega_{2n}$ . Then by (\*) we have

$$(1+\varepsilon)^n \mu(T^k A) \leq m(T^k A) \leq (1+\varepsilon)^{n+1} \mu(T^k A),$$

$$(1+\varepsilon)^n \mu(A) \leq m(A) \leq (1+\varepsilon)^{n+1} \mu(A).$$

From this, we obtain the inequality,

$$m(A)/(1+\varepsilon) \leq m(T^k A) \leq (1+\varepsilon)m(A).$$

We obtain the same inequality when  $n$  is odd. We put  $\Omega_\infty = \Omega - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n$ . As it is trivial that  $\Omega_\infty$  satisfies (1) and (2), we need only to prove (2) for  $\Omega_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). We have

$$\int p(\omega; \Omega_n) dm(\omega) \leq (1+\varepsilon)^{(n+2)/2} \int p(\omega; \Omega_n) d\mu(\omega) \quad (n: \text{even}),$$

$$\int p(\omega; \Omega_n) dm(\omega) \leq \int p(\omega; \Omega_n) d\mu(\omega) \quad (n: \text{odd}).$$

Therefore it is sufficient for the proof to show that  $\int p(\omega; A) d\mu(\omega) < \infty$  for any measurable set  $A$ . Put  $A_q = \{\omega; p(\omega; A) = q\}$  ( $q=1, 2, \dots$ ). Noting that  $T^k A_q \cap T^{k'} A_{q'} = \emptyset$  ( $(k, q) \neq (k', q')$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq q-1$ ,  $0 \leq k' \leq q'-1$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int p(\omega; A) d\mu(\omega) &= \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q \mu(A_q) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \mu(T^k A_q) \\ &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{q-1} T^k A_q\right) \leq \mu(\Omega) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Sufficiency: Let  $\varepsilon$  be a fixed positive number. Then there exists a countable decomposition  $\{\Omega_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $\Omega$  satisfying both conditions (1) and (2). Let us fix this decomposition. Let us denote an induced automorphism on  $\Omega_n$  by  $S_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). It is easy to see that we can construct such an automorphism  $S_n$ , as  $\int p(\omega; \Omega_n) dm(\omega) < \infty$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). If we can construct an  $S_n$ -invariant finite measure  $\mu_n$  on  $\Omega_n$  such that  $\int p(\omega; \Omega_n) d\mu_n(\omega) < \infty$  and  $\mu_n \sim m(\Omega_n)$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ), then by Lemmas 2 and 3, we can construct a  $T$ -invariant finite measure on  $\Omega$ . Therefore we shall construct such a measure  $\mu_n$  on  $\Omega_n$  with the properties. We denote  $S_n$  and  $\Omega_n$  by  $S$  and  $\Omega$  respectively. We have

$$m(A)/(1+\varepsilon) \leq m(S^k A) \leq (1+\varepsilon)m(A)$$

for any measurable set  $A$  with  $A \subset \Omega$ . Let us define a set function  $\mu$  by

$$\mu(A) = \text{LIM}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} m(S^k A) \right).$$

We have  $m(A)/(1+\varepsilon) \leq \mu(A) \leq (1+\varepsilon)m(A)$ . It is easy to verify that  $\mu$  is an  $S$ -invariant finite measure on  $\Omega$ ,  $\int p(\omega; \Omega) d\mu(\omega) < \infty$  and  $\mu \sim m$ .

*Remark.* As we can see easily from the proof, the condition that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a countable decomposition  $\{\Omega_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $\Omega$  satisfying the condition (1), is a necessary and sufficient one for the existence of a  $\sigma$ -finite  $T$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu \sim m$ . The author heard that this result was obtained by L. K. Arnold in his doctoral thesis of Brown University (1966).

#### 4. $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure

Let  $T$  be an automorphism on a fixed probability measure space  $(\Omega, B, m)$ . In this section we give two existence theorems of a  $\sigma$ -finite  $T$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu \sim m$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *There exists a  $\sigma$ -finite  $T$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu \sim m$  if and only if  $T$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.*

**PROOF.** Necessity: Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure with  $\mu \sim m$ . Then there exists a countable decomposition  $\{\Omega_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu(\Omega_n) < \infty$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). Considering both measures  $\mu$  and  $m$  as defined on  $\Omega_n$ , they are both finite and equivalent. By the same way as the first half of the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain the necessity.

Sufficiency: Let  $\Omega_0$  be the dissipative part of  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a wandering set  $A$  such that

$$\Omega_0 = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} T^n A, \quad T^n A \cap T^m A = \emptyset \quad (n \neq m).$$

Put

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} m(T^{-n}E \cap A) \quad \text{for any measurable set } E \text{ with } E \subset \Omega_0.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure with  $\mu \sim m(\Omega_0)$ . Put  $\Omega_n^* = \Omega_n \cap (\Omega - \Omega_0)$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). It is obvious that every set  $\Omega_n^*$  is  $T$ -compact. We define an induced automorphism  $S_n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) on  $\Omega_n^*$ . Every set  $\Omega_n^*$  is  $S_n$ -compact. By Theorem 1 there exists a finite  $S_n$ -invariant measure  $\mu_n$  on  $\Omega_n^*$  with  $\mu_n \sim m(\Omega_n^*)$ . By Lemmas 2 and 3, we obtain a  $\sigma$ -finite  $T$ -invariant measure  $\mu$  with  $\mu \sim m(\Omega - \Omega_0)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *There exists a  $\sigma$ -finite  $T$ -invariant measure if and only if  $T$  is strongly  $\sigma$ -compact.*

PROOF. Sufficiency: It is obvious that  $T$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. The result follows from Theorem 3.

Necessity: Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure with  $\mu \sim m$ . Then by Radon-Nikodym's theorem, there exists a non-negative measurable function on  $\Omega$  such that

$$m(E) = \int_E f(\omega) d\mu(\omega) \quad \text{for any set } E \in B.$$

Let  $a$  be any real number with  $a > 1$ . Put

$$\Omega_{2n} = \{\omega; a^n \leq f(\omega) < a^{n+1}\} \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

$$\Omega_{2n+1} = \{\omega; a^{-n} \leq f(\omega) < a^{-n+1}\} \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then we easily obtain the result by the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.

## 5. Decomposition theorem

THEOREM 5. *The whole space has following unique decompositions,*

$$\Omega = \Omega_c + \bar{\Omega}_c, \quad \Omega_c = \Omega_{cs} + \bar{\Omega}_{cs}, \quad \Omega_{cs} = \Omega_{csc} + \bar{\Omega}_{csc},$$

$\Omega_c$ : conservative part,  $\bar{\Omega}_c$ : dissipative part,

$\Omega_{cs}$ :  $\sigma$ -compact part,  $\bar{\Omega}_{cs}$ : compact part.

The whole space is divided into two invariant sets  $\Omega^*(=\bar{\Omega}_c + \Omega_{cs})$ ,  $\Omega^{**}(=\Omega - \Omega^*)$ . We have a  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure  $\mu$  on  $\Omega^*$  with  $\mu \sim m(\Omega^*)$ . Let  $A$  be an arbitrary set with  $A \subset \Omega^{**}$  and  $m(A) > 0$ . We have no  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measure on  $A$  with  $\mu \sim m(A)$ .

PROOF. It is well known that  $\Omega = \Omega_c + \bar{\Omega}_c$ . If there exists no  $\sigma$ -compact set of positive measure in  $\Omega_c$ , then there is nothing to prove. For we put  $\Omega_{cs} = \phi$  and  $\bar{\Omega}_{cs} = \Omega_c$ . Let us assume that there exists a  $\sigma$ -compact set of positive measure in  $\Omega_c$ . Then there exists a compact set  $A$  of positive measure. Let  $S$  be an induced automorphism on  $A$ . Since  $A$  is  $T$ -compact, it is also  $S$ -compact. By Theorem 1 there exists an  $S$ -invariant finite measure  $\mu$  on  $A$  with  $\mu \sim m(A)$ . We extend the measure to the  $T$ -invariant set  $[A]$  by Lemma 2. We have thus proved that if there exists a  $\sigma$ -compact set of positive measure, then there exists a  $\sigma$ -compact invariant set of positive measure. Let  $F$  be a family of all the  $\sigma$ -compact invariant sets of  $\Omega$ . We put  $\alpha = \sup_{A \in F} m(A)$ . It is easy to see that we obtain a  $\sigma$ -compact invariant set  $A^*$  with  $m(A^*) = \alpha$ . We put  $\Omega_{cs} = A^*$ ,  $\bar{\Omega}_{cs} = \Omega_c - \Omega_{cs}$ . Let  $B$  be a measurable set of positive measure in  $\bar{\Omega}_{cs}$ . Then  $B$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact. If there exists no compact invariant



set of positive measure in  $\Omega_{\sigma}$ , then we put  $\Omega_{ccc} = \phi$ ,  $\bar{\Omega}_{ccc} = \Omega_{\sigma}$ . If there exists a compact invariant set of positive measure, we also obtain the maximal compact set  $A^*$ . We put  $\Omega_{ccc} = A^*$ ,  $\bar{\Omega}_{ccc} = \Omega_{\sigma} - \Omega_{ccc}$ . It is obvious that each decomposition is unique with exception to a set of measure 0. The last statement follows from the above.

*Remark.* The theorem remains true if we exchange  $\sigma$ -compact for strongly  $\sigma$ -compact. The  $\sigma$ -compact part of  $\Omega$  and the strongly  $\sigma$ -compact coincide.

THE INSTITUTE OF STATISTICAL MATHEMATICS

### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Hopf, "Theory of measure and invariant integrals," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34 (1932), 373-393.
- [2] P. R. Halmos, "Invariant measures," *Ann. Math.*, 48, No. 3 (1947), 735-754.
- [3] A. B. Hajian and S. Kakutani, "Weakly wandering sets and invariant measures," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 110 (1964), 136-151.
- [4] Y. N. Dowker, "Finite and  $\sigma$ -finite invariant measures," *Ann. Math.*, 54 (1951), 595-608.
- [5] P. R. Halmos, *Lecture on Ergodic Theory*, Math. Soc. Japan, 1956.
- [6] Y. Kubokawa, "Boundedness of a measurable transformation and a weakly wandering set," to appear.