

# A NECESSARY CONDITION FOR THE EXISTENCE OF REGULAR AND SYMMETRICAL PBIB DESIGNS OF $T_3$ TYPE

KUMAICHI KUSUMOTO

(Received Sept. 14, 1964)

## 1. Introduction

A necessary condition for the existence of regular and symmetrical PBIB designs in terms of the Hasse-Minkowski  $p$ -invariant was obtained for group divisible designs by R. C. Bose and W. S. Connor [1], for designs of  $L_3$  type by S. S. Shrikhand [2], for designs of rectangular type by M. N. Vartak [3], and finally for designs of triangular type by J. Ogawa [4] respectively.

The purpose of this paper is to give a similar necessary condition for the existence of regular symmetrical PBIB designs of  $T_3$  type in terms of the Hasse-Minkowski  $p$ -invariant. To this end we consider the proper space related to the design of  $T_3$  type (section 3) and prove lemmas which are necessary in the later argument (section 4), and then the necessary condition for existence of the designs is given with some examples of impossible designs (section 5). Finally a numerical example of the analysis of design of  $T_3$  type is given (section 6).

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\pi$  be a PBIB design, with three associate classes and with parameters

$$(2.1) \quad v, b, r, p_{jk}^i, n_i, \lambda_i, (i, j, k=1, 2, 3), k.$$

These parameters are not all independent but they are connected by the relations

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} bk=vr, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i = v-1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i \lambda_i = r(k-1), \quad p_{ju}^i = p_{uj}^i, \\ n_i p_{ju}^i = n_j p_{iu}^j = n_u p_{ij}^u, \quad \sum_{u=1}^3 p_{ju}^i = n_j - \delta_{ij}, \quad (i, j, u=1, 2, 3), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_{ij}=0$  or 1 according as  $i \neq j$  or  $i=j$  respectively.

The association of  $T_3$  type is defined as follows: The number of element is  $v=n(n-1)(n-2)/6$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer. We have an array of  $n(n-1)(n-2)/6$  treatments on the cubic form with the follow-

ing properties: (a) if the  $t$ th treatment lies in the  $i$ th row,  $j$ th column and  $u$ th layer, it is denoted by  $t=(i, j, u)$ , (b)  $t=(i, j, u)$  represents the same treatment irrespective of the order of  $i, j$  and  $u$ , (c) the position in the principal diagonal part is blank, i.e.,  $(i, j, i)=(i, i, j)=(j, i, i)=\phi$ . For two treatments  $t=(i, j, k)$ ,  $t'=(i', j', k')$ , we find the following relations:

two treatments are first associates for  $i \neq i', j=j', k=k'$ ,  
 two treatments are second associates for  $i \neq i', j \neq j', k=k'$ ,  
 two treatments are third associates for  $i \neq i', j \neq j', k \neq k'$ ,

where each index takes on a value of 1 through  $n$ .

In this association, the parameters of association are as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad n_1=3(n-3), \quad n_2=3(n-3)(n-4)/2, \quad n_3=(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)/6,$$

$$(2.4) \quad p_{ij}^1 = \begin{vmatrix} n-2 & 2(n-4) & 0 \\ 2(n-4) & (n-4)^2 & (n-4)(n-5)/2 \\ 0 & (n-4)(n-5)/2 & (n-4)(n-5)(n-6)/6 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$p_{ij}^2 = \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 2(n-4) & (n-5) \\ 2(n-4) & (n-5)(n+2)/2 & (n-5)(n-6) \\ (n-5) & (n-5)(n-6) & (n-5)(n-6)(n-7)/6 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$p_{ij}^3 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 9 & 3(n-6) \\ 9 & 9(n-6) & 3(n-6)(n-7)/2 \\ 3(n-6) & 3(n-6)(n-7)/2 & (n-6)(n-7)(n-8)/6 \end{vmatrix}.$$

PBIB design of  $T_3$  type is an arrangement of  $v$  treatments with the association of  $T_3$  type being allocated to  $b$  blocks of size  $k$  each in such a way that (1) each block contains  $k$  different treatments, (2) each treatment occurs in  $r$  blocks and (3) any two treatments occur together in  $\lambda_i$  blocks, if they are the  $i$ th associates. If the incidence matrix of this design is denoted by  $N$ , then it is also well known that

$$(2.5) \quad NN' = rB_0 + \lambda_1 B_1 + \lambda_2 B_2 + \lambda_3 B_3,$$

where  $B_i$  is the  $i$ th association matrix.

### 3. Some properties of proper space related to regular and symmetrical PBIB design of $T_3$ type

According to L. C. A. Corsten [7] we conceive  $P=NN'$  as the matrix of the linear transformation of a vector space  $A$  consisting of vectors  $\mathbf{x}=(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_v)'$  into itself, where the coordinate  $x_i$  corresponds

to the  $t$ th treatment. From (2.5) the  $t$ th coordinate  $y_t$  in  $\mathbf{y} = P\mathbf{x}$  is equal to  $rx_t + \lambda_1 S_1 + \lambda_2 S_2 + \lambda_3 S_3$ , where  $S_j (j=1, 2, 3)$  represents the sum of the coordinates in  $\mathbf{x}$  corresponding to the  $j$ th associates of treatment  $t$ .  $\mathbf{s}' = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$  is a proper vector of  $P$  with the characteristic root  $r + \lambda_1 n_1 + \lambda_2 n_2 + \lambda_3 n_3 = rk$ .

We shall consider the  $(v-1)$  dimensional subspace  $\bar{A}$  of all vectors in  $A$  which are orthogonal to the vector  $\mathbf{s}$ . For every vector  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\bar{A}$ , we have the following relation

$$(3.1) \quad x_t + S_1 + S_2 + S_3 = 0.$$

If we denote the treatment by  $(i, j, k)$  with the restriction  $i < j < k$ , then we may construct a set of  $n$  vectors  $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n$  and a set of  $n(n-1)/2$  vectors  $\mathbf{d}_{12}, \mathbf{d}_{13}, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{1n}, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{2n}, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{n-1n}$  in the vector space  $A$  in the following way: (a) the coordinates  $x_i$  of the vector  $\mathbf{c}_p$  corresponding to such treatments  $t = (i, j, k)$  as  $1 \leq i = p < j < k \leq n$ , or  $1 \leq i < j = p < k \leq n$ , or  $1 \leq i < j < k = p \leq n$  are unity and the other coordinates of the vector are zero, (b) the coordinates  $x_i$  of the vector  $\mathbf{d}_{pq}$  corresponding to such treatments  $t = (i, j, k)$  as  $1 \leq i = p < j = q < k \leq n$ , or  $1 \leq i = p < j < k = q \leq n$ , or  $1 \leq i < j = p < k = q \leq n$  are unity and the other coordinates of the vector are zero.

LEMMA 3.1.  $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n$  are linearly independent and  $\mathbf{d}_{12}, \mathbf{d}_{13}, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{n-1n}$  are linearly independent, too.

If  $a_1 \mathbf{c}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{c}_2 + \dots + a_n \mathbf{c}_n = \mathbf{O}$ , where  $\mathbf{O}$  is the zero vector, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_2 + a_3 &= 0 \\ a_1 + a_2 + a_4 &= 0 \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} + a_n &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

therefore  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$ , consequently  $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n$  are linearly independent. If  $a_{12} \mathbf{d}_{12} + a_{13} \mathbf{d}_{13} + \dots + a_{n-1n} \mathbf{d}_{n-1n} = \mathbf{O}$ , then  $a_{12} + a_{13} + a_{23} = 0, a_{12} + a_{14} + a_{24} = 0, \dots, a_{n-2n-1} + a_{n-2n} + a_{n-1n} = 0$ , therefore  $a_{12} = a_{13} = \dots = a_{n-1n} = 0$ , consequently  $\mathbf{d}_{12}, \mathbf{d}_{13}, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{n-1n}$  are linearly independent.

Let the  $n$  dimensional linear subspace of  $A$  spanned by these  $n$  linearly independent vectors  $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n$  be called  $A_1^*$  and the  $n(n-1)/2$  dimensional linear subspace of  $A$  spanned by these  $n(n-1)/2$  linearly independent vectors  $\mathbf{d}_{12}, \mathbf{d}_{13}, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{n-1n}$  be called  $A_2^*$ . Since  $\mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{c}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{c}_n = 3\mathbf{s}$ ,  $2\mathbf{c}_p = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \mathbf{d}_{ip} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \mathbf{d}_{pj}$ , the space  $A_1^*$  contains the one-dimensional space spanned by  $\mathbf{s}$ , and the space  $A_2^*$  contains the space  $A_1^*$ . Moreover we consider  $(n-1)$  dimensional subspace  $A_1^{**}$  of the space  $A_1^*$  orthogonal to  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $n(n-3)/2$  dimensional subspace  $A_2^{**}$  of the space  $A_2^*$  orthogonal to the vectors  $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n$ .

Now, consider the inner product of any vector in  $A_1^{**}$ ,  $\gamma_1 \mathbf{c}_1 + \gamma_2 \mathbf{c}_2 + \cdots + \gamma_n \mathbf{c}_n$  say, and  $\mathbf{s}$ , and the inner product of any vector in  $A_2^{**}$ ,  $\sum_{p < q} \gamma_{pq} \mathbf{d}_{pq}$  say, and  $\mathbf{s}$  and moreover the inner product of  $\mathbf{c}_r$  and  $\sum_{p < q} \gamma_{pq} \mathbf{d}_{pq}$ ; then the first, the second and the third are respectively equal to

$$(3.2) \quad (\sum_{p=1}^n \gamma_p \mathbf{c}_p, \mathbf{s}) = \sum_{p=1}^n \gamma_p (\mathbf{c}_p, \mathbf{s}) = (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \cdots + \gamma_n)(n-1)(n-2)/2 = 0,$$

$$(3.3) \quad (\sum_{p < q} \gamma_{pq} \mathbf{d}_{pq}, \mathbf{s}) = \sum_{p < q} \gamma_{pq} (\mathbf{d}_{pq}, \mathbf{s}) = (n-2) \sum_{p < q} \gamma_{pq} = 0,$$

$$(3.4) \quad (\sum_{p < q} \gamma_{pq} \mathbf{d}_{pq}, \mathbf{c}_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \gamma_{ir} (\mathbf{d}_{ir}, \mathbf{c}_r) + \sum_{j=r+1}^n \gamma_{rj} (\mathbf{d}_{rj}, \mathbf{c}_r) + \sum_{i < j \neq r} \gamma_{ij} (\mathbf{d}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_r) \\ = (n-2) (\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \gamma_{ir} + \sum_{j=r+1}^n \gamma_{rj}) + \{ \sum_{p < q} \gamma_{pq} - (\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \gamma_{ir} + \sum_{j=r+1}^n \gamma_{rj}) \} \\ = (n-3) (\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \gamma_{ir} + \sum_{j=r+1}^n \gamma_{rj}) = 0.$$

We further note that, if the treatment  $t$  occurs in the  $p$ th row,  $q$ th column and  $r$ th layer of the association scheme, the coordinate  $x_t$  of any vector  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{p=1}^n \gamma_p \mathbf{c}_p$  in  $A_1^{**}$  and the coordinate  $x'_t$  of any vector  $\mathbf{x}' = \sum_{p < q} \gamma_{pq} \mathbf{d}_{pq}$  in  $A_2^{**}$  corresponding to the  $p$ th row,  $q$ th column and  $r$ th layer of the association scheme are equal to  $\gamma_p + \gamma_q + \gamma_r$  and  $\gamma_{pq} + \gamma_{qr} + \gamma_{pr}$  respectively. That is to say, the inner product  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e})$  and  $(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{e})$  is equal to  $\gamma_p + \gamma_q + \gamma_r$  and  $\gamma_{pq} + \gamma_{qr} + \gamma_{pr}$  respectively, where the vector  $\mathbf{e}$  has been constructed in such a way that we write unity in the position of which the corresponding indices occur in the place of the association scheme being occupied by the treatment  $t = (p, q, r)$ ; write zero everywhere-else.

Similarly, consider the following inner products of the vectors

$$(3.5) \quad (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{x}) = (\gamma_p + \gamma_q)(n-2) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \cdots + \gamma_n) - (\gamma_p + \gamma_q) \\ + (\gamma_q + \gamma_r)(n-2) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \cdots + \gamma_n) - (\gamma_q + \gamma_r) \\ + (\gamma_p + \gamma_r)(n-2) + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \cdots + \gamma_n) - (\gamma_p + \gamma_r) \\ = 2(\gamma_p + \gamma_q + \gamma_r)(n-3) = 2(n-3)x_t = 3x_t + S_1,$$

$$(3.6) \quad (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}) = \gamma_p(n-1)(n-2)/2 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \cdots + \gamma_n)(n-2) - \gamma_p(n-2) \\ + \gamma_q(n-1)(n-2)/2 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \cdots + \gamma_n)(n-2) - \gamma_q(n-2) \\ + \gamma_r(n-1)(n-2)/2 + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \cdots + \gamma_n)(n-2) - \gamma_r(n-2) \\ = (\gamma_p + \gamma_q + \gamma_r)(n-2)(n-3)/2 = x_t(n-2)(n-3)/2 \\ = 3x_t + 2S_1 + S_2,$$

$$(3.7) \quad (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{x}') = \gamma_{pq}(n-2) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_{ip} + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \gamma_{pj} + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \gamma_{iq} \\ + \sum_{j=q+1}^n \gamma_{qj} - 2\gamma_{pq} + \gamma_{qr}(n-2) + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \gamma_{ir} + \sum_{j=r+1}^n \gamma_{rj} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \gamma_{ir} + \sum_{j=r+1}^n \gamma_{rj} - 2\gamma_{qr} + \gamma_{pr}(n-2) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \gamma_{ip}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{j=p+1}^n \gamma_{pj} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \gamma_{ir} + \sum_{j=r+1}^n \gamma_{rj} - 2\gamma_{pr} \\
 & = (n-4)(\gamma_{pq} + \gamma_{qr} + \gamma_{pr}) = (n-4)x'_i = 3x'_i + S'_i,
 \end{aligned}$$

(3.8)  $(c, x') = 0 = 3x'_i + 2S'_1 + S'_2,$

where  $d = d_{pq} + d_{qr} + d_{pr}, \quad c = c_p + c_q + c_r.$

Then, from (3.1), (3.5) and (3.6) we get the following result,

(3.9)  $S_1 = (2n-9)x_i, \quad S_2 = (n-4)(n-9)x_i/2, \quad S_3 = -(n-4)(n-5)x_i/2,$

and from (3.1), (3.7) and (3.8) we get

(3.10)  $S_1 = (n-7)x'_i, \quad S_2 = -(2n-11)x'_i, \quad S_3 = (n-5)x'_i.$

The relation (3.8) follows from the fact that every vector of  $A_2^{**}$  is orthogonal to the given  $n$  basis vectors of  $A_1^{**}$ .

Finally we consider the orthocomplement of  $A_2^*$  with respect to  $A$  and call this  $A_3$ . The dimension of  $A_3$  is  $n(n-1)(n-2)/6 - n(n-1)/2 = n(n-1)(n-5)/6$ . Let  $x''$  be any vector in  $A_3$ . We get the following inner products of the vectors.

(3.11)  $(d, x'') = 0 = 3x''_i + S_1,$   
 $(c, x'') = 0 = 3x''_i + 2S_1 + S_2.$

Hence  $S_1 = -3x''_i, \quad S_2 = 3x''_i, \quad S_3 = -x''_i$  for all vectors in  $A_3$ .

Now it follows from the previous paragraph that the coordinate  $y_i$  of  $Px$  where  $x$  is restricted to  $A_1^{**}$ , is  $\{r + (2n-9)\lambda_1 + (n-4)(n-9)\lambda_2/2 - (n-4)(n-5)\lambda_3/2\} x_i$ . Therefore  $A_1^{**}$  is a proper space of  $NN'$  with proper value  $\rho_1 = r + (2n-9)\lambda_1 + (n-4)(n-9)\lambda_2/2 - (n-4)(n-5)\lambda_3/2$ . Similarly  $A_2^{**}$  is a proper space of  $NN'$  with proper value  $\rho_2 = r + (n-7)\lambda_1 - (2n-11)\lambda_2 + (n-5)\lambda_3$ , and  $A_3$  also is a proper space of  $NN'$  with proper value  $\rho_3 = r - 3\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 - \lambda_3$ .

It is quite easy to find the Gramian  $P_1$  of the given basis of  $A_1^*$ , the union of the proper space  $A_1^{**}$  and the proper space spanned by  $s$ , and the Gramian  $P_2$  of the given basis of  $A_2^*$ , the union of the proper spaces  $A_1^{**}, A_2^{**}$  and the proper space spanned by  $s$ . In order to write down  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , we only need the inner products of the vectors  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  and  $d_{13}, d_{13}, \dots, d_{n-1, n}$ , respectively. Then they are written down as follows :

(3.12)  $P_1 = \begin{vmatrix} (n-1)(n-2)/2 & n-2 & \dots & n-2 \\ n-2 & (n-1)(n-2)/2 & \dots & n-2 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ n-2 & n-2 & \dots & (n-1)(n-2)/2 \end{vmatrix},$

$$(3.13) \quad P_3 = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc}
n-2 & & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
& \ddots & & & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
& & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & 1 & & \dots & & 1 & \dots & & & 1 \\
& & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & & 1 \\
& & & & 1 & \dots & & n-2 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & & 0 \\
\hline
1 & 1 & & \dots & 0 & n-2 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
& \ddots & & & & \ddots & & & 1 & & \dots & & 1 & \dots & & & 1 \\
& & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & & 1 \\
& & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & 1 \\
& & & & 1 & 0 & \dots & & 1 & \dots & n-2 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
\hline
0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & n-2 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & & & 1 \\
& \ddots & \ddots & & & \ddots & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & & 1 \\
& & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & & 1 \\
& & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & & \ddots & & 1 \\
& & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & n-2 & 1 & \dots & & & 1 \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & n-2 & \dots & & 1 \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & & & 1 \\
& & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & & & & & & \ddots & & & 1 \\
& & & \ddots & & & & & \ddots & & & & & & \ddots & & 1 \\
& & & & \underbrace{\hspace{2cm}}_{n-1} & & \underbrace{\hspace{2cm}}_{n-2} & & \underbrace{\hspace{2cm}}_{n-3} & & \underbrace{\hspace{2cm}}_{n-4} & & & & & & \vdots
\end{array} \right)$$

4. A necessary theorem and lemmas

Hasse's Theorem [5]. The necessary and sufficient conditions for two positive-definite, rational and symmetric matrices  $A$  and  $B$  of the same order to be rationally congruent are that, in the first place, the square-free parts of the determinants of both matrices are the same, and in the second, the Hasse-Minkowski  $p$ -invariants of both matrices coincide with each order for all primes  $p$  including  $p_\infty$ .

If we denote the  $n$  leading principal minor determinants of  $A$  by

$$(4.1) \quad D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{n-1}, D_n = |A|$$

and let  $D_0=1$ , then [5] the Hasse-Minkowski  $p$ -invariant of  $A$  is given by

$$(4.2) \quad C_p(A) = (-1, -1)_p \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (D_{i+1}, -D_i)_p$$

for each prime  $p$ , where the symbol  $(a, b)_p$  denotes the extended Hilbert symbol of the norm residue [5], which is defined by

$$(4.3) \quad (a, b)_p = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } ax^2 + by^2 = 1 \text{ has a } p\text{-adic solution,} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now we shall list some useful properties of  $C_p(A)$  as lemmas.

LEMMA 4.1. *If  $A$  and  $B$  are rational and symmetrical and if*

$$U = \begin{vmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{vmatrix},$$

then

$$(4.4) \quad C_p(U) = (-1, -1)_p(|A|, |B|)_p C_p(A) C_p(B).$$

LEMMA 4.2. *If  $A, B$  and  $C$  are rational and symmetric and if*

$$U = \begin{vmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{vmatrix},$$

then

$$(4.5) \quad C_p(U) = C_p(A) C_p(B) C_p(C) (|A|, |B|)_p (|B|, |C|)_p (|A|, |C|)_p.$$

LEMMA 4.3. *If  $A$  is  $n \times n$  matrix, then*

$$(4.6) \quad C_p(\rho_A) = (-1, \rho)_p^{n(n+1)/2} (\rho, |A|)_p^{n+1} C_p(A).$$

LEMMA 4.4. *If the  $n-1$  rational vectors  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  of dimensionality  $n$  are linearly independent and are orthogonal to  $s$ , then the Gramian of the set, i.e.,*

$$U = \begin{vmatrix} b'_1 \\ \vdots \\ b'_n \end{vmatrix} \parallel b_1 \cdots b_n \parallel$$

has the  $p$ -invariant  $C_p(U) = (-1, -1)_p$ .

LEMMA 4.5. *So long as we restrict ourselves to rational vectors, the  $p$ -invariant of a vector set, i.e., the  $p$ -invariant of the Gramian of the set is uniquely determined by the linear subspace generated by the vectors of the set.*

We shall summarize the necessary properties of Hilbert's symbol [5] and some of the fundamental properties of the Legendre symbol  $(q/p)$  of the quadratic residue. For reference we reproduce the results of B. W. Jones [5].

LEMMA 4.6.

1.  $(\alpha, \beta)_\infty = 1$  unless  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are both negative.
2.  $(\alpha, \beta)_p = (\beta, \alpha)_p$ .
3.  $(\alpha\rho^2, \beta\rho^2)_p = (\alpha, \beta)_p$ .
4.  $(\alpha, -\alpha)_p = 1$ .
5. If  $\alpha = p^a \alpha_1, \beta = p^b \beta_1$  with  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  units, then

- a. if  $p$  is odd,  $(\alpha, \beta)_p = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)^{ab} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{p}\right)^b \left(\frac{\beta_1}{p}\right)^a$
- b. if  $p=2$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta)_2 = \left(\frac{2}{\alpha_1}\right)^b \left(\frac{2}{\beta_1}\right)^a (-1)^{(\alpha_1-1)(\beta_1-1)/4}$ .
- 5'. If  $p$  is prime to  $2\alpha\beta$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta)_p = 1$ , for  $p$  finite,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $R(p)$ .
- 6.  $(\alpha, \beta)_p(\alpha, \gamma)_p = (\alpha, \beta\gamma)_p$ .
- 7.  $(\alpha, \alpha)_p = (\alpha, -1)_p$ .
- 8.  $(\alpha\rho, \beta\rho)_p = (\alpha, \beta)_p(\rho, -\alpha\beta)_p$ .
- 9. If  $\beta$  is a non-square in  $F(p)$  and  $c=1$  or  $-1$ , there is for each prime  $p$  an integer  $a$  such that  $(\alpha, \beta)_p = c$ . If, further,  $b$  as defined in property 5 is odd, may be taken prime to  $p$ .
- 10. If  $a$  and  $b$  are non-zero rational numbers

$$\prod (\alpha, b)_p = 1,$$

the product extending over all primes  $p$  including  $p = \infty$ .

- 11.  $(a, b)_p = (-ab, a+b)_p$ .

Now, from section 3 and the elements of linear associative algebra [6], we conclude that there exist four mutually orthogonal and symmetric matrices  $B_0^* = (1/v)G_v$ ,  $B_1^*$ ,  $B_2^*$  and  $B_3^*$  with respective ranks, 1,  $n-1$ ,  $n(n-3)/2$  and  $n(n-1)(n-5)/6$ , such that

$$(4.7) \quad NN' = \rho_0 B_0^* + \rho_1 B_1^* + \rho_2 B_2^* + \rho_3 B_3^*$$

where

$$\rho_0 = r + 3(n-3)\lambda_1 + 3(n-3)(n-4)\lambda_2/2 + (n-3)(n-4)(n-5)\lambda_3/6,$$

and the column vectors of  $B_1^*$ ,  $B_2^*$  and  $B_3^*$  respectively generate the proper spaces  $A_1^{**}$ ,  $A_2^{**}$  and  $A_3$  of  $NN'$ .

Let us assume, without any loss of generality, that

$$\mathbf{b}_1^0, \mathbf{b}_2^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n^1, \mathbf{b}_{n+1}^2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{n(n-1)/2}^2, \dots, \mathbf{b}_v^3$$

are linearly independent, and let us put

$$S = \|\mathbf{b}_1^0 \mathbf{b}_2^1 \dots \mathbf{b}_n^1 \mathbf{b}_{n+1}^2 \dots \mathbf{b}_{n(n-1)/2}^2 \dots \mathbf{b}_v^3\|.$$

Then  $S$  is a non-singular  $v \times v$  matrix with rational elements.

Further let

$$Q_1 = \left\| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{b}_2^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{b}_n^1 \end{array} \right\| \|\mathbf{b}_2^1 \dots \mathbf{b}_n^1\|, \quad Q_2 = \left\| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{b}_{n+1}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{b}_{n(n-1)/2}^2 \end{array} \right\| \|\mathbf{b}_{n+1}^2 \dots \mathbf{b}_{n(n-1)/2}^2\|$$

$$Q_3 = \left\| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{b}_{n(n-1)/2+1}^3 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{b}_v^3 \end{array} \right\| \|\mathbf{b}_{n(n-1)/2+1}^3 \dots \mathbf{b}_v^3\|.$$



Then

$$S'NN'S = \begin{vmatrix} \rho_0/v & & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_1 Q_1 & \\ & & \rho_2 Q_2 \\ & & & \rho_3 Q_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

or

$$(4.8) \quad NN' \sim \begin{vmatrix} rk/v & & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_1 Q_1 & \\ & & \rho_2 Q_2 \\ & & & \rho_3 Q_3 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Since

$$S'S = \begin{vmatrix} 1/v & & 0 \\ & Q_1 & \\ 0 & & Q_2 \\ & & & Q_3 \end{vmatrix},$$

we get

$$(4.9) \quad v|Q_1||Q_2||Q_3| \sim 1.$$

Next, the Gramian  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  as defined in (3.12) and (3.13) have the following determinants:

$$(4.10) \quad P_1 = \frac{3}{2}(n-1)(n-2)\{(n-2)(n-3)/2\}^{n-1}$$

$$P_2 = 3(n-2) \begin{vmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} n-3 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdot & & \\ \cdot & & \\ \cdot & & \\ 1 & \cdots & n-3 \end{vmatrix}}_{n-2} & \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} n-3 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & n-3 & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ -1 & 1 & \cdots & n-3 \end{vmatrix}}_{n-2} & \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} n-2 & -2 & \cdots & -2 \\ -1 & n-3 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ -1 & 1 & \cdots & n-3 \end{vmatrix}}_{n-3} \\ \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} n-1 & -3 & \cdots & -3 \\ -1 & n-3 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ -1 & 1 & \cdots & n-3 \end{vmatrix}}_{n-4} & \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} n & -4 & \cdots & -4 \\ -1 & n-3 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ -1 & 1 & \cdots & n-3 \end{vmatrix}}_{n-5} & \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} n+1 & -5 & \cdots & -5 \\ -1 & n-3 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ -1 & 1 & \cdots & n-3 \end{vmatrix}}_{n-6} \cdots \end{vmatrix}$$

Since

$$\begin{vmatrix} n-(5-i) & -(i-1) & \cdots & -(i-1) \\ -1 & n-3 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ -1 & 1 & \cdots & n-3 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 2(n-3) & -2(n-3) & \cdots & -2(n-3) \\ -1 & n-3 & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ -1 & 1 & \cdots & n-3 \end{vmatrix} \\ = 2(n-3)(n-4)^{n-i-1} \quad (i=2, 3, \dots, n-1),$$

then

$$(4.11) \quad P_2 = 3(n-2)\{2(n-3)(n-4)^{n-3}\}(n-4)^{1+2+3+\dots+(n-3)}\{2(n-3)\}^{n-2} \\ = 3(n-2)\{2(n-3)\}^{n-1}(n-4)^{n(n-3)/2}.$$

Since

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1/v & 0 \\ 0 & Q_1 \end{vmatrix} \sim P_1, \quad \begin{vmatrix} 1/v & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q_2 \end{vmatrix} \sim P_2,$$

it will be shown that

$$(4.12) \quad |Q_1| \sim n\{(n-2)(n-3)/2\}^{n-1}$$

$$(4.13) \quad |Q_2| \sim 2(n-1)(n-2)^{n-1}(n-4)^{n(n-3)/2}$$

$$(4.14) \quad |Q_3| \sim 3 \cdot 2^{n-1}(n-2)(n-3)^{n-1}(n-4)^{n(n-3)/2}.$$

From lemmas 4.2 and 4.4 it follows that

$$(4.15) \quad C_p \left( \begin{vmatrix} Q_1 & & \\ & Q_2 & \\ & & Q_3 \end{vmatrix} \right) \\ = C_p(Q_1)C_p(Q_2)C_p(Q_3)(|Q_1|, |Q_2|)_p(|Q_3|, |Q_2|)_p(|Q_3|, |Q_1|)_p = (-1, -1)_p.$$

Moreover, the following relations can be shown from lemma 4.3.

$$(4.16) \quad C_p(\rho_1 Q_1) = (-1, \rho_1)_p^{n(n+1)/2}(\rho_1, |Q_1|)_p^n C_p(Q_1),$$

$$(4.17) \quad C_p(\rho_2 Q_2) = (-1, \rho_2)_p^{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)/8}(\rho_2, |Q_2|)_p^{n(n-3)/2+1} C_p(Q_2),$$

$$(4.18) \quad C_p(\rho_3 Q_3) = (-1, \rho_3)_p^{n(n-1)(n-5)(n-2)(n^2-4n-3)/72}(\rho_3, |Q_3|)_p^{n(n-1)(n-5)/6+1} C_p(Q_3).$$

## 5. Necessary conditions for the existence of regular symmetrical PBIB design of $T_3$ type

In this section, we shall show the non-existence of certain regular symmetrical PBIB designs of  $T_3$  type. If the design is symmetrical, i.e.,  $v=b$  and  $r=k$ , then the incidence matrix  $N$  is a square matrix with elements 0 and 1, hence in the regular case  $NN'$  must be a perfect square. Thus first of all

$$(5.1) \quad \rho_1^{n-1} \rho_2^{n(n-3)/2} \rho_3^{n(n-1)(n-5)/6} \sim 1,$$

and from (4.1) and lemmas 4.2 and 4.4 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.2) \quad & C_p \left( \left\| \begin{array}{ccc} \rho_1 Q_1 & & \\ & \rho_2 Q_2 & \\ & & \rho_3 Q_3 \end{array} \right\| \right) \\
 & = C_p(\rho_1 Q_1) C_p(\rho_2 Q_2) C_p(\rho_3 Q_3) (|\rho_1 Q_1|, |\rho_2 Q_2|)_p (|\rho_1 Q_1|, |\rho_3 Q_3|)_p (|\rho_2 Q_2|, |\rho_3 Q_3|)_p \\
 & = (-1, -1)_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get from lemma 4.4 and relations (4.15), (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad & (\rho_1, \rho_2)_p^{n(n-1)(n-3)/2} (|Q_1|, \rho_2)_p^{n(n-3)/2} (\rho_1, |Q_2|)_p^{n-1} \\
 & \cdot (\rho_1, \rho_3)_p^{n(n-1)2(n-5)/6} (|Q_1|, \rho_3)_p^{n(n-1)(n-5)/6} (\rho_1, |Q_3|)_p^{n-1} \\
 & \cdot (\rho_2, \rho_3)_p^{n^2(n-1)(n-3)(n-5)/12} (|Q_2|, \rho_3)_p^{n(n-1)(n-5)/6} \\
 & \cdot (\rho_2, |Q_3|)_p^{n(n-3)/2} (-1, \rho_1)_p^{n(n-1)/2} (-1, \rho_2)_p^{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)/8} \\
 & \cdot (-1, \rho_3)_p^{n(n-1)(n-5)(n-2)(n^2-4n-3)/72} (\rho_1, |Q_1|)_p^n \\
 & \cdot (\rho_2, |Q_2|)_p^{n(n-3)/2+1} (\rho_3, |Q_3|)_p^{n(n-1)(n-5)/6+1} = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4.9) and (5.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.4) \quad & (-1, \rho_1)_p^{n(n-1)/2} (-1, \rho_2)_p^{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)/8} \\
 & \cdot (-1, \rho_3)_p^{n(n-1)(n-5)(n-2)(n^2-4n-3)/72} (\rho_1, |Q_1|)_p (\rho_2, |Q_2|)_p \\
 & \cdot (\rho_3, |Q_3|)_p (\rho_1, \rho_2)_p^{n(n-1)(n-3)/2} (-1, \rho_3)_p^{n(n-1)(n-5)/6} = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (4.12), (4.13) and (4.14) into (5.4), consequently we get the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Necessary conditions for the existence of regular symmetrical PBIB design of  $T_3$  type are*

- (i)  $\rho_1^{n-1} \rho_2^{n(n-3)/2} \rho_3^{n(n-1)(n-5)/6} \sim 1$ ,
- (ii)  $O_p = (-1, \rho_1)_p^{n(n-1)/2} (-1, \rho_2)_p^{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)/8} (-1, \rho_3)_p^{n(n-1)(n-5)(n^2-6n^2+5n+18)/72}$   
 $\cdot (\rho_1, \rho_2)_p^{n(n-1)(n-3)/2} (\rho_1, n)_p (\rho_1, (n-2)(n-3)/2)_p^{n-1}$   
 $\cdot (\rho_2, 2(n-1))_p (\rho_2, n-2)_p^{n-1} (\rho_2, n-4)_p^{n(n-3)/2}$   
 $\cdot (\rho_3, 3(n-2))_p (\rho_3, 2(n-3))_p^{n-1} (\rho_3, n-4)_p^{n(n-3)/2} = 1$

for all primes  $p$ .

Examples of non-existent PBIB designs of  $T_3$  type.

(1)  $n=7; v=b=35, r=k=8, \lambda_1=1, \lambda_2=2, \lambda_3=2,$

$$O_p = (-1, 1)_p (-1, 6)_p (-1, 9)_p (1, 7)_p (6, 12)_p (9, 15)_p \quad O_3 = (2/3) = -1.$$

Hence this design is impossible.

$$(2) \quad n=7; \quad v=b=35, \quad r=k=11, \quad \lambda_1=4, \quad \lambda_2=3, \quad \lambda_3=2,$$

$$O_p = (-1, 16)_p (-1, 6)_p (-1, 6)_p (16, 7)_p (6, 3)_p (6, 15)_p \\ O_s = (5/3) = (2/3) = -1.$$

Hence this design is impossible.

$$(3) \quad n=7; \quad v=b=35, \quad r=k=12, \quad \lambda_1=4, \quad \lambda_2=4, \quad \lambda_3=3,$$

$$O_p = (-1, 11)_p (-1, 6)_p (-1, 9)_p (11, 7)_p (6, 3)_p (9, 15)_p \quad O_s = (2/3) = -1.$$

Hence this design is impossible.

$$(4) \quad n=19; \quad v=b=969, \quad r=k=57, \quad \lambda_1=9, \quad \lambda_2=3, \quad \lambda_3=3,$$

$$O_p = (-1, 228)_p (-1, 36)_p (228, 19)_p (36, 51)_p \\ O_{19} = (3, 19)_{19} = (3/19) = -(19/3) = -1.$$

Hence this design is impossible.

## 6. A numerical example

Table 6.1 gives the yield which are produced by a set of three workers and lay-out of a design of the  $T_1$  type with treatments (sets of three workers) indicated by sets of capital letters within brackets.

The parameters of the design are:  $v=35, n=7, b=35, r=k=4, \lambda_1=1, \lambda_2=0, \lambda_3=0$ .

$$B_1^* = 2\{3(n-3)B_0 + (2n-9)B_1 + (n-9)B_2 - 9B_3\} / \{n(n-2)(n-3)\} \\ = (12B_0 + 5B_1 - 2B_2 - 9B_3) / 70,$$

$$B_2^* = \{3(n-3)(n-4)B_0 + (n-4)(n-7)B_1 - (4n-22)B_2 + 18B_3\} / \\ \{(n-1)(n-2)(n-4)\} = (6B_0 - B_1 + 3B_3) / 15,$$

$$B_3^* = \{(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)B_0 - (n-4)(n-5)B_1 + 2(n-5)B_2 - 6B_3\} / \\ \{(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)\} = (12B_0 - 3B_1 + 2B_2 - 3B_3) / 30,$$

where  $B_1^*, B_2^*, B_3^*$  are idempotents of the association algebra which is the linear closure of association matrices  $B_0, B_1, B_2$  and  $B_3$ .

The computational details of analysis of variance are given in Table 6.2 and Table 6.3 (see also Ogawa & Ishii [8]).

## Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Prof. J. Ogawa of Nihon University for his help

and guidance in the course of the entire work. Also my thanks are due to Prof. S. Ikeda of Waseda University for suggesting the problem and making some helpful comments on the manuscript.

WAKAYAMA MEDICAL COLLEGE

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. Bose and W. S. Connor, "Combinatorial properties of group divisible incomplete block designs," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 23 (1952), 367-383.
- [2] S. S. Shrikhande, "The uniqueness of the  $L_2$  association scheme," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 30 (1959), 781-789.
- [3] M. N. Vartak, "The non-existence of certain PBIB designs," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 30 (1959), 1051-1062.
- [4] J. Ogawa, "A necessary condition for existence of regular and symmetrical experimental designs of triangular type, with partially balanced incomplete blocks," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 30 (1959), 1063-1071.
- [5] B. W. Jones, *The Arithmetic Theory of Quadratic Forms*, The Mathematical Association of America, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1950.
- [6] R. C. Bose and D. M. Mesner, "On linear associative algebras corresponding to association schemes of partially balanced design," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 30 (1959), 21-38.
- [7] L. C. A. Corsten, "Proper space related to triangular partially balanced incomplete block designs," *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 31 (1960), 498-501.
- [8] J. Ogawa and G. Ishii, "On the analysis of PBIB designs in the regular case," to appear in *Institute of Statistics of University of North Carolina Mimeograph Series* and submitted to the *Ann. Math. Statist.*

Table 6.1  
(The yields in this Table are not actual samples but conceivable data.)

Block number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	12.5 (ABC)	11.4 (ABC)	12.0 (ABC)	12.5 (ABD)	12.1 (ABD)	17.2 (ABE)	18.2 (ACD)	17.6 (ACD)	12.4 (ACE)	20.1 (ADE)
	12.8 (ABD)	10.3 (ABE)	11.3 (ABF)	11.2 (ABE)	10.5 (ABF)	15.2 (ABF)	17.1 (ACE)	14.3 (ACF)	10.4 (ACF)	19.8 (ADF)
	13.0 (ACD)	13.1 (ACE)	12.1 (ACF)	14.5 (ADE)	13.5 (ADF)	13.6 (AEF)	20.3 (ADE)	16.9 (ADF)	12.5 (AEF)	13.4 (AEF)
	10.4 (BCD)	12.0 (BCE)	14.0 (BCF)	17.1 (BDE)	12.0 (BDF)	12.4 (BEF)	21.4 (CDE)	14.5 (CDF)	9.8 (CEF)	13.6 (DEF)
Total	48.7	46.8	49.4	55.3	48.1	58.4	77.0	63.3	45.1	66.9
Block number	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	12.1 (ABC)	16.4 (ACD)	12.6 (ABD)	15.1 (ABE)	10.2 (ABF)	13.6 (ACE)	11.4 (ACF)	19.1 (ADE)	17.4 (ADF)	15.3 (AEF)
	15.2 (ABG)	15.2 (ACG)	13.1 (ABG)	16.2 (ABG)	9.1 (ABG)	14.3 (ACG)	12.0 (AFG)	21.4 (ADG)	16.6 (ADG)	14.0 (AEG)
	14.7 (ACG)	20.0 (ADG)	17.4 (ADG)	14.3 (AEG)	11.0 (BFG)	10.2 (AEG)	16.2 (ACG)	16.3 (AEG)	12.6 (AFG)	13.8 (AFG)
	16.4 (BCG)	15.0 (CDG)	15.3 (BDG)	13.2 (BEG)	10.4 (AFG)	12.5 (CEG)	12.4 (CFG)	18.0 (DEG)	15.4 (DFG)	12.3 (EFG)
Total	58.4	66.6	58.4	58.8	40.7	50.6	52.0	74.8	62.0	55.4

Block number	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
	12.0 (BCD)	10.7 (BCD)	15.2 (BCG)	11.9 (BCE)	11.3 (BCE)	16.4 (BCF)	20.1 (BDE)	17.1 (BDF)	14.5 (BEF)	16.5 (BDE)
	10.2 (BCE)	12.1 (BCF)	13.5 (BCD)	12.3 (BCF)	17.1 (BCG)	19.3 (BCG)	18.1 (BDG)	13.3 (BDG)	14.2 (BEG)	14.7 (BDF)
	15.3 (BDE)	10.4 (BDF)	12.3 (BEG)	10.6 (BEF)	16.5 (BEG)	17.1 (CFG)	17.3 (BEG)	16.1 (BFG)	16.1 (BFG)	16.5 (BEF)
	15.0 (CDE)	10.0 (CDF)	15.2 (CDG)	10.1 (CEF)	13.4 (CEG)	15.8 (BFG)	15.2 (DEG)	17.0 (DFG)	15.0 (EFG)	13.4 (DEF)
Total	52.5	43.2	56.2	44.9	58.3	68.6	70.7	63.5	59.8	61.1
Block number	31	32	33	34	35					
	13.4 (CDE)	17.4 (CDE)	15.2 (CDF)	7.5 (DEF)	8.5 (CEF)					
	11.4 (CEF)	16.1 (CDG)	12.3 (CDG)	6.7 (DEG)	7.8 (CDG)					
	12.3 (CDF)	15.3 (CEG)	10.2 (CFG)	7.8 (DFG)	9.3 (CFG)					
	10.7 (DEF)	16.3 (DEG)	14.0 (DFG)	6.5 (EFG)	8.0 (EFG)					
Total	47.8	65.1	51.7	28.5	33.6	Grand total 1942.2				

Table 6.2

	Treatments		$B_1Q$	$B_2Q$	$B_3Q$	$70B_1^*Q$	$15B_2^*Q$	$30B_3^*Q$
	$T$	$Q$						
ABC	48.0	-2.825	-2.075	14.100	-9.200	10.325	-58.650	28.125
ABD	50.0	-2.625	20.575	-7.000	-10.950	183.925	-41.600	-74.375
ABE	53.8	-1.025	-6.675	8.200	-0.500	-57.575	-15.850	25.625
ABF	47.2	-1.950	-6.750	9.875	-1.175	-66.325	-25.100	20.125
ACD	65.2	1.300	20.350	-17.225	-4.425	191.625	11.750	-66.625
ACE	56.2	1.325	-9.125	7.150	0.650	-49.875	2.750	55.625
ACF	48.2	-4.250	1.750	0.875	1.625	-58.625	-21.500	-59.375
ADE	74.0	5.500	15.950	-30.725	9.275	123.725	91.550	-71.125
ADF	67.6	7.525	0.175	-6.500	-1.200	114.975	48.050	80.375
AEF	58.4	-1.650	-15.200	17.275	-0.425	-126.525	-28.450	61.625
ABG	53.6	-0.475	0.775	1.525	-1.825	11.550	-9.850	0.500
ACG	60.4	3.500	-2.850	-2.050	1.400	19.250	27.250	42.250
ADG	75.4	9.950	0.600	-3.500	-7.050	192.850	42.050	131.750
AEG	54.8	-5.100	3.700	0.950	0.450	-48.650	-30.200	-71.750
AFG	48.8	-3.725	6.175	-9.375	6.925	-57.400	7.800	-102.750
BCD	46.6	-3.550	13.450	3.100	-13.000	135.450	-63.400	-37.750
BCE	45.4	-5.225	11.825	-23.125	16.525	-106.050	41.350	-194.000
BCF	54.8	3.275	-16.175	6.125	6.775	-114.800	33.850	79.750
BCG	68.0	7.625	-15.025	1.900	5.500	-36.925	60.350	123.875
BDE	69.0	9.100	-15.500	13.350	-6.950	67.550	20.400	203.250
BDF	54.2	0.225	4.775	-1.825	-3.175	58.800	-6.350	-5.750
BDG	59.0	-3.200	18.300	-7.475	-7.625	136.675	-34.600	-85.375
BEF	54.0	-2.050	-8.550	-2.850	13.450	-182.700	30.900	-45.000
BEG	61.2	-0.700	-8.500	4.125	5.075	-104.825	6.900	10.125
BFG	59.0	0.850	-3.200	-12.375	14.725	-113.575	61.650	-49.125
CDE	67.2	6.600	-7.750	6.450	-5.300	75.250	17.250	131.250
CDF	52.0	0.500	1.200	5.600	-7.300	66.500	-24.500	35.500
CDG	58.6	-1.300	16.550	-8.575	-6.675	144.375	-19.250	-62.375
CEF	39.8	-3.050	-15.250	14.650	3.650	-175.000	-22.000	27.500
CEG	49.0	-2.900	-4.900	4.625	3.175	-97.125	-12.500	-20.375
CFG	49.0	-2.475	0.775	-9.250	10.950	-105.875	27.250	-83.375
DEF	45.2	-5.875	19.375	-21.325	7.825	-1.400	9.550	-194.750
DEG	56.2	-3.575	12.325	-3.000	5.750	76.475	-35.700	-68.625
DFG	54.2	2.775	-3.625	8.600	-7.750	67.725	-15.200	84.625
EFG	41.8	-2.525	-27.475	37.700	-7.700	-173.775	-75.950	150.625

Elements of the vector  $T$  are total yields of the treatments and elements of the vector  $Q$  are the adjusted yields of the treatments.



Table 6.3

	s.s.	d.f.	m.s.
Treatments (adjusted for blocks)	206.1391	34	
Main effects of factors $A, B, \dots, G$	$\frac{49.3435}{\left(\frac{k}{rk-\rho_1} Q'B_1^*Q\right)}$	$\frac{6}{(n-1)}$	8.224
Two factor interactions of $A, B, \dots, G$	$\frac{72.1929}{\left(\frac{k}{rk-\rho_2} Q'B_2^*Q\right)}$	$\frac{14}{\left(\frac{n(n-3)}{2}\right)}$	5.157
Three factor interactions of $A, B, \dots, G$	$\frac{84.6027}{\left(\frac{k}{rk-\rho_3} Q'B_3^*Q\right)}$	$\frac{14}{\left(\frac{n(n-1)(n-5)}{6}\right)}$	6.043
Blocks (unadjusted)	994.13	34	
Error	301.44	71	4.246
Total	1295.57	139	