

CERTAIN CLASS OF INFINITELY DIVISIBLE CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS

RYOICHI SHIMIZU

(Received Feb. 17, 1965)

1. Introduction and summary

A characteristic function (abbr. ch. f.) $\varphi(t)$ is said to be stable if to every $a, b > 0$, there corresponds $c > 0$ such that the equation

$$(1) \quad \varphi(ct) = \varphi(at)\varphi(bt)$$

holds.

The most familiar example of stable ch. f. is the ch. f. $\varphi(t) = e^{(-\sigma^2/2)t^2}$ of the normal distribution with mean zero.

Non-normal stable ch. f. is written as either

$$\varphi(t) = e^{i\gamma t - \beta|t|} \quad (\text{the Cauchy distribution})$$

or

$$\varphi(t) = \exp\left[-C|t|^\alpha \left(\cos \gamma - i \frac{t}{|t|} \sin \gamma\right)\right]$$

where $0 < \alpha < 2$, $\alpha \neq 1$.

We are interested in the ch. f. $\varphi(t)$ for which

$$(2) \quad \varphi(t) = \varphi(at)\varphi(bt)$$

holds, where a and b are given non-zero constants. The author considered this problem in the previous paper [4], but the result was far from the satisfactory.

Yu V. Linnik discussed, in his elaborate paper [2], the more general problem. He treated the ch. f. $\varphi(t)$ satisfying the equation

$$(3) \quad \varphi(a_1 t) \cdots \varphi(a_n t) = \varphi(b_1 t) \cdots \varphi(b_n t),$$

where a 's and b 's are given real constants. A necessary condition is given in the theorem 5 of the paper. We shall give in the present paper a necessary and sufficient condition under which (2) holds ($a \geq b > 0$), which contains the following proposition: if $\log a / \log b$ is an irrational

number, the ch. f. $\varphi(t)$ satisfying the relation (2) is stable. We shall also show some properties of the corresponding probability distributions.

2. Some lemmas

Throughout this section, we assume $|\varphi(t)| \neq 1$. From equation (2) we find for all positive integer n

$$(4) \quad \varphi(t) = \prod_0^n \varphi^{(n)}(a^k b^{n-k} t).$$

LEMMA 1. $|a| > 1$, $|b| > 0$.

PROOF. Since $|\varphi(t)|$ is a symmetric ch. f. and is bounded by 1, we obtain, using (4),

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t)| &= |\varphi(a^n t)| \cdot \prod_0^{n-1} |\varphi^{(n)}(a^k b^{n-k} t)| \\ &\leq |\varphi(a^n t)| = |\varphi(|a|^n t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $|a| > 1$,

$$|\varphi(t)| \geq |\varphi(|a|^{-n} t)| \geq |\varphi(0)| = 1, \quad \text{or} \quad |\varphi(t)| \equiv 1.$$

If $|a| = 1$, we obtain, from (2),

$$|\varphi(t)| = |\varphi(at)| \cdot |\varphi(bt)| = |\varphi(t)| \cdot |\varphi(bt)|.$$

Since $|\varphi(t)| > 0$ in the suitable neighbourhood N of $t=0$, we have $|\varphi(bt)| = 1$ on N . This implies $|\varphi(t)| \equiv 1$. q. e. d.

By the above lemma there exists a unique positive number α such that

$$(5) \quad |a|^\alpha + |b|^\alpha = 1.$$

We shall denote the set of all ch. f.'s satisfying (1) and (5) by $T_\alpha(a, b)$.

LEMMA 2. Every ch. f. $\varphi(t)$ in $T_\alpha(a, b)$ is infinitely divisible.

PROOF¹⁾. It is enough to show that there exists a system $\{\varphi_{n,j}(t)\}$ of ch. f.'s such that

$$(i) \quad \varphi(t) = \prod_1^n \varphi_{n,j}(t) \quad \text{for all } n$$

and

¹⁾ See [3], p. 57.

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\sup_{1 \leq j \leq j_n} |\varphi_{n,j}(t) - 1|] = 0 \quad \text{for all } t.$$

For $j=1, 2, \dots, 2^n$, let $\varphi_{n,j}(t) = \varphi(a^k \cdot b^{n-k}t)$, where k is a positive integer such that

$$\binom{n}{0} + \dots + \binom{n}{k} \leq j < \binom{n}{0} + \dots + \binom{n}{k+1}.$$

Then from (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= \prod_0^n \varphi \binom{n}{k} (a^k b^{n-k} t) \\ &= \prod_0^{j^n} \varphi_{n,j}(t). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\sup_{1 \leq j \leq j^n} |\varphi_{n,j}(t) - 1|] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} |\varphi(a^k b^{n-k} t) - 1|] = 0$$

q. e. d.

LEMMA 3. $|\varphi(t)| < 1$ if $t \neq 0$.

PROOF. If we have $|\varphi(t_0)| = 1$ for some $t_0 \neq 0$, then $|\varphi(t)|$ is a periodic function with a period ρ , say. Using (4) with $t = m\rho$, where m is an arbitrary integer, we obtain

$$|\varphi(ma^n \rho)| = 1.$$

Since the set $\{ma^n \rho; m, n \text{ integer}, n \geq 0\}$ is dense in $(-\infty, \infty)$ and since $|\varphi(t)|$ is continuous, we have $|\varphi(t)| \equiv 1$. q. e. d.

In what follows we assume unless otherwise stated

$$1 > a \geq b > 0$$

and

$$(6) \quad a^\alpha + b^\alpha = 1.$$

LEMMA 4. Let $g(x)$ be a real valued function defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(7) \quad g(x) = a^\alpha g(ax) + b^\alpha g(bx) \geq 0.$$

Then

$$(8) \quad \sup_{x \geq u} g(x) = \sup_{u/b \geq x \geq u} g(x),$$

$$(9) \quad \inf_{x \geq u} g(x) = \inf_{u/b \geq x \geq u} g(x).$$

PROOF. From (7) we obtain for all n

$$(10) \quad g(x) = \sum_0^n \binom{n}{k} (a^x)^k (b^x)^{n-k} g(a^k b^{n-k} x).$$

For $x \geq u$, let

$$K_{n,x} = \{k; g(a^k b^{n-k} x) \geq g(x)\},$$

which is non-void. Since

$$g(a^k b^{n-k} x) = a^k g(a^{k+1} b^{n-k} x) + b^k g(a^k b^{n+1-k} x),$$

$K_{n,x} \ni k$ implies either $K_{n+1,x} \ni k$ or $K_{n+1,x} \ni k+1$, and $a^k b^{n-k} x \geq u/b$ implies $a^{k+1} b^{n-k} x \geq a^k b^{n-k+1} x \geq u$. But, since $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |a^k b^{n-k} x| = |a^n x|$ tends to 0 as n tends to infinity, we can choose a positive integer n and k in $K_{n,x}$ such that

$$u \leq y \equiv a^k b^{n-k} x \leq u/b.$$

By the definition of $K_{n,x}$, we see that

$$g(y) \geq g(x).$$

Hence we have

$$\sup_{x \geq u} g(x) \geq \sup_{u/b \geq x \geq u} g(x).$$

The reverse inequality is trivial and we obtain (8). The relation (9) is obtained similarly.

LEMMA 5. *If a real valued function $M(x) \neq 0$ defined on $(0, \infty)$ is monotone non-decreasing and satisfies*

$$(11) \quad M(x) = M\left(\frac{1}{a}x\right) + M\left(\frac{1}{b}x\right),$$

then $M(x) < 0$ for all x and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) = 0$. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\int_0^u x^\gamma dM(x) < \infty$ for all $u > 0$ is that $\gamma > \alpha$. Moreover, if $0 < \beta < \alpha$, then,

$$(12) \quad \int_1^\infty x^\beta dM(x) < \infty.$$

PROOF. From (11) we have as usual that for all n

$$(13) \quad M(x) = \sum_0^n \binom{n}{k} M\left(\frac{x}{a^k b^{n-k}}\right).$$

Then $M(x) \leq 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) = 0$ readily follow from the monotonicity and the relation (13). If $M(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 > 0$, then $M(x) = 0$ for all $x \geq x_0$.

For a given $y > 0$, choose n so large that $y/a^k b^{n-k} \geq x_0, k=1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$M(y) = \sum_0^n \binom{n}{k} M\left(\frac{y}{a^k b^{n-k}}\right) = 0.$$

Thus $M(x) \equiv 0$ contrary to the hypothesis of the lemma.

To prove the second assertion, let

$$g(x) = -\frac{1}{x^\alpha} M\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

The function $g(x)$ satisfies the relation (7) of lemma 4.

Since both x^α and $M(1/x)$ are monotone, we have

$$0 < C \equiv -M\left(\frac{u}{b^2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{b}{u}\right)^\alpha \leq g(x) \leq D \equiv -M\left(\frac{u}{b}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{u}{b^2}\right)^\alpha < \infty$$

$$\text{for } \frac{b^2}{u} \leq x \leq \frac{b}{u}, \quad u > 0.$$

Hence, using lemma 4 we obtain for all $x \geq b/u$

$$C \leq g(x) \leq D$$

or equivalently for all $x \leq b/u$

$$(14) \quad 0 < C \leq -M(x) \cdot x^\alpha \leq D < \infty.$$

Now we have, on the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad \int_{a^n u}^u x^r dM(x) &\leq \sum_0^{n-1} [M(a^k u) - M(a^{k+1} u)] (a^k u)^r \\ &= \sum_0^{n-1} \left[-M\left(\frac{a^{k+1}}{b} u\right) \cdot (a^k u)^r \right] \\ &= \sum_0^{n-1} \left[-M\left(\frac{a^{k+1}}{b} u\right) \cdot \left(\frac{a^{k+1}}{b} u\right)^\alpha \right] \cdot \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^\alpha \cdot (a^k u)^{r-\alpha} \\ &\leq D \cdot \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^\alpha u^{r-\alpha} (1 + a^{r-\alpha} + \dots + (a^{r-\alpha})^{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (16) \quad \int_{b^n u}^u x^r dM(x) &\geq \sum_0^{n-1} [M(b^k u) - M(b^{k+1} u)] (b^{k+1} u)^r \\ &\geq C \cdot \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^\alpha (bu)^{r-\alpha} (1 + b^{r-\alpha} + \dots + (b^{r-\alpha})^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The second assertion is an immediate consequence of the inequalities (15) and (16).

Finally we prove (12). To this end we define c_n , $n=0, 1, \dots$, as

$$c_0=1.$$

$$c_n = \begin{cases} c_{n-1}/a & \text{if } M\left(\frac{c_{n-1}}{a}\right) \geq a^\alpha M(c_{n-1}), \\ c_{n-1}/b & \text{if } M\left(\frac{c_{n-1}}{a}\right) < a^\alpha M(c_{n-1}). \end{cases}$$

The sequence $\{c_n\}$ is strictly monotone increasing and tends to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For a given positive integer k , let l be the number of indices i 's such that $1 \leq i \leq k$, $c_i = c_{i-1}/a$. Then since, as easily seen, $M(c_{i-1}/a) < a^\alpha M(c_{i-1})$ is equivalent to $M(c_{i-1}/b) > b^\alpha M(c_{i-1})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [M(c_k) - M(c_{k-1})]c_k^l &\leq -M(c_{k-1}) \cdot c_k^l \leq -b^{-\beta} M(c_{k-1}) \cdot c_{k-1}^l \\ &\leq -(a^{\alpha-\beta})^l (b^{\alpha-\beta})^{k-l-1} \cdot M(1) \cdot b^{-\alpha} \leq -(a^{\alpha-\beta})^{k-1} \cdot M(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{c_n} x^\beta dM(x) &\leq \sum_1^n [M(c_k) - M(c_{k-1})]c_k^l \\ &\leq -M(1) \frac{1}{b^\alpha(1-a^{\alpha-\beta})} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

as was to be proved.

q. e. d.

3. Representation of $\varphi(t)$

Let M be the set of all monotone non-decreasing functions $M(x)$ defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} M(x) = 0$ and $\int_0^u x^2 dM(x) < \infty$ for all $u > 0$. Then the complex valued function $\varphi(t)$ defined on the real axis is infinitely divisible ch. f. if and only if it admits the P. Lévy representation,

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad \log \varphi(t) &= it - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} t^2 + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{itx} - 1 - \frac{itx}{1+x^2} \right) dM(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(e^{itx} - 1 - \frac{itx}{1+x^2} \right) dN(x) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(x), -N(-x) \in \mathcal{M}.$$

The expression (17) is unique.

Let $M_\alpha(a, b)$ the set of all monotone non-decreasing functions $M(x)$ defined on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(18) \quad M(x) = M\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) + M\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)$$

holds. It follows from lemma 5 that if $\alpha < 2$ every function of $M_\alpha(a, b)$ also belongs to M , while if $\alpha \geq 2$ no function except $M(x) \equiv 0$ does.

We shall prove the following theorem :

THEOREM 1. *In order that $T_\alpha(a, b)$ contains a non-degenerate ch. f., it is necessary and sufficient that $\alpha \leq 2$. If $\alpha \leq 2$, ch. f. $\varphi(t) \in T_\alpha(a, b)$ admits the following representation, with $M(x), -N(-x) \in M_\alpha(a, b)$.*

(i) case $\alpha = 2$

$$(19) \quad \log \varphi(t) = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2} t^2 \quad (\text{the normal distribution})$$

(ii) case $1 < \alpha < 2$

$$(20) \quad \log \varphi(t) = \int_0^\infty (e^{itx} - 1 - itx) dM(x) + \int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{itx} - 1 - itx) dN(x)$$

(iii) case $\alpha = 1$

$$(21) \quad \log \varphi(t) = it + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{itx} - 1 - \frac{itx}{1+x^2} \right) dM(x) + \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(e^{itx} - 1 - \frac{itx}{1+x^2} \right) dN(x)$$

with

$$(22) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[a \int_0^{t/a} x dH(x) + b \int_t^{t/b} x dH(x) \right] = 0$$

$$H(x) \equiv M(x) + N(-x)$$

(iv) case $0 < \alpha < 1$

$$(23) \quad \log \varphi(t) = \int_0^\infty (e^{itx} - 1) dM(x) + \int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{itx} - 1) dN(x).$$

Conversely, the complex valued function $\varphi(t)$ determined by either (20) or (21) or (23) is a ch. f. in $T_\alpha(a, b)$.

PROOF. By lemma 2, ch. f. $\varphi(t)$ in $T_\alpha(a, b)$ can be written in the canonical form (17). But we have for any $c > 0$

$$(24) \quad \int_0^\infty \left(e^{ictx} - 1 - \frac{ictx}{1+x^2} \right) dM(x) = i\gamma^+(c)t + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{itx} - 1 - \frac{itx}{1+x^2} \right) dM\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \left(e^{ictx} - 1 - \frac{ictx}{1+x^2} \right) dN(x) = i\gamma^-(c)t + \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(e^{itx} - 1 - \frac{itx}{1+x^2} \right) dN\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^+(c) &= \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{1+x^2} - \frac{x}{1+(x/c)^2} \right) dM\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) \\ \gamma^-(c) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{x}{1+x^2} - \frac{x}{1+(x/c)^2} \right) dN\left(\frac{x}{c}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the expression (17) is unique, we obtain from (2) and (17), using the relations (24),

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(a^2+b^2) &= \sigma^2 \\ (25) \quad M(x) &= M(x/a) + M(x/b) & x > 0 \\ N(x) &= N(x/a) + N(x/b) & x < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since both $M(x)$ and $-N(-x)$ are monotone non-decreasing, we see that they belong to $M_\alpha(a, b)$. The first assertion follows from (25) and from the fact that $\alpha \geq 2$ implies $M(x) \equiv 0$, $N(x) \equiv 0$.

(i) From (2) and (17) with $M(x) \equiv 0$, $N(x) \equiv 0$, we further deduce that $\gamma = 0$.

(ii) By lemma 5, we have

$$(26) \quad 0 \leq \gamma_1 \equiv \int_0^{\infty} \left(x - \frac{x}{1+x^2} \right) dM(x) \leq \int_0^1 x^2 dM(x) + \int_1^{\infty} x dM(x) < \infty,$$

and

$$(27) \quad 0 \geq \gamma_2 \equiv \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(x - \frac{x}{1+x^2} \right) dN(x) = - \int_0^{\infty} \left(x - \frac{x}{1+x^2} \right) d(-N(-x)) > -\infty.$$

Hence (17) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} (28) \quad \log \varphi(t) &= i(\gamma + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2)t + \int_0^{\infty} (e^{itx} - 1 - itx) dM(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{itx} - 1 - itx) dN(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition (2), we easily obtain $\gamma + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 0$ as was to be proved. Suppose conversely $\varphi(t)$ is expressed like (20) with $M(x)$, $-N(-x) \in M_\alpha(a, b) (\equiv M)$. Then, owing to (26) and (27), it can be rewritten as (17). $\varphi(t)$ clearly satisfies the relation (2).

(iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left(e^{itx} - 1 - \frac{itx}{1+x^2} \right) dM(x) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(e^{iatx} - 1 - \frac{iatx}{1+x^2} \right) dM(x) + \int_0^\infty \left(e^{ibt x} - 1 - \frac{ibt x}{1+x^2} \right) dM(x) \\ &+ i \int_0^\infty (\sin tx - \sin atx - \sin btx) dM(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similar equation holds also for the negative part. Hence, in order that (2) holds it is necessary and sufficient that $\sigma^2=0$ and that

$$(29) \quad \int_0^\infty (\sin tx - \sin atx - \sin btx) dH(x) = 0$$

where $H(x) = M(x) + N(-x)$.

An easy calculation shows that (29) is equivalent to (22).

(iv) By lemma 5, we have

$$(30) \quad 0 \leq \gamma_3 \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{1+x^2} dM(x) \leq \int_0^1 x dM(x) + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{2} dM(x) < \infty,$$

$$(31) \quad 0 \geq \gamma_4 \equiv \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{x}{1+x^2} dN(x) = - \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{1+x^2} d(-N(-x)) > -\infty.$$

Hence (17) becomes

$$\log \varphi(t) = i(\gamma + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4)t + \int_0^\infty (e^{itx} - 1) dM(x) + \int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{itx} - 1) dN(x).$$

We obtain using (2) that $\gamma + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 = 0$. The converse statement follows from the same argument as in the case (ii). q. e. d.

COROLLARY¹⁾. *Let a and b be non-zero constants such that $a^2 + b^2 = 1$. Let X and Y be independent and identically distributed random variables. If $aX + bY$ is distributed as X , then the distribution is normal with mean zero.*

PROOF. Let $\phi(t)$ be the ch. f. of the distribution of X . Then $\varphi(t) \equiv \phi(t) \cdot \phi(-t)$ as well as $\phi(t)$ belongs to $T_2(a, b)$. But since $\varphi(t)$ is symmetric, $\varphi(t)$ also belongs to $T_2(|a|, |b|)$. By the theorem we have $\log \varphi(t) = e^{-(c^2/2)t^2}$ and the desired result follows from the well-known H. Cramér theorem and the fact that $a + b \neq 1$. q. e. d.

¹⁾ See theorems I and II of [2], theorem I of [4].

4. Determination of $M(x)$

A well-known example of the function $M(x)$ in $M_a(a, b)$ is

$$M(x) = -\lambda/x^\alpha, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

which corresponds to a stable ch. f., i.e., if further $-N(-x) = -\mu/x^\alpha$, ch. f. $\varphi(t)$ determined by either (20) or (21) with $\lambda = \mu$ or (23) is the non-normal stable ch. f. But, in fact, $M_a(a, b)$ can not be exhausted by such functions. In this section we shall derive all possible functions.

For $M(x) \neq 0$ in $M_a(a, b)$, let

$$(32) \quad f(t) = M(e^{-t})$$

and let $A = \log a$, $B = \log b$. Then $f(t)$ is a monotone non-increasing function defined on the real axis and satisfies the relation

$$(33) \quad f(t) = f(t+A) + f(t+B) < 0.$$

Thus the problem is reduced to solve the functional equation (33). Though the function $f(t)$ need not be continuous, the argument of Yu. V. Linnik [2] well applies.

Let Z be the set of all zeros of the entire function

$$(34) \quad \sigma(z) = 1 - a^z - b^z$$

of a complex variable $z = x + iy$. The only real zero of $\sigma(z)$ is clearly $z = \alpha$.

LEMMA 6. *All the zeros of $\sigma(z)$ are simple and are located in some strip $x_0 \leq x \leq \alpha$, and the number of zeros in every circle of radius 1 does not exceed a finite number k .*

PROOF. It suffices to consider the case $a > b$. If $z = x + iy \in Z$, we have

$$a^x(\cos yA + i \sin yA) + b^x(\cos yB + i \sin yB) = 1$$

from which we get

$$(35) \quad (a^x - b^x)^2 \leq 1 \leq (a^x + b^x)^2.$$

The second assertion follows from (35) and the fact that α is the unique real zero of $\sigma(z)$.

Set $\eta = A/B$. Since $0 > A = \log a > \log b = B$, we have $0 < \eta < 1$.

From the relations

$$(36) \quad \sigma(z) = 1 - a^z - b^z = 0$$

$$(37) \quad \sigma'(z) = -a^z \cdot A - b^z \cdot B = 0,$$

we deduce easily that

$$a^x = 1/(1-\eta), \quad b^x = \eta/(1-\eta)$$

whence

$$0 = x \cdot A - x \cdot A = \eta \cdot x B - x A = \eta \log \eta + (1-\eta) \log (1-\eta) < 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus (36) and (37) can not be satisfied simultaneously, which proves the first assertion.

The third assertion follows from the Jensen theorem [5], which is applied to circles with centers at $\alpha + 1 + iy$ and radii equal to $2(\alpha - x_0 + 1)$. q. e. d.

The following lemma is the same as lemma 4 of [2].

LEMMA 7. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive constants $C(\epsilon)$ such that $|z_0 - \rho| > \epsilon$ for all $\rho \in \mathbf{Z}$ implies $|\sigma(z_0)| > C(\epsilon)$.*

From the inequality (14) and the definition (32) of $f(t)$, we find that

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \geq f(t) &> -C \cdot e^{\sigma t} && \text{if } t \geq 0 \\ 0 \geq f(t) &> -C && \text{if } t < 0 \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$(39) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} f(t) = 0.$$

Hence if $x = \text{Re } z > \alpha$, the integral

$$(40) \quad \chi(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} f(t) dt$$

converges and is regular. Since for any real constant c ,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-zt} f(t+c) dt = e^{cz} \chi(z) - e^{cz} \int_0^c e^{-zt} f(t) dt,$$

we obtain, using the relation (33),

$$\sigma(z) \chi(z) = -a^z \cdot \int_0^A e^{-zt} f(t) dt - b^z \int_0^B e^{-zt} f(t) dt \equiv E(z),$$

whence

$$(41) \quad \chi(z) = \frac{E(z)}{\sigma(z)} \quad \text{if } \text{Re } z > \alpha.$$

LEMMA 8. $|E(z)/\sigma(z)|$ is bounded on the horizontal contours $z = x \pm$

$iy_n, x \leq x_1, n=1, 2, \dots$, where $x_1 > \alpha$.

PROOF. First of all we note that $0 > A = \log a > B = \log b$. When $x = \operatorname{Re} z \leq -x_1 < 0$, we have

$$|E(z)| \leq C \left(e^{Ax} \int_A^0 e^{-xt} dt + e^{Bx} \int_B^0 e^{-xt} dt \right) < \frac{2C}{x_1} e^{Bx}$$

(cf. (38)).

On the other hand, if $x_2 (> 0)$ is sufficiently large we can choose $C_1 > 0$ so that

$$|\sigma(z)|^2 = 1 + e^{2Ax} + e^{2Bx} + 2e^{Ax} \cdot e^{Bx} \cos y(A-B) - 2(e^{Ax} \cos yA + e^{Bx} \cos yB) \geq C_1^2 \cdot e^{2Bx}$$

holds when $x \leq -x_2$. Therefore we obtain

$$\left| \frac{E(z)}{\sigma(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2C}{C_1 x_1}$$

whenever $\operatorname{Re} z \leq \min(-x_1, -x_2)$. The desired result follows from lemma 7. q. e. d.

Between every two lines $y=n$ and $y=n+1 (n > 0)$, draw vertical straight lines $y=y_n$ at a distance greater than $\epsilon > 0$ from all zeros of $\sigma(z)$. (cf. lemma 6).

We have, for $t > 0$,

$$(42) \quad \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{x_1 - iy_n}^{x_1 + iy_n} e^{tz} \frac{E(z)}{z\sigma(z)} dz.$$

Using lemmas 7 and 8, we see that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{x_1 - iy_n}^{x_1 + iy_n} e^{tz} \frac{E(z)}{z\sigma(z)} dz = \sum_0^{n-1} S_m(t) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

where $S_m(t)$ denotes the sum of residues of the integrand between two pairs of straight lines: $y=y_m, y=y_{m+1}; y=-y_m, y=-y_{m+1}$, and where $S_0(t)$ denotes the sum of residues between $y=y_1$ and $y=-y_1$.

Therefore (42) becomes

$$(43) \quad \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau = \sum_0^{\infty} S_n(t).$$

We shall say that a zero $\rho \in Z$ is active if the residue of the integrand $e^{tz} \frac{E(z)}{z\sigma(z)}$ at ρ does not vanish.

LEMMA 9. *If ρ is an active zero, then $Re \rho = \alpha$.*

PROOF. The lemma is an immediate consequence of the lemma 6 of [2]. In fact, if σ_1 is the greatest lower bound of the real part of active zeros, then from that lemma, σ_1 itself is an active zero, whence $\sigma_1 = \alpha$. q. e. d.

Let

$$(44) \quad \xi_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \log a / \log b \text{ is irrational} \\ -2\pi k / \log a & \text{if } \log a / \log b = k/l \end{cases}$$

where k and l are relatively prime positive integers. Then, it is easily seen that all the active zeros of $\sigma(z)$ are exhausted by

$$\rho_n = \alpha + in\xi_0, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Since $\sigma(0) = -1 \neq 0$, the poles of $e^{tz} \frac{E(z)}{z\sigma(z)}$ are all simple and we obtain

$$(45) \quad \text{Res}_{\rho_n} \left(e^{tz} \frac{E(z)}{z\sigma(z)} \right) = \eta_n e^{t\rho_n} = \eta_n e^{\alpha t + in\xi_0 t}$$

where
$$\eta_n = \frac{E(\rho_n)}{\rho_n} \cdot \lim_{z \rightarrow \rho_n} \frac{z - \rho_n}{\sigma(z)},$$

and

$$(46) \quad \text{Res}_0 \left(e^{tz} \frac{E(z)}{z\sigma(z)} \right) = \frac{E(0)}{\sigma(0)} \equiv \gamma.$$

Using (43), (45) and (46), and writing

$$(47) \quad g(t) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta_n e^{in\xi_0 t} \quad -\infty < t < \infty,$$

we find that for all $t > 0$

$$(48) \quad \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau = \gamma + e^{\alpha t} \cdot g(t).$$

Suppose first that $\xi_0 \neq 0$. Differentiating (48) we obtain

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} f(t) &= e^{\alpha t} \cdot (g(t) + g'(t)) \quad t > 0, \\ &= e^{\alpha t} \cdot h(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $h(t) \equiv g(t) + g'(t)$ ($-\infty < t < \infty$) is a periodic function with the period $C \equiv 2\pi/\xi_0$ as well as $g(t)$ and $g'(t)$.

Rewrite (33) as

$$(50) \quad f(t) = f(t-A) + f(t+B-A).$$

Since $0 > A > B$, the value of $f(t)$ at $t \leq 0$ can be computed from the values at $t > 0$ through (50). But the function $e^t \cdot h(t)$ satisfies the relation (33) for all t , whence the equation (49) holds for all t . Thus we obtain that

$$(51) \quad h(t) = e^{-at} \cdot f(t) = e^{-at} \cdot M(e^{-t})$$

is a periodic function with the period $C = 2\pi/\xi_0$.

If, conversely, real valued function $M(x)$ defined on $(0, \infty)$ is such that $h(t) = e^{-at} \cdot M(e^{-t})$ is a periodic function with the period C , then $M(x)$ satisfies

$$M(x) = M(x/a) + M(x/b).$$

Thus we have,

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that $\log a/\log b = k/l$ is a rational number with k and l being relatively prime positive integers. A necessary and sufficient condition that a real valued function $M(x)$ defined on $(0, \infty)$ belongs to $M_a(a, b)$ is that $M(x)$ be monotone non-decreasing and that $h(t) \equiv e^{-at} M(e^{-t})$ be a periodic function with the period*

$$C = 2\pi/\xi_0 = -\log a/k = -\log b/l = -A/k = -B/l.$$

Suppose next that $\xi_0 = 0$. Then writing $\lambda = -g(0)$, we find from (47) and (48),

$$(52) \quad f(t) = -\lambda e^{at} \quad t > 0.$$

As before (52) holds in fact for all t , and hence

$$(53) \quad M(x) = -\lambda/x^a \quad x > 0.$$

Thus we have,

THEOREM 3. *If $\log a/\log b$ is irrational, every ch. f. of $T_a(a, b)$ is stable.*

5. Examples

When $\log a/\log b$ is rational, we can construct functions $M(x)$ of $M_a(a, b)$ other than $M(x) = -\lambda/x^a$. Let $\xi_0 (\neq 0)$ be defined as (44), and let $\lambda_k, \mu_k, k=1, 2, \dots, n$ be arbitrary real numbers.

Take λ sufficiently large so that

$$(54) \quad \lambda > \sum_{k=1}^n (|\lambda_k| + |\mu_k|)(1 + k\xi_0/\alpha).$$

Then the function

$$(55) \quad f(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \left(-\lambda + \sum_1^n (\lambda_k \cos k\xi_0 t + \mu_k \sin k\xi_0 t) \right)$$

is monotone decreasing. Moreover, $f(t)e^{-\lambda t}$ is a periodic function with the period $C = 2\pi/\xi_0$.

Thus,

$$(56) \quad M(x) \equiv f(-\log x) \\ = \frac{-\lambda + \sum_1^n (\lambda_k \cos (k\xi_0 \log x) - \mu_k \sin (k\xi_0 \log x))}{x^\alpha}$$

belongs to $M_\alpha(a, b)$.

A simple example of $\xi_0 = 0$ is given by $\alpha = 1$, $a = 2/3$ and $b = 1/3$. Thus, if X and Y are independent and identically distributed random variables, and if $(2X + Y)/3$ is distributed as X , then the distribution is a Cauchy distribution.

6. Properties

THEOREM 4. *The distribution function $F(x)$ corresponding to non-degenerate ch. f. $\varphi(t)$ of $T_\alpha(a, b)$ is absolutely continuous and its probability density function $p(x)$ can be differentiated infinitely many times. $\varphi(t)$ is an analytic function if $\alpha \geq 1$, and is an entire function if $2 \geq \alpha > 1$.*

PROOF. Let

$$(57) \quad g(t) = \begin{cases} -\log |\varphi(t)| / |t|^\alpha & t \neq 0 \\ 0 & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(58) \quad |\varphi(t)| = e^{-g(t)|t|^\alpha}.$$

Then $g(t)$ and $g(-t)$ satisfy the conditions of lemma 4. Moreover, there exists a positive number N such that $g(t) \geq N > 0$, $g(-t) \geq N > 0$ for $1 \leq t \leq 1/b$, since otherwise $g(t_0) = 0$ or $|\varphi(t_0)| = 1$ for some $1 \leq t_0 \leq 1/b$, contrary to lemma 3.

Hence from lemma 4,

$$(59) \quad \inf_{|x| \geq 1} g(t) = \inf_{1/b \leq |x| \leq 1} g(t) \geq N > 0.$$

By conversion formula,

$$F(x) - F(x_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-itx_0} - e^{-itx}}{it} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Differentiating both sides formally $n+1$ times, we get

$$(60) \quad p^{(n)}(x) \equiv F^{(n+1)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^n e^{-itx} \varphi(t) dt.$$

Using (58) and (59), we obtain from (60)

$$\begin{aligned} |p^{(n)}(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^n \cdot e^{-\varrho(t)|t|^\alpha} dt \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\int_0^1 t^n dt + \int_1^\infty t^n e^{-N_0 t^\alpha} dt \right] \\ &< \frac{1}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{\alpha}\right) N_0^{-(n+1)/\alpha} \right] \leq \frac{1}{\pi\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{\alpha}\right) N_0^{-(n+1)/\alpha} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

where $N_0 > 0$ is taken sufficiently small. Using Stirling's formula we see easily that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[\frac{1}{\pi\alpha} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{\alpha}\right) N_0^{-(n+1)/\alpha} \right]^{1/n} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha > 1 \\ N_0^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \quad \text{q. e. d.}$$

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that $0 < \alpha < 2$. Every distribution corresponding to $\varphi(t) \in T_\alpha(a, b)$ has finite absolute moments of order β ($0 < \beta < \alpha$). On the other hand, all absolute moments of order $\geq \alpha$ are infinite, provided $|\varphi(t)| \not\equiv 1$.*

PROOF. It suffices to consider the symmetric case. Then, by theorem 1,

$$(61) \quad -\log \varphi(t) = \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos tx) dG(x),$$

where $G(x) \equiv M(x) - N(-x) \in M_\alpha(a, b)$.

Noting that

$$A_r \equiv \sup_{x>0} \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^r} < \infty \quad (r \leq 2)$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^r} = 0 \quad (r < 2),$$

we obtain for $t > 0$, $r < \alpha < 2$,

$$-\log \varphi(t)/t^r = \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos tx}{t^r} dG(x)$$

$$\leq t^{2-r} \cdot A_2 \int_0^1 x^2 dG(x) + A_1 \cdot \int_1^\infty x^r dG(x) < \infty .$$

Hence if $0 < \beta < r < \alpha$, we find that

$$-\log \varphi(t) / t^\beta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0$$

and hence that

$$(62) \quad (1 - \varphi(t)) / t^\beta \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0 .$$

Letting $0 < u < v < \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \varphi(t)) / t^\beta &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos tx}{t^\beta} p(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^{1+\beta}} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1+\beta} p\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^v \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^{1+\beta}} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1+\beta} p\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) dx \\ &= \left(\frac{x_0}{t}\right)^{1+\beta} p\left(\frac{x_0}{t}\right) \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^v \frac{1 - \cos x}{x^{1+\beta}} dx , \end{aligned}$$

where $u \leq x_0 \leq v$.

Using (62) we deduce

$$x^{1+\beta} p(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty .$$

Thus,

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty |x|^\beta p(x) dx = 2 \cdot \int_0^\infty x^\beta p(x) dx < \infty .$$

On the other hand, if

$$\int_0^\infty x^\alpha p(x) dx < \infty ,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - \varphi(t)}{t^\alpha} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos tx}{(tx)^\alpha} x^\alpha p(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^u \frac{1 - \cos tx}{(tx)^\alpha} x^\alpha p(x) + A_\alpha \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty x^\alpha \cdot p(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1 - \cos tx_0}{(tx_0)^\alpha} \cdot \int_0^u x^\alpha p(x) dx + A_\alpha \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty x^\alpha p(x) dx . \end{aligned}$$

Making u so large that

$$A_\alpha \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty x^\alpha \cdot p(x) dx < \varepsilon/2,$$

and then making t so small that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1 - \cos tx_0}{(tx_0)^\alpha} \int_0^u x^\alpha p(x) dx < \varepsilon/2,$$

we get

$$0 < \frac{1 - \varphi(t)}{t^\alpha} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$g(t) \equiv -\frac{\log \varphi(t)}{t^\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $g(t)$ satisfies the relation (7), we obtain $g(t) \equiv 0$ or $\varphi(t) \equiv 1$. q. e. d.

THE INSTITUTE OF STATISTICAL MATHAMATICS

REFERENCES

- [1] B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov, *Limit Distributions for Sum of Independent Random Variable*, Moscow 1949; English translation, Addison-Wesley, Cambridge, Mass., 1954.
- [2] Yu. V. Linnik, "Linear forms and statistical criteria," *Ukrain. Mat. Zurnal*, 5 (1953), English translation which appeared in *Selected Translations in Math. Stat. and Prob.*, 3 (1962). 1-90.
- [3] E. Lukacs, *Characteristic Functions*, Griffin's Stat. Mons., London, 1960.
- [4] R. Shimizu, "Characterization of the normal distribution II," *Ann. Inst. Stat. Math.*, 14 (1962), 173-178.
- [5] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Function*, Oxford, 1932.
- [6] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1946.