

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

By RYOICHI SHIMIZU

(June 25, 1961)

1. It has been shown by several authors [1], [2], [3] that the independence of sample mean and some quadratic statistics characterizes the normal distribution.

In this note we shall give a more general result.

2. Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be independent and identically distributed random variables with density  $p(x)$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Set

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

By a kernel of a symmetric statistic  $U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , we mean any statistic  $u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$  ( $n \geq m$ ) such that,

$$U(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \frac{(n-m)!}{n!} \sum u(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_m})$$

where the sum is taken over all permutation  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)$  of  $m$  integers such that  $1 \leq i_k \leq n$ ,  $i_k \neq i_l$  if  $k \neq l$  ( $k, l = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ). The symmetric statistic  $U$  is referred to as a  $U$ -statistic. Note that if  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are independent and identically distributed, we have

$$(1) \quad E(f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot u(x_1, \dots, x_m)) = E(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \cdot U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for any symmetric statistic  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ .

Now we have,

**THEOREM:** *Let  $h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$  be any distribution-free unbiased estimate (d. f. u. e.) of variance  $\sigma^2$ .*

- (i) *If  $\bar{x}$  any  $h$  are independent,  $p(x)$  is the normal density.*
- (ii) *If, conversely,  $p(x)$  is the normal density,  $\bar{x}$  and  $h$  are uncorrelated.*
- (iii) *If, in addition,  $h$  is invariant under the group of all translations  $x_i \rightarrow x_i + c$ , which will be denoted by  $G$ , then it is independent of  $\bar{x}$  under the assumption of normality.*

The proof of this theorem is based on the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1: A statistic  $h(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  is a d.f.u.e. of variance if and only if it is a kernel of  $s^2$ .

PROOF: If part is obvious. If, conversely,  $h$  is a d.f.u.e. of variance then the  $U$ -statistic corresponding to  $h$  is a symmetric d.f.u.e. of variance.

But by [4], the order statistic is complete sufficient for the class of distributions over  $R^n$  corresponding to each coordinate having the same distribution function which is any absolutely continuous distribution. Hence by [5] (Theorem 5.1),  $s^2$  is the only symmetric d.f.u.e. of variance and we have  $U=s^2$  as was to be proved.

LEMMA 2: Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be independent and normally distributed, and  $g(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  be a statistic.

- (i) If  $g$  is invariant under  $G$ , then it is independent of  $\bar{x}$ .
- (ii) If  $g$  is a kernel of a  $U$ -statistic which is invariant under  $G$ ,  $\bar{x}$  and  $g$  are uncorrelated.

PROOF: (i)  $g$  is independent of the location parameter of which  $\bar{x}$  is the complete sufficient statistic. (see e.g. [6]).

(ii) In view of (i),  $\bar{x}$  and  $U$  are independent, hence they are uncorrelated. The desired result follows from (1) with  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \bar{x}$ .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM: (i) We have, from lemma 1 and relation (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & \int \dots \int s^2 \cdot \exp(it_1 \bar{x}) \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\
 &= \int \dots \int U \cdot \exp(it_1 \bar{x}) \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\
 &= \frac{(n-m)!}{n!} \sum \int \dots \int h(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}) \cdot \exp(it_1 \bar{x}) \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\
 &= \int \dots \int h(x_1, \dots, x_m) \cdot \exp(it_1 \bar{x}) \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n
 \end{aligned}$$

The joint characteristic function of  $\bar{x}$  and  $h$  is

$$\varphi(t_1, t_2) = \int \dots \int \exp(it_1 \bar{x}) \cdot \exp(it_2 h) \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

Therefore, if  $\bar{x}$  and  $h$  are independent, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int \dots \int h \cdot \exp(it_1 \bar{x}) \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\
 &= \int \dots \int h \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \cdot \int \dots \int \exp(it_1 \bar{x}) \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n
 \end{aligned}$$

But by (2) this is equivalent to

$$\int \dots \int s^2 \cdot \exp(it_1 \bar{x}) \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

$$= \int \dots \int s^2 \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \cdot \int \dots \int \exp(it_1 \bar{x}) \cdot p(x_1) \dots p(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n,$$

which leads, as was shown in [1], to the differential equation

$$-\psi(t) \frac{d^2 \psi(t)}{dt^2} + \left( \frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} \right)^2 = \sigma^2 (\psi(t))^2$$

with

$$\psi(t) = \int e^{itx} p(x) dx, \quad t = t_1/n$$

the solution of which is the characteristic function of the normal distribution.

(ii) and (iii) are immediate consequences of lemma 2.

Examples.

$$(i) \quad h = \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$$

is a d.f.u.e. of variance

(ii) (J. N. K. Rao.<sup>[3]</sup>)

$$h = d^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n l_{ij}^2 \right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m (l_{i1} x_1 + \dots + l_{in} x_n)^2$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^m l_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots, n,$$

is a d.f.u.e. of variance which is invariant under  $G$ .

(iii)  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$= s^2 + \sum_i a_i^1 \varphi_1(x_i) + \sum a_{ij}^2 \varphi_2(x_i, x_j) + \dots + \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^{n-1} \varphi_{n-1}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}})$$

where  $\sum a_i^1 = \sum a_{ij}^2 = \dots = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1}}^{n-1} = 0$ , and  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}$  are symmetric integrable functions,

is a d.f.u.e. of variance which is not necessarily invariant under  $G$ .

If  $x_i$ 's are replaced by  $x_i - \bar{x}$ , then  $h$  is invariant under  $G$ .

3. The same reasoning applies to the multivariate case, as was shown in [1] and [3].

Let  $p(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  be the density of  $r$ -variate  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r$ ,  $x_{ki}$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, r$ ) the  $k$ th observation on the  $i$ th variate,

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki} \quad i=1, 2, \dots, r$$

and

$$s_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n (x_{ki} - \bar{x}_i)(x_{kj} - \bar{x}_j) \quad i, j=1, 2, \dots, r.$$

Then, a statistic  $h_{ij}(x_{1i}, x_{2i}, \dots, x_{ni}; x_{1j}, x_{2j}, \dots, x_{nj})$  is a d.f.u.e. of covariance  $\sigma_{ij}$  of  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  if and only if it is a kernel of  $s_{ij}$  and assuming that the distribution of  $h_{ij}$  is independent of the joint distribution of  $r$  sample means  $(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_r)$ , we obtain the equation

$$(3) \quad \frac{\psi_{ij} - \psi_i \psi_j}{\psi} = -\sigma_{ij}$$

where

$$\psi = \psi(t_1 \dots t_r) = \int \dots \int e^{i(t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_r x_r)} \cdot p(x_1 \dots x_r) dx_1 \dots dx_r$$

$$\psi_i = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t_i}, \quad \psi_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t_i \partial t_j}$$

If (3) is true for  $i, j=1, 2, \dots, r$ , we have a set of partial differential equations which leads to the characteristic function of the multivariate normal distribution.

THE INSTITUTE OF STATISTICAL MATHEMATICS

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Lukacs, "A characterization of the normal distribution," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 13 (1942) pp. 91~93.
- [2] S. Geisser, "A note on the normal distribution," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 27 (1956), pp. 858~859.
- [3] J. N. K. Rao, "A characterization of the normal distribution," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 29 (1958) pp. 914~919.
- [4] D. A. S. Fraser, "Completeness of order statistics," *Canadian Jour. Math.*, Vol. 6 (1954) pp. 42~45.
- [5] E. L. Lehmann and Scheffé, "Completeness, similar region and unbiased estimation," *Sankhyā*, Vol. 10 (1950), pp. 305~340.
- [6] D. Basu, "On statistics independent of a complete sufficient statistics," *Sankhyā*, Vol. 15 (1955), pp. 377~380.