

## On a Relation between Exponential Law and Poisson's Law

By Seiji NABEYA

(Received April 3, 1950)

Let

$$(1) \quad X_1, X_2, \dots$$

be a sequence of non-negative random variables independently distributed according to the same distribution function  $F(x)$ . Let  $x$  be any positive number, and  $N_x$  be a random variable defined as follows:

$$(2) \quad N_x = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X_1 > x, \\ n & \text{if } X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n \leq x \\ & \text{and } X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{n+1} > x, \end{cases}$$

that is,  $N_x$  be the least non-negative integer such that  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{N_x+1} > x$ .

If  $F(x)$  is the exponential distribution function with the mean  $\mu$ , i. e.,

$$(3) \quad F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0, \\ \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^x e^{-x/\mu} dx = 1 - e^{-x/\mu} & \text{for } x > 0, \end{cases}$$

then  $N_x$  is, as is known, distributed according to the Poisson's law with the mean  $x/\mu$ .

In this paper we shall prove the converse of this fact.\*)

**Theorem.** *Let (1) be a sequence of non-negative independent random variables with the same distribution function  $F(x)$ , and let  $N_x$  be defined as (2). If  $N_x$  is distributed according to the Poisson's law for every positive  $x$ , then  $F(x)$  is of exponential type (3).*

*Proof.* First, the mean of  $N_x$ , existing by the assumption, is clearly a function defined for all  $x > 0$ , which we denote by  $f(x)$ . Then it follows from the definition of  $N_x$

$$(4) \quad P_r\{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n \leq x\} = P_r\{N_x \geq n\} \\ = 1 - e^{-f(x)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{f(x)}{1!} + \frac{f^2(x)}{2!} + \dots + \frac{f^{n-1}(x)}{(n-1)!} \right\}.$$

Denoting the distribution function of  $X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$  by  $F_n(x)$ , we have from (4)

---

\*) This problem was presented to the author by Mr. J. Ogawa.

$$(5) \quad F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0, \\ 1 - e^{-f(x)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{f(x)}{1!} + \frac{f^2(x)}{2!} + \dots + \frac{f^{n-1}(x)}{(n-1)!} \right\} & \text{for } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$(6) \quad F(x) = F_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0, \\ 1 - e^{-f(x)} & \text{for } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Now,  $F(x)$  being non-decreasing and  $F(+\infty) = 1$ , so  $f(x)$  is also non-decreasing and

$$(7) \quad f(+\infty) = +\infty.$$

On the other hand, since

$$P_r\{X_1 + X_2 = 0\} = P_r\{X_1 = 0\}P_r\{X_2 = 0\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(+0) &= F^2(+0), \\ 1 - e^{-f(+0)} \{1 + f(+0)\} &= \{1 - e^{-f(+0)}\}^2, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows

$$(8) \quad f(+0) = 0.$$

Let  $\varphi_n(t)$  be the moment-generating function corresponding to  $F_n(x)$ . Calculating the value of  $\varphi_n(-t)$  for a fixed negative argument  $-t$ , where  $t > 0$ , and taking into account of (5), (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad \varphi_n(-t) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} dF_n(x) \\ &= \left[ e^{-tx} F_n(x) \right]_0^\infty + t \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} F_n(x) dx \\ &= t \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} F_n(x) dx \\ &= 1 - t \int_0^\infty e^{-tx-f(x)}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^k(x)}{k!} dx. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, putting  $\varphi(-t) = \varphi_1(-t)$ , for  $n = 1$ ,

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(-t) = \varphi_1(-t) &= 1 - t \int_0^\infty e^{-tx-f(x)} dx, \\ 0 &< \varphi(-t) < 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(11) \quad \varphi_n(-t) = \varphi^n(-t).$$

Then, from (9) and (11) it follows

$$(12) \quad \varphi^n(-t) = 1 - t \int_0^\infty e^{-tx-f(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^k(x)}{k!} dx.$$

Now, let  $u$  be an arbitrary complex number such that  $|u| < 1$ . By multiplying both sides of (12) by  $u^{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and adding the obtained results side by side, we have

$$(13) \quad \frac{\varphi(-t)}{1-u\varphi(-t)} = \frac{1}{1-u} - \frac{t}{1-u} \int_0^\infty e^{-tx-f(x)+u\varphi(x)} dx,$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t}{1-\varphi(-t)} e^{-tx-f(x)+u\varphi(x)} dx = \frac{1}{1-u\varphi(-t)}.$$

In this last expression put  $u = 0$  and we get

$$\int_0^\infty g(x) dx = 1,$$

where

$$(14) \quad g(x) = \frac{t}{1-\varphi(-t)} e^{-tx-f(x)} > 0 \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

Hence, we can regard  $g(x)$  as the probability density of a non-negative random variable, say  $X$ . Then (13) can be rewritten in the form

$$(15) \quad \int_0^\infty g(x) e^{u\varphi(x)} dx = \frac{1}{1-u\varphi(-t)}.$$

The left hand side of this equality is the moment-generating function of  $f(X)$ , while the right hand side is that of the exponential distribution with the mean  $\varphi(-t)$ . Therefore,  $f(X)$  must be distributed according to this law, and  $f(x)$  takes all positive values when  $x$  runs over all positive values, that is, the function  $f(x)$  is free from discontinuities.

On the other hand we have  $f(x) < f(x')$  for  $x < x'$ . Otherwise, we should have from  $f(x) = f(x')$  and (14)

$$P_r \{f(X) = f(x)\} \geq P_r \{x \leq X \leq x'\} = \int_x^{x'} g(x) dx > 0,$$

which is impossible because  $f(X)$  is a random variable with a continuous distribution function.

Therefore, the mapping  $x \rightleftharpoons f(x)$  defined on all positive values is one-to-one and continuous. Moreover  $f(x)$  is an absolutely continuous function of  $x$ . In fact, if  $E$  is a Borel set of Lebesgue measure 0, i. e.,  $m(E) = 0$ , then we have from the above established one-to-one correspondence

$$0 = \int_E g(x) dx = \frac{1}{\varphi(-t)} \int_{f(E)} e^{-\frac{x}{\varphi(-t)}} dx,$$

which is impossible unless  $m(f(E)) = 0$ .

Now, since

$$P_r\{X \leq x\} = P_r\{f(X) \leq f(x)\},$$

we have for  $x > 0$ ,

$$(16) \quad \int_0^x g(x) dx = \frac{1}{\varphi(-t)} \int_0^{f(x)} e^{-\frac{x}{\varphi(-t)}} dx.$$

Differentiating both sides of (16) with respect to  $x$ , we obtain

$$(17) \quad g(x) = \frac{1}{\varphi(-t)} e^{-\frac{f(x)}{\varphi(-t)}} f'(x)$$

almost everywhere. From (14) and (17) we have

$$(18) \quad te^{-tx} = \frac{1 - \varphi(-t)}{\varphi(-t)} e^{-\frac{1 - \varphi(-t)}{\varphi(-t)} f(x)} f'(x).$$

Integrating both sides of (18) with respect to  $x$  from 0 to  $x$ , we have for  $x > 0$

$$1 - e^{-tx} = 1 - e^{-\frac{1 - \varphi(-t)}{\varphi(-t)} f(x)},$$

from which we get

$$(19) \quad f(x) = \frac{t\varphi(-t)}{1 - \varphi(-t)} x = \frac{x}{\mu}$$

where

$$\mu = \frac{1 - \varphi(-t)}{t\varphi(-t)}.$$

Substituting (19) in (6), we have the desired result.

*Institute of Statistical Mathematics*