

# On the Fundamental Operations of Collectives

By Kameo MATSUDA

(Received Jan. 20, 1950)

1. As is known, R. v. Mises constructed the theory of probability from the standpoint of collectives and later, A. Wald made the important contribution to it.\*) Wald gave the solution to the following problem:

Let  $M$  be a label space. What are the conditions, that the system  $\mathfrak{S}$  of selection rules, the system  $\mathfrak{F}$  of subsets of  $M$ , and the set function  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathfrak{F}$  satisfy, in order that a collective  $K(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{F})$  exist, whose distribution function is identical with  $\mu$ ?

From his article one can easily learn the importance of the rôle of  $\mathfrak{S}$  in the calculus of collectives. In this note I shall show what selection rules  $\mathfrak{S}$  must contain, in order that some fundamental operations are possible, and what system of selection rules are admitted by the resulted collectives.

2. First, we begin with the four fundamental operations.

*I. Place selection.* This is an application of a selection rule to a collective and is the simplest operation. Let  $K(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{F})$  be a collective with regard to the system  $\mathfrak{S}$  of selection rules and the system  $\mathfrak{F}$  of subsets of  $M$ , and let  $f$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Then, a necessary and sufficient condition that  $f(K(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{F}))$  be also a collective with regard to  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$ , is that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a semi-group (with unit) concerning the product of selection rules, or that the semi-group generated by the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}$  are admissible by  $K(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{F})$ .

*II. Mixing.* Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two disjoint sets of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then, identifying the elements of  $A$  and  $B$  is a mixing operation on  $K = K(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{F})$ . Let  $M'$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}'$  and  $K'$  be the label space, the system of subsets of  $M'$ , and the sequence of elements of  $M'$  obtained from  $M$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $K$  by this identification respectively. We denote the correspondence from  $M$  onto  $M'$  by  $m' = \varphi(m)$ , where to an element not belonging to  $A$  or  $B$  does itself correspond and to an element belonging to  $A$  or  $B$  the same element of  $M'$ , say  $\bar{m}'$ .

If we put

$$f_{\varphi} = f,$$

---

\*) A. Wald, *Widerspruchsfreiheit des Kollektivbegriffes der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung*, Ergebnisse eines mathematischen Kolloquiums Wien, 1937.

$$f_{\varphi n}(\varphi(m_1), \varphi(m_2), \dots, \varphi(m_n)) = f_n(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

for  $f = \{f_n\} \in \mathfrak{S}$  and an arbitrary sequence  $\{m_i\}$  in  $M$ , then  $f_{\varphi} = \{f_{\varphi, n}\}$  defines a place selection for  $\{\varphi(m_i)\}$ . Conversely, if we put

$$f_0 = f'_0,$$

$$f_n(m_1, \dots, m_n) = f'_n(\varphi(m_1), \dots, \varphi(m_n)) \quad (n=1, 2, \dots),$$

for an arbitrary sequence  $\{m_n\}$  in  $M$ , then  $f = \{f_n\}$  is obviously a place selection for  $\{m_n\}$ . In this case, however,  $\{m_n\}$  being an arbitrary sequence in  $M$ ,  $f = \{f_n\}$  defines a selection rule in  $M$ . The correspondence between  $f$  and  $f'$  will be denoted by  $f = \Psi_{M \rightarrow M}(f')$ . We have then

$$(\Psi_{M \rightarrow M}(f'))_{\varphi} = f'.$$

Now, setting

$$\mathfrak{S}' = \{f'; \Psi_{M \rightarrow M}(f') \in \mathfrak{S}\}.$$

$K'$  is a collective with regard to  $\mathfrak{S}'$  and  $\mathfrak{F}'$ . We have clearly

$$P_{K'}(\bar{m}') = P_K(A) + P_K(B),$$

where  $P_{K'}(\bar{m}')$ ,  $P_K(A)$  and  $P_K(B)$  represent the probability of  $(\bar{m}')$  in  $K'$ , those of  $A$  and  $B$  in  $K$ , respectively.

*III. Partition.* Assume that  $M$  contains more than two elements, and let  $A$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{F}$  with  $P_K(A) \neq 0$ . When we select the elements belonging to  $A$  from  $K$ , we have a sequence  $K'$  consisting of elements in  $A$ . Selecting  $K'$  out of  $K$  in this way is called partition, as is known. We denote this operation by  $K' = T_A(K)$ . Then, if  $B$  is a subset contained in  $A$  and belonging to  $\mathfrak{F}$ , the relative frequency of  $B$  in  $K'$  has the limit  $P_K(B)/P_K(A)$ .

Now, let  $\{p_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence in  $M'$ , and let  $g = \{g_n\}$  be a selection rule defined in  $A$ . Then, setting

$$f_0 = g_0,$$

$$f_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) = g_k(p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_k}) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_k}$  are elements among  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  belonging to  $A$  and  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ , we have a place selection  $f = \{f_n\}$  for  $\{p_n\}$ . Since  $\{p_n\}$  is an arbitrary sequence in  $M$ ,  $f = \{f_n\}$  defines a selection rule in  $M$ . The correspondence from  $g$  to  $f$  will be denoted by  $f = \Phi_{A \rightarrow M}(g)$ . For an arbitrary sequence  $K_1$  in  $M$  it obviously holds

$$T_A\{f(K_1)\} = g(T_A(K_1)).$$

If  $f \in \mathfrak{S}$ , the relative frequencies of  $A$  and  $B$  in  $f(K)$  have the limit

$P_K(A)$  and  $P_K(B)$ , respectively. Consequently, the limit of the relative frequency of  $B$  in  $g(K')$  is  $P_K(B)/P_K(A)$ . Setting

$$\mathfrak{S}' = \{g; \Psi_{A \rightarrow M}(g) \in \mathfrak{S}\},$$

$K'$  admits all the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}'$ , and if  $\mathfrak{S}$  is enumerable, so is also  $\mathfrak{S}'$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}'$  contains the unit selection rule, it is not empty. Denoting by  $\mathfrak{F}'$  the system of subsets which belong to  $\mathfrak{F}$  and are contained in  $A$ ,  $K' = T_A(K)$  is a collective with regard to  $\mathfrak{S}'$  and  $\mathfrak{F}'$ . The probability of  $B$  of  $\mathfrak{F}'$  in  $K'$  is given by

$$P_{K'}(B) = \frac{P_K(B)}{P_K(A)}.$$

IV. *Combination.* Let  $K_1 = K_1(\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{F}_1) = \{m_i^{(1)}\}$ ,  $K_2 = K_2(\mathfrak{S}_2, \mathfrak{F}_2) = \{m_i^{(2)}\}$  be two collectives in the label spaces  $M^{(1)}$  and  $M^{(2)}$  respectively. Then, the sequence  $K = \{(m_i^{(1)}, m_i^{(2)})\}$  is a sequence in the product space  $M^{(1)} \times M^{(2)}$ . If  $K$  is a collective with regards to some system  $\mathfrak{S}$  of selection rules and  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_1 \times \mathfrak{F}_2$  in  $M^{(1)} \times M^{(2)}$ ,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are called combinable and the operation to form  $K$  from  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  is called combination.

Now, assume that  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are combinable. Further, let  $n_{A^{(1)}}$  be the number of elements in the first  $n$  terms of  $K_1$  which belong to  $A^{(1)}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ , and let  $n_{A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}}$  be the number of elements in the first  $n$  terms of  $K$  which belong to  $A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}$ , where  $A^{(2)}$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ . Then we have

$$(*) \quad \frac{n_{A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}}}{n} = \frac{n_{A^{(1)}}}{n} \cdot \frac{n_{A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}}}{n_{A^{(1)}}}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the left-hand side of this identity  $(*)$  and  $n_{A^{(1)}}/n$  in the right-hand side have the limit, therefore,  $n_{A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}}/n_{A^{(1)}}$  must have the limit. Of course, we have assumed  $P_{K_1}(A^{(1)}) \neq 0$ .  $n_{A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}}/n_{A^{(1)}}$  is the relative frequency of  $A^{(2)}$  in the first  $n_{A^{(1)}}$  terms of the sequence  $\{m_{i_j}^{(2)}\}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ), whose elements are those of  $K_2$  such that the corresponding  $m_{i_j}^{(1)}$  belong to  $A^{(1)}$ . To select  $\{m_{i_j}^{(2)}\}$  from  $K_2$  in this way is called  $(K_1, A^{(1)})$ -sampling. In the case, when the limit of  $n_{A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}}/n_{A^{(1)}}$  is equal to  $P_{K_2}(A^{(2)})$ , we have

$$(*) \quad P_K(A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}) = P_{K_1}(A^{(1)}) P_{K_2}(A^{(2)}).$$

Now, let  $K_2' = \{m_{i_j}^{(2)}\}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be a subsequence of  $K_2 = \{m_i^{(2)}\}$  selected for  $f^{(1)} = \{f_n^{(2)}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  in the following way:

each  $m_{n+1}^{(2)}$  of  $K_2$  is to be selected or not, according to

$$f_n^{(1)}(m_1^{(1)}, \dots, m_n^{(1)}) = 1 \text{ or } 0.$$

We apply  $(f^{(1)}(K_1), A^{(1)})$ -sampling to  $K_2'$  and denote by  $K_2''$  the obtained sequence. If the limit of the relative frequency of each  $A^{(2)}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  in  $K_2''$

is equal to the probability of  $A^{(2)}$  in  $K_2$ ,  $K_2$  is called *independent* of  $K_1$ .

Setting for any  $f^{(1)} = \{f_n^{(1)}\}$  out of  $\mathfrak{S}$

$$f_0 = f_0^{(1)},$$

$$f_n((p_1^{(1)}, p_1^{(2)}), \dots, (p_n^{(1)}, p_n^{(2)})) = f_n^{(1)}(p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_n^{(1)}) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $\{p_n^{(1)}\}$ ,  $\{p_n^{(2)}\}$  are arbitrary sequences in  $M^{(1)}$  and  $M^{(2)}$ , respectively,  $f = \{f_n\}$  defines obviously a selection rule in  $M^{(1)} \times M^{(2)}$ . This is called a selection rule induced by  $f^{(1)}$  in  $M^{(1)} \times M^{(2)}$ . If  $f^{(1)} \rightarrow f$ ,  $g^{(1)} \rightarrow g$  in the correspondence between selection rules in  $M^{(1)}$  and the induced ones in  $M^{(1)} \times M^{(2)}$ , then clearly  $f^{(1)} \cdot g^{(1)} \rightarrow f \cdot g$ .

In the case, when  $K_2$  is independent of  $K_1$ ,  $K = \{m_i^{(1)}, m_i^{(2)}\}$  makes a collective with regard to the system of the selection rules induced in  $M^{(1)} \times M^{(2)}$  by all selection rules of  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_1 \times \mathfrak{F}_2$ , and we have the relation (\*). Therefore, when  $K_2$  is independent of  $K_1$ ,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are combinable.

It is to be noted that our definition of independence has not the property of symmetry, i. e., one cannot immediately conclude that  $K_1$  is independent of  $K_2$  even if  $K_2$  is independent of  $K_1$ . However, the relation (\*) holds in both cases, where  $K_2$  is independent of  $K_1$  or where  $K_1$  is independent of  $K_2$ . The only different point is the difference of the systems of selection rules. If  $K_1(\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{F}_1)$  and  $K_2(\mathfrak{S}_2, \mathfrak{F}_2)$  are independent of each other, their combination admits all the selection rules induced in  $M^{(1)} \times M^{(2)}$  by all  $f^{(1)}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  or all  $f^{(2)}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_2$ .

Now, let  $K_1(\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{F}_1)$  and  $K_2(\mathfrak{S}_2, \mathfrak{F}_2)$  satisfy the following condition:

- (1)  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are combinable,
- (2) the combined collective is one with regard to the system  $\mathfrak{S}$  of selection rules and  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_1 \times \mathfrak{F}_2$ ,
- (3) the relation (\*) holds.

Some selection rules in  $M^{(1)} \times M^{(2)}$  are considered to define selection rules in  $M^{(1)}$  or  $M^{(2)}$ . Then, if  $\mathfrak{S}$  contains all selection rules induced by all elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ ,  $K_2$  is independent of  $K_1$ . Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{S}$  contains selection rules induced by all elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_2$ ,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are, therefore, independent of each other.

**3.** In this section I shall state some important calculus.

Assume that we are given  $k$  collectives with the same system  $\mathfrak{F}$  of subsets

$$K_1 = K_1(\mathfrak{S}_1, \mathfrak{F}) = \{m_n^{(1)}\}, \dots, K_k = K_k(\mathfrak{S}_k, \mathfrak{F}) = \{m_n^{(k)}\}.$$

When we make the sequence

$$K = \{m_1^{(1)}, m_1^{(2)}, \dots, m_1^{(k)}, m_2^{(1)}, \dots, m_2^{(k)}, m_3^{(1)}, \dots\},$$

what collective does it make ?

The relative frequency of an arbitrary element  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  in  $K$  has obviously the limit

$$\frac{1}{k} \{ P_{K_1}(A) + \dots + P_{K_k}(A) \}.$$

Therefore, if  $K_1, \dots, K_k$  always admit  $(f^{(1)}(K_1), M)$ -sampling,  $f^{(1)}$  being an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ , then  $K$  is a collective with regard to the system of selection rules induced by all the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ , and  $\mathfrak{F}$ . The simplest case is that where  $K_1 = K_2 = \dots = K_k$ .

Now we shall consider the condition that  $K_1, \dots, K_k$  be combinable. As is easily seen, the following is a sufficient condition:

For an arbitrary element  $f^{(1)}$  out of  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  and  $k-1$  arbitrary elements  $A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$

- (1)  $K_2$  admits  $(f^{(1)}(K_1), A_1)$ -sampling,
- (2) Setting

$$((f^{(1)}(K_1), A_1)(K_2), A_2) = (f^{(1)}(K_1), A_1; K_2, A_2),$$

$K_3$  admits  $(f^{(1)}(K_1), A_1; K_2, A_2)$ -sampling,

- (3) Similarly,  $K_i$  admits  $(f^{(1)}(K_1), A_1; K_2, A_2; \dots, K_{i-1}, A_{i-1})$ -sampling  
 • ( $i = 3, 4, \dots, k$ ).

Let us consider this condition in  $K$ .

For an arbitrary element  $g^{(l)} = \{g^{(l)}\}$  ( $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) of  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  and arbitrary  $A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  set

$$(1) \quad g_{0, k+j}(m_1^{(1)}, \dots, m_1^{(k)}, m_2^{(1)}, \dots, m_i^{(1)}, \dots, m_i^{(k)}, m_{i+1}^{(1)}, \dots, m_{i+1}^{(j)}) \\ = \begin{cases} g_i^{(1)}(m_1^{(1)}, m_2^{(2)}, \dots, m_i^{(1)}) & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq k-1 \quad (l = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad g_{\lambda, k+j}(m_1^{(1)}, \dots, m_i^{(k)}, \dots, m_i^{(1)}, \dots, m_i^{(k)}, m_{i+1}^{(1)}, \dots, m_{i+1}^{(j)}) \\ = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = \lambda, m_{i+1}^{(1)} \text{ is selected by } g_{(0)} = \{g_{0, n}\}, \text{ and} \\ & m_{i+1} \in A_1, m_{i+1}^{(2)} \in A_2, \dots, m_{i+1}^{(j)} \in A_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ (l = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

$g_{(0)} = \{g_{0, n}\}, \dots, g_{(k-1)} = \{g_{k-1, n}\}$  define selection rules in  $M$ .

Then, if  $K$  always admits such  $g_{(0)}, g_{(1)}, \dots, g_{(k-1)}$ ,  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_k$  are combinable and for arbitrary  $A_1, \dots, A_k$ , the probability of  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_k$  in the combined collective is

$$\frac{1}{k^k} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k P_{K_i}(A_1) \right) \dots \left( \sum_{i=1}^k P_{K_i}(A_k) \right).$$

Especially, when  $P_{K_1}(A_i) = \dots = P_{K_k}(A_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ), it turns out to be  $P_{K_1}(A_1) \dots P_{K_k}(A_k)$ . The combined collective admits the selection rules induced in  $\underbrace{M \times \dots \times M}_k$  by all elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ .

For example, let  $K_1, \dots, K_k$  be subsequences of a collective  $K = K(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{F}) = \{m_n\}$  such as

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_1 &= \{m_{ik+1}\}, \quad (l=0, 1, \dots), \\
 K_2 &= \{m_{ik+2}\}, \quad (l=0, 1, \dots), \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 K_k &= \{m_{ik}\}, \quad (l=1, 2, \dots).
 \end{aligned}$$

(\*)

(\*\*)

In this case, if  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_k$  are obtained by applying selection rules in  $\mathfrak{S}$  to  $K$ , and if  $\mathfrak{S}$  makes a semi-group, then  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_k$  also are collectives with regard to  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and the probability of any  $A$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  in each  $K_i$  is equal to that in  $K$ . Further, we can verify by the result of Wald the existence of a collective  $K$  such as the above-mentioned  $K_2, \dots, K_k$  are independent of  $K_1$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  be a field containing at most countably many subsets in  $M$ , and let  $\mu(A)$  be a non-negative and additive set function defined on  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  with  $\mu(M) = 1$ . Further, let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a field of subsets measurable in Jordan sense concerning  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  and  $\mu$ , and let  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  be a system of at most countably many selection rules in  $M$ . For every integer  $k$  the selection of the first term, the  $(k + 1)$ -st term, the  $(2k + 1)$ -st term,  $\dots$  out of a sequence in  $M$  will be denoted by  $a_k$ . For an arbitrary  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  and arbitrary  $A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  we consider the following selection rules  $f^{(l)} = \{f_n^{(l)}\}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, k-1$ ),

$$(***) \quad f_{ik+1}^{(l)}(m_1, \dots, m_{ik+j}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i, m_{ik+1} \text{ is selected by } fa_k, \text{ and} \\ & m_{ik+1} \in A_1, \dots, m_{ik+i} \in A_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

( $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots; j = 0, \dots, k - 1$ ).

Such selection rules are at most enumerable, as  $a_k$  and system  $(f, A_1, \dots, A_{k-1})$  are enumerable. Let  $\mathfrak{S}_0'$  be a semi-group of such rules, and let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a semi-group generated by  $\mathfrak{S}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_0'$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is at most enumerable. Therefore, according to Wald's result there exist continuously many collectives with regard to  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$ , whose distributions are identical with  $\mu(A)$ .

Now, let  $K = \{m_n\}$  be such a collective, and for an arbitrary integer  $k$  let  $K_1, \dots, K_k$  be such as (\*\*). Then  $K_1, \dots, K_k$  are collectives with regard to  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  and are combinable. The combined collective  $K^*$  is one with regard to the system  $\mathfrak{S}^*$  of selection rules induced in  $\underbrace{M \times \dots \times M}_k$

by all elements of  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\underbrace{\mathfrak{F}_0 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{F}_0}_k$ . For  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathfrak{F}_0$

$$P_{K^*}(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k) = P_{K_1}(A_1) \cdots P_{K_k}(A_k).$$

This collective  $K^*$  is proved to be a collective with regard to  $\mathfrak{S}^*$  and  $\underbrace{\mathfrak{F} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{F}}_k$ . Consequently,  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_k$  also are those with regard to  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and  $K_2, \dots, K_k$  are independent of  $K_1$ .

**4. Conclusion.** In order to develop the theory of probability from the standpoint of collectives the above-mentioned operations are inevitable. Therefore, it is necessary that the system  $\mathfrak{F}$  of subsets is one as mentioned above,  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a semi-group and that a collective  $K(\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{F})$  admits the semi-group generated by  $\mathfrak{S}$ , all  $a_k$ , and selection rules as (\*\*).

*Institute of Statistical Mathematics, Tokyo*