

The tail probability of the maximum of a bivariate Gaussian process

(2変量ガウス確率過程の最大値の裾確率)

Satoshi KURIKI, The Institute of Statistical Mathematics
(栗木 哲, 統計数理研究所)

1 Euler characteristic method for a bivariate Gaussian process

Let $(x(s), y(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $(s, t) \in S \times T$, be a bivariate Gaussian process with a smooth sample path, where $S, T \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ are intervals. In this paper, we study the Euler characteristic method for such a bivariate Gaussian process. The Euler characteristic method is based on the approximation

$$\mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{s \in S} x(s) \geq a \right\} \approx \chi(S_a), \quad \mathbb{1} \left\{ \sup_{t \in T} y(t) \geq b \right\} \approx \chi(T_b)$$

when a and b are large. Here S_a and T_b are the excursion sets defined by

$$S_a = \{s \in S \mid x(s) \geq a\}, \quad T_b = \{t \in T \mid y(t) \geq b\},$$

and $\chi(S_a)$ and $\chi(T_b)$ are the Euler characteristics (numbers of connected components) of S_a and T_b , respectively. If we admits this approximation, we would have

$$P \left(\sup_{s \in S} x(s) \geq a, \sup_{t \in T} y(t) \geq b \right) \approx E[\chi(S_a)\chi(T_b)].$$

We will evaluate $E[\chi(S_a)\chi(T_b)]$ when a and b are large.

(1-dimensional) Morse's theorem states that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(S_a) &= \sum_{s \in S^* \cap \text{int}(S)} \mathbb{1}\{x(s) \geq a\} \text{sgn}(-\ddot{x}(s)) + \sum_{s \in S^* \cap \partial S} \mathbb{1}\{x(s) \geq a\}, \\ \chi(T_b) &= \sum_{t \in T^* \cap \text{int}(T)} \mathbb{1}\{y(t) \geq b\} \text{sgn}(-\ddot{y}(t)) + \sum_{t \in T^* \cap \partial T} \mathbb{1}\{y(t) \geq b\}, \end{aligned}$$

where S^* and T^* are sets of augmented critical points of $x(s)$ and $y(t)$.

Lemma 1. Let $S = [s_0, s_1]$, $T = [t_0, t_1]$.

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(S_a) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \mathbb{1}\{x(s) \geq a\} (-\ddot{x}(s)) \frac{\mathbb{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}}{2\varepsilon} ds \\ &\quad + \mathbb{1}\{x(s_0) \geq a, \dot{x}(s_0) < 0\} + \mathbb{1}\{x(s_1) \geq a, \dot{x}(s_1) > 0\}, \\ \chi(T_b) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \mathbb{1}\{y(t) \geq b\} (-\ddot{y}(t)) \frac{\mathbb{1}\{\dot{y}(t) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}}{2\varepsilon} dt \\ &\quad + \mathbb{1}\{y(t_0) \geq b, \dot{y}(t_0) < 0\} + \mathbb{1}\{y(t_1) \geq b, \dot{y}(t_1) > 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For a critical point $s^* \in \text{int}(S)$,

$$g(s^*) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\text{small region } (\ni s^*)} g(s) \frac{\mathbb{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}}{2\varepsilon} |\ddot{x}(s)| ds$$

holds, because by changing a variable $\dot{x}(s) = y$, we have $|\ddot{x}(s)| ds = dy$. For $\ddot{x}(s^*) > 0$,

$$\text{RHS} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} g((\dot{X})^{-1}(y)) dy = g(s^*).$$

For $\ddot{x}(s^*) < 0$,

$$\text{RHS} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{-\varepsilon} g((\dot{x})^{-1}(y)) d(-y) = g(s^*).$$

Therefore, for any function g ,

$$\sum_{s \in S^* \cap \text{int}(S)} g(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} g(s) \frac{\mathbb{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}}{2\varepsilon} |\ddot{x}(s)| ds.$$

Substituting $g(s) = \mathbb{1}\{x(s) \geq a\} \text{sgn}(-\ddot{x}(s))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{1st term of } \chi(S_a) &= \sum_{s \in S^* \cap \text{int}(S)} \mathbb{1}\{x(s) \geq a\} \text{sgn}(-\ddot{x}(s)) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \mathbb{1}\{x(s) \geq a\} \text{sgn}(-\ddot{x}(s)) \frac{\mathbb{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}}{2\varepsilon} |\ddot{x}(s)| ds \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \mathbb{1}\{x(s) \geq a\} (-\ddot{x}(s)) \frac{\mathbb{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}}{2\varepsilon} ds,\end{aligned}$$

i.e., sgn and the absolute value symbol are canceled. □

By multiplying $\chi(S_a)$ and $\chi(T_b)$, and taking expectation, we have four terms

$$E[\chi(S_a)\chi(T_b)] = F_1(a, b) + F_2(a, b) + F_3(a, b) + F_4(a, b),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_1(a, b) \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} E \left[\mathbf{1}\{x(s) \geq a\} \mathbf{1}\{y(t) \geq b\} \ddot{x}(s) \dot{y}(t) \frac{\mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}}{2\varepsilon} \frac{\mathbf{1}\{\dot{y}(t) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}}{2\varepsilon} \right] ds dt \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{E[\mathbf{1}\{x(s) \geq a, y(t) \geq b\} \ddot{x}(s) \dot{y}(t) \mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}]}{E[\mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{E[\mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(t), \dot{y}(t) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}]}{(2\varepsilon)^2} ds dt \\
&= \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} E[\mathbf{1}\{x(s) \geq a, y(t) \geq b\} \ddot{x}(s) \dot{y}(t) | (\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t)) = 0] \theta_{(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t))}(0) ds dt
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\theta_{(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t))}(0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{E[\mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(t), \dot{y}(t) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}]}{(2\varepsilon)^2} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Pr(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))}{(2\varepsilon)^2},$$

the density of $(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t))$ evaluated at 0. Moreover, $F_1(a, b)$ is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(a, b) &= \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} E[\mathbf{1}\{u \geq a, v \geq b\} E[\ddot{x}(s) \dot{y}(t) | (x(s), y(t)) = (u, v), (\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t)) = 0] \\
&\quad | (\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t)) = 0] \theta_{(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t))}(0) ds dt, \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

where (u, v) is distributed as the conditional distribution of $(x(s), y(t)) = (u, v)$ given $(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t)) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_2(a, b) \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} E \left[\mathbf{1}\{x(s) \geq a\} \mathbf{1}\{y(t_0) \geq b, \dot{y}(t_0) < 0\} (-\ddot{x}(s)) \frac{\mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}}{2\varepsilon} \right] ds \\
&\quad + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} E \left[\mathbf{1}\{x(s) \geq a\} \mathbf{1}\{y(t_1) \geq b, \dot{y}(t_1) > 0\} (-\ddot{x}(s)) \frac{\mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}}{2\varepsilon} \right] ds \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \frac{E[\mathbf{1}\{x(s) \geq a, y(t_0) \geq b, \dot{y}(t_0) < 0\} (-\ddot{x}(s)) \mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}]}{E[\mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{E[\mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}]}{2\varepsilon} ds \\
&\quad + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \frac{E[\mathbf{1}\{x(s) \geq a, y(t_1) \geq b, \dot{y}(t_1) > 0\} (-\ddot{x}(s)) \mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}]}{E[\mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{E[\mathbf{1}\{\dot{x}(s) \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}]}{2\varepsilon} ds \\
&= \int_{s_0}^{s_1} E[\mathbf{1}\{x(s) \geq a, y(t_0) \geq b, \dot{y}(t_0) < 0\} (-\ddot{x}(s)) | \dot{x}(s) = 0] \theta_{\dot{x}(s)}(0) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{s_0}^{s_1} E[\mathbf{1}\{x(s) \geq a, y(t_1) \geq b, \dot{y}(t_1) > 0\} (-\ddot{x}(s)) | \dot{x}(s) = 0] \theta_{\dot{x}(s)}(0) ds, \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_{\dot{x}(s)}(0)$ is the density of $\dot{x}(s)$ evaluated at 0.

$F_3(a, b)$ is $F_2(a, b)$ with the replacement $x \leftrightarrow y$, $a \leftrightarrow b$.

The last term is

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(a, b) &= E[(\mathbb{1}\{x(s_0) \geq a, \dot{x}(s_0) < 0\} + \mathbb{1}\{x(s_1) \geq a, \dot{x}(s_1) > 0\}) \\ &\quad \times (\mathbb{1}\{y(t_0) \geq b, \dot{y}(t_0) < 0\} + \mathbb{1}\{y(t_1) \geq b, \dot{y}(t_1) > 0\})] \\ &= \Pr(x(s_0) \geq a, y(t_0) \geq b, \dot{x}(s_0) < 0, \dot{y}(t_0) < 0) \\ &\quad + \Pr(x(s_0) \geq a, y(t_1) \geq b, \dot{x}(s_0) < 0, \dot{y}(t_1) > 0) \\ &\quad + \Pr(x(s_1) \geq a, y(t_0) \geq b, \dot{x}(s_1) > 0, \dot{y}(t_0) < 0) \\ &\quad + \Pr(x(s_1) \geq a, y(t_1) \geq b, \dot{x}(s_1) > 0, \dot{y}(t_1) > 0). \end{aligned}$$

2 Unit-variance bivariate Gaussian process

In the following, we assume that $(x(s), y(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $(s, t) \in S \times T$, is a zero-mean, unit-variance Gaussian process with a smooth sample path, where $S, T \in \mathbb{R}^1$ are intervals. By taking derivatives for $E[x(s)] = E[y(t)] = 0$, $E[x(s)^2] = E[y(t)^2] = 1$, we have

$$E[x(s)\dot{x}(s)] = E[y(t)\dot{y}(t)] = 0, \quad E[x(s)\ddot{x}(s)] = -E[\dot{x}(s)^2], \quad E[y(t)\ddot{y}(t)] = -E[\dot{y}(t)^2].$$

The cross correlation function is denoted by

$$E[x(s)y(t)] = c(s, t).$$

We call the stationary case when $c(s, t)$ is a function of $s - t$, i.e., $c(s, t) = c(u)$, $u = s - t$.

Assumption 1. *The maximum of $c(s, t)$ is uniquely attained at $(s, t) = (s^*, t^*) \in \text{int}(S \times T)$ for the nonstationary case. For the stationary case, the maximum of $c(s, t) = c(u)$ ($u = s - t$) is uniquely attained at $u = u^* \in \text{int}\{s - t \mid (s, t) \in S \times T\}$.*

We first evaluate $F_1(a, b)$. Let s and t be fixed. Write $D_s = d/ds$, $D_t = d/dt$. Using the notations

$$\begin{aligned} E[D_s^i x(s) D_s^j x(s)] &= \frac{\partial^{i+j}}{\partial s^i \partial \tilde{s}^j} E[x(s)x(\tilde{s})] \Big|_{s=\tilde{s}} = v_{ij}(s), \\ E[D_t^i y(t) D_t^j y(t)] &= \frac{\partial^{i+j}}{\partial t^i \partial \tilde{t}^j} E[y(t)y(\tilde{t})] \Big|_{t=\tilde{t}} = w_{ij}(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E[D_s^i x(s) D_t^j y(t)] = \frac{\partial^{i+j}}{\partial s^i \partial t^j} E[x(s)y(t)] = \frac{\partial^{i+j}}{\partial s^i \partial t^j} c(s, t) = c_{ij}(s, t),$$

we have the covariance matrix of $(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t), x(s), y(t), \ddot{x}(s), \ddot{y}(t))$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{10} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{01} & \Sigma_{00} & \Sigma_{02} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{20} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & c_{11} & 0 & c_{10} & v_{12} & c_{12} \\ c_{11} & w_{11} & c_{01} & 0 & c_{21} & w_{12} \\ 0 & c_{01} & 1 & c & -v_{11} & c_{02} \\ c_{10} & 0 & c & 1 & c_{20} & -w_{11} \\ v_{12} & c_{21} & -v_{11} & c_{20} & v_{22} & c_{22} \\ c_{12} & w_{12} & c_{02} & -w_{11} & c_{22} & w_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_{ij} = c_{ij}(s, t)$, $v_{ij} = v_{ij}(s)$, $w_{ij} = w_{ij}(t)$. For the evaluation of $F_1(a, b)$ in (1), we need the following three distributions:

- (i) Density of the marginal distribution of $(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t))$ at $(0, 0)$.
- (ii) Conditional distribution of $(x(s), y(t))$ given $(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t)) = (0, 0)$.
- (iii) Expectation of $\ddot{x}(s)\ddot{y}(t)$ under the conditional distribution given $(x(s), y(t), \dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t)) = (u, v, 0, 0)$.

We will evaluate them in turn.

- (i) The distribution of $(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t))$ is $N(0, \Sigma_{11})$, and its density evaluated at $(0, 0)$ is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi|\Sigma_{11}|^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (3)$$

- (ii) The conditional distribution of $(x(s), y(t))$ given $(\dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t)) = (0, 0)$ is

$$N_2(0, \Sigma_{00.1}), \quad \Sigma_{00.1} = \Sigma_{00} - \Sigma_{01}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{10}.$$

Its density at $(x(s), y(t)) = (u, v)$ is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi|\Sigma_{00.1}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(u, v)\Sigma_{00.1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \quad (4)$$

- (iii) The distribution of $(\ddot{x}(s), \ddot{y}(t))$ given $(x(s), y(t), \dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t)) = (u, v, 0, 0)$ is Gaussian with mean

$$\begin{aligned} (\Sigma_{21}, \Sigma_{20}) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{10} \\ \Sigma_{01} & \Sigma_{00} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ u \\ v \end{pmatrix} &= (\Sigma_{21}, \Sigma_{20}) \begin{pmatrix} * & -\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{10}\Sigma_{00.1}^{-1} \\ * & \Sigma_{00.1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\Sigma_{20} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{10})\Sigma_{00.1}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} =: H \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and covariance matrix

$$\Sigma_{22.10} = \Sigma_{22} - (\Sigma_{21}, \Sigma_{20}) \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{10} \\ \Sigma_{01} & \Sigma_{00} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{02} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the expectation of $\ddot{x}(s)\ddot{y}(t)$ given $(x(s), y(t), \dot{x}(s), \dot{y}(t)) = (u, v, 0, 0)$ is

$$(h_{11}u + h_{12}v)(h_{21}u + h_{22}v) + (\Sigma_{22 \cdot 10})_{12}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$H = (h_{ij}) = (\Sigma_{20} - \Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{10})\Sigma_{00}^{-1}.$$

Combining (i)–(iii), we can calculate the expectation of $F_1(a, b)$ in (1). The expectation of $F_2(a, b)$ in (2) can be calculated similarly.

3 Asymptotic behavior when $a, b \rightarrow \infty$

In the following, we restrict our attention to the case $a = b$. The results can be easily extended to the case $a = db$, where $d > 0$ is a constant.

Lemma 2. For $x = (x_1, x_2) \sim N(0, K^{-1})$, $K = (k_{ij})_{2 \times 2}$, as $a \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[x_i x_j \mathbf{1}\{x_1 \geq a\} \mathbf{1}\{x_2 \geq a\}] &= \frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi \tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2} e^{-a^2 \tilde{k}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{k_{12}}{\tilde{k}^2} a^{-2} + O(a^{-4}) \right\}, \\ E[\mathbf{1}\{x_1 \geq a\} \mathbf{1}\{x_2 \geq a\}] &= \frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi \tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2} e^{-a^2 \tilde{k}} \left\{ a^{-2} + O(a^{-4}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{k}_1 = k_{11} + k_{12}$, $\tilde{k}_2 = k_{12} + k_{22}$, $\tilde{k} = (k_1 + k_2)/2$, $|K| = k_{11}k_{22} - k_{12}^2$.

Proof. We follow the proof by Ruben (1964). Let

$$f(x, K) = \frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^T K x}$$

be the density of $N_2(0, K^{-1})$. Then, by making change of variables $y = x - a\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[x_i x_j \mathbf{1}\{x_1 \geq a\} \mathbf{1}\{x_2 \geq a\}] &= \int_{x \geq a\mathbf{1}} x_i x_j f(x, K) dx \\ &= f(a\mathbf{1}, K) \int_{y \geq 0} (a + y_i)(a + y_j) e^{-a\mathbf{1}^T K y} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^T K y} dy \\ &= f(a\mathbf{1}, K) \int_{y \geq 0} (a^2 + ay_i + ay_j + y_i y_j) e^{-a(\tilde{k}_1 y_1 + \tilde{k}_2 y_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^T K y} dy, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$f(a\mathbf{1}, K) = \frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} e^{-a^2 \tilde{k}}, \quad |K| = k_{11}k_{22} - k_{12}^2.$$

Here,

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^T K y} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}(k_{11}y_1^2 + k_{22}y_2^2 + 2k_{12}y_1y_2) + 4\text{th degree in } y + \dots,$$

and

$$\int_{y_i \geq 0} e^{-a\tilde{k}_i y_i} y_i^m dy_i = \frac{m!}{(a\tilde{k}_i)^{m+1}},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6) &= f(a\mathbf{1}, K) \left\{ \frac{a^2}{(a\tilde{k}_1)(a\tilde{k}_2)} + \frac{a}{(a\tilde{k}_i)^2(a\tilde{k}_{i'})} + \frac{a}{(a\tilde{k}_j)^2(a\tilde{k}_{j'})} + O(a^{-4}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{a^2}{2} \left(\frac{2!k_{11}}{(a\tilde{k}_1)^3(a\tilde{k}_2)} + \frac{2!k_{22}}{(a\tilde{k}_1)(a\tilde{k}_2)^3} + \frac{2k_{12}}{(a\tilde{k}_1)^2(a\tilde{k}_2)^2} + O(a^{-6}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (i' \text{ and } j' \text{ are s.t. } \{i, i'\} = \{j, j'\} = \{1, 2\}) \right. \\ &= f(a\mathbf{1}, K) \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{k}_i} + \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_j} - \frac{2\tilde{k}}{\tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2} - k_{12} \frac{\tilde{k}_1^2 \tilde{k}_2^2 - \tilde{k}_1^2 - \tilde{k}_2^2}{\tilde{k}_1^3 \tilde{k}_2^3} \right) a^{-2} + O(a^{-4}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} E[\mathbf{1}\{x_1 \geq a\} \mathbf{1}\{x_2 \geq a\}] &= \int_{x \geq a\mathbf{1}} f(x, K) dx \\ &= f(a\mathbf{1}, K) \int_{y \geq 0} e^{-a\mathbf{1}^T K y} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^T K y} dy \\ &= f(a\mathbf{1}, K) \int_{y \geq 0} e^{-a(\tilde{k}_1 y_1 + \tilde{k}_2 y_2)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^T K y} dy \\ &= f(a\mathbf{1}, K) \left\{ \frac{1}{(a\tilde{k}_1)(a\tilde{k}_2)} + O(a^{-4}) \right\} \\ &= f(a\mathbf{1}, K) \frac{1}{\tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2} \left\{ a^{-2} + O(a^{-4}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

(ii) Next we will take the expectation of $(5) \times \mathbf{1}\{u \geq a\} \mathbf{1}\{v \geq a\}$ where (u, v) is distributed as (4). We first evaluate the leading term. From Lemma 2, the result is

$$\frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{2}} h}{2\pi \tilde{k}^2} e^{-a^2 \tilde{k}} \left\{ 1 + O(a^{-2}) \right\}$$

where $K = \Sigma_{00.1}^{-1}$, $h = (h_{11} + h_{12})(h_{21} + h_{22})$. By multiplying (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(a, a) &\sim \int_S \int_T \frac{|K|^{\frac{1}{2}} h}{(2\pi)^2 |\Sigma_{11}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2} e^{-a^2 \tilde{k}} ds dt \\ &= \int_S \int_T \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 |\Sigma_{11}|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Sigma_{00.1}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2} e^{-a^2 \tilde{k}} ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Case I. Suppose that $\tilde{k}(s, t)$ has a unique maximum at $(s, t) = (s^*, t^*)$. Then

$$(s, t) = (s^*, t^*) \Leftrightarrow c_{10}(s^*, t^*) = c_{01}(s^*, t^*) = 0,$$

and at the maximum point (s^*, t^*) ,

$$\tilde{k}(s^*, t^*) = \frac{1}{1 + c(s^*, t^*)} = \frac{1}{1 + \max_{s,t} c(s, t)}.$$

Denoting the Hesse matrix at (s^*, t^*) by

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{k}(s, t) \Big|_{(s^*, t^*)},$$

the Laplace method yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(a, a) &\sim \frac{2\pi |\Delta|^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{a^2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 |\Sigma_{11}|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Sigma_{00 \cdot 1}|^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{k}_1 \tilde{k}_2} h e^{-a^2 \tilde{k}} \Big|_{(s^*, t^*)} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(v_{11} - c_{20})(w_{11} - c_{02})}{c_{20}c_{02} - c_{11}^2}} \frac{(1+c)^2}{2\pi \sqrt{1-c^2} a^2} e^{-\frac{a^2}{1+c}} \Big|_{(s^*, t^*)} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

(by Mathematica).

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(a, a) &\asymp a^{-2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{a^2}{1 + \max_{(s,t) \in S \times \{t_0, t_1\}} c(s, t)} \right\}, \\ F_3(a, a) &\asymp a^{-2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{a^2}{1 + \max_{(s,t) \in \{s_0, s_1\} \times T} c(s, t)} \right\}, \\ F_4(a, a) &\asymp a^{-2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{a^2}{1 + \max_{(s,t) \in \{s_0, s_1\} \times \{t_0, t_1\}} c(s, t)} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which are asymptotically smaller than $F_1(a, a)$ by Assumption 1.

Theorem 1. *For the nonstationary case,*

$$E[\chi(S_a)\chi(T_a)] \sim \sqrt{\frac{(v_{11} - c_{20})(w_{11} - c_{02})}{c_{20}c_{02} - c_{11}^2}} \frac{(1+c)^2}{2\pi \sqrt{1-c^2} a^2} e^{-\frac{a^2}{1+c}} \Big|_{(s^*, t^*)},$$

where $v_{11} = E[\dot{x}(s)^2]$, $w_{11} = E[\dot{y}(t)^2]$, $c_{ij} = E[x^{(i)}(s)y^{(j)}(t)]$, and $(s^*, t^*) = \operatorname{argmax} E[x(s)y(t)]$.

Case II. Suppose that $c(s, t) = c(s - t) = c(u)$ ($s - t = u$), i.e., stationary, and $\tilde{k}(s, t)$ has the maximum at $s - t = u = u^*$. Then,

$$u = u^* \Leftrightarrow c'(u^*) = 0.$$

By letting

$$\delta := \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{k}(u)}{\partial u^2} \Big|_{u^*},$$

the Laplace method yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(a, a) &\sim \mu(S \cap T) \frac{\sqrt{2\pi\delta^{-1}}}{a} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 |\Sigma_{11}|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\Sigma_{00.1}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{h}{k^2} e^{-a^2 \tilde{k}} \Big|_{t-s=u^*} \\ &= \mu(S \cap T) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{(v_{11} - c'')(w_{11} - c'')}{-c''}} \frac{1+c}{\sqrt{1-c^2 a}} e^{-\frac{1}{c+1} a^2} \Big|_{t-s=u^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *For the stationary case,*

$$E[\chi(S_a)\chi(T_a)] \sim \mu(S \cap T) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{(v_{11} - c'')(w_{11} - c'')}{-c''}} \frac{1+c}{\sqrt{1-c^2 a}} e^{-\frac{1}{c+1} a^2} \Big|_{t-s=u^*}.$$

4 Comparisons to the existing results

Anshin (2006) derived the corresponding results when $c_{11}(s^*, t^*) = 0$:

$$\sqrt{\frac{v_{11}w_{11}}{c_{20}c_{02}}} \frac{(1+c)^2}{2\pi\sqrt{1-c^2 a^2}} e^{-\frac{a^2}{1+c}} \Big|_{(s^*, t^*)}. \quad (8)$$

In the numerator, c_{20} and c_{02} are missing.

On the other hand, from Zhou and Xiao (2017) noting that $H_2 = 1/\sqrt{\pi}$ (page 31 of Piterberg (1996)), by substituting $N = 1$, $\alpha_i = 2$, $c_i = 1/2$, the corresponding formula is

$$\mu(S \cap T) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{v_{11}w_{11}}{-c''}} \frac{1+c}{\sqrt{1-c^2 a}} e^{-\frac{1}{c+1} a^2}.$$

Two c'' are missing again.

Here is a counter example to Anshin (2006): Using a Gaussian vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)^T \sim N_3(0, I)$, define $x(s) = \xi^T \varphi_1(s)$, $y(t) = \xi^T \varphi_2(t)$ with

$$\varphi_x(s) = (s, d + Rs^2, \sqrt{1 - s^2 - (d + Rs^2)^2})^T, \quad \varphi_y(t) = (t, -d - Rt^2, \sqrt{1 - t^2 - (d + Rt^2)^2})^T,$$

where $T = S = [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$, a small interval including the origin. Then $x(s)$, $y(t)$ are nonstationary Gaussian processes with zero-mean, unit-variance, and $c(s, t) = \varphi_x(s)^T \varphi_y(t)$ has its maximum $1 - 2d^2$ at $(s^*, t^*) = (0, 0)$. By simple calculations, we have $v_{11} = w_{11} = 1$, $c_{20} = c_{02} = -4dR - 1$, $c_{11} = 1$. As $R \rightarrow \infty$, the multipliers in (7) and (8) are

$$\sqrt{\frac{(v_{11} - c_{20})(w_{11} - c_{02})}{c_{20}c_{02} - c_{11}^2}} \rightarrow 1, \quad \sqrt{\frac{v_{11}w_{11}}{c_{20}c_{02} - c_{11}^2}} \rightarrow 0,$$

respectively. Noting that the resulting probability should be bounded below by

$$P\left(\sup x(s) \geq a, \sup y(t) \geq a\right) \geq P(x(0) \geq a, y(0) \geq a) \sim \frac{(1+c)^2}{2\pi\sqrt{1-c^2 a^2}} e^{-\frac{a^2}{1+c}},$$

the former formula (7) is consistent, and the latter formula (8) is a contradiction.

References

1. Anshin, A. B. (2006). On the probability of simultaneous extremes of two Gaussian nonstationary processes, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **50** (3), 353–366.
2. Piterbarg, V. I. (1996). *Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Gaussian Processes and Fields*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **148**, AMS.
3. Ruben, H. (1964). An asymptotic expansion for the multivariate normal distribution and Mills' ratio, *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, B. Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*, **68B** (1).
https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/jres/68B/jresv68Bn1p3_A1b.pdf
4. Zhou, Y. and Xiao, Y. (2017). Tail asymptotics for the extremes of bivariate Gaussian random fields, *Bernoulli*, **23** (3), 1566–1598.